

# The Art of Guillotining Procreators: An Anti-Natalist Manifesto

By Theophile de Giraud

"Pregnant women will one day be stoned, instinct  
Maternal proscribed, the sterility demanded. "

Cioran, The Bad Demiurge.

"Try to persuade people to refrain from procreating  
Name of morality - great gods! What an outcry! "

Leon Tolstoy, The Sonata in Kreutzer.

"Millions of men die of hunger, injustice,  
Obscurantism are everywhere; We arrest, we imprison, we  
Deporting, torturing, spreading blood, spreading lies  
Corrupter, illiteracy is maintained, ideas are stifled  
Generous, the consciences are annihilated - during this time,  
Our literary celebrities make literature comfortable,  
That is to say, pure manure, prostituting itself to the public  
The ways and means, in particular through this  
Of decerebration that is our present television. Between people  
Good company, we embroider on worn-out ideas - but  
What matters today is hunger in the world, the  
Non-culturization of the masses, the pollution of nature by  
Chemical abuse, uncontrolled demography, threats of  
The nuclear arsenal. The rest, madame, we do not care! "

Louis Calaferte, Law of the City.

Now is the time to bend the accusation against the real craftsmen of  
All the misfortunes that hurt our miserable humanity day after day: the  
Parents!

The philosophy has debated all the questions that have to be  
Human, except for one: the ethical validity of the Procreation. No  
To describe the merits, or to demonstrate the  
criminal. Taboo Supreme! Absolute Scotomization. As if procreation  
Was our ultimate idol, our caudal illusion, the armored sanctuary where the  
Questioning seems to have no right to exercise! And yet, behind  
This strange sacrality of fecundity, that of perversions the attentive observer  
Could not he discover ...

This book will therefore have the ambition of filling a gap in history  
Of the thought by proposing to the reader an anti-natalist argument which,  
Of complete exhaustiveness, will at least draw the lines of  
Force on which any future debate concerning the law or  
Not to impose life on an uncreated person, who would not  
Knew beforehand all the inexpugnable difficulties.  
If no monograph had yet ventured to disassemble parts by  
The unhealthy sophisticated edifice where the acclamators of  
The birth, how many authors, on the other hand, at all times, on all  
The continents, redoubled their prolixity in order to lose themselves in lamentations  
Polymorphs on our painful condition! There is no longer any  
Literature or philosophy, the vigorously pessimistic clamor and the  
Stinging protests against being brought into the world.

Some quotations from the best minds are enough to convince:

Such is the fate which the gods have wrought for the unfortunate mortals:  
Live in pain.

Homer, Iliad. Greece, 8th Century. AD

Better a hundred times not be born;  
But if we must see the light,  
The least harm is still to return  
Where you come from, and the sooner the better!

Sophocles, Oedipus at Colonus. Greece, Fifth Century. AD

Human life is nothing but suffering, and there is no truce in its sentences.

Euripides, Hippolytus. Greece, Fifth Century. AD

The life of a man is accompanied as soon as the birth of worries of any Species. Life is nothing but dust and filth.

Tchouang-Tseu, Work. China, IVth Century. AD

For who really knows the world, everything is suffering.

Patanjali, Yoga-Sutra. India, 2nd Century. AD

There is nothing so misleading, as perfidious as human life;  
Nobody, great gods! Would not, if we did not receive it  
Without our knowledge. If, then, the supreme felicity is not to be born, that which  
Approach is, I imagine, disappearing as soon as possible and  
Return quickly to the original nothingness.

Seneca, Consolation in Marcia. Rome, 1st century.

The living being is, when he is alive, immersed and immersed in the  
pain ; And the situation in which there is no suffering is  
More salutary than the situation in which they exist. Death is  
Therefore more salutary to man than life.

Razi (Rhazes), The Spiritual Medicine. Arabia, Xe century.

Staying in the earthly prison causes me, alas, an infinity of  
Evils! To live with me is so painful and so long that I invoke death.

François Pétrarque, Canzoniere. Italy, XIVth century.

Ha! Death, common port, men's comfort,  
Come bury my sorrows, I pray you with hands joined!  
Happy who never was.

Pierre of Ronsard, Sonnets. France, XVIth century.

Well-being is in heaven; But we are on earth,  
Where all is but annoyance, worry, and grief.

William Shakespeare, Richard II. England, XVIth century.

I do not know by what adventure my mother gave me the day,  
In this, however, Nature did play a nasty trick.

Francisco de Quevedo, Malchance. Spain, Seventeenth century.

Men must weep at their birth, and not at their death.

Montesquieu, Persian Letters. France, 18th century

Life is a burden to me, I desire death and I abhor existence.  
Oh ! That I am never born!

J. W. von Goethe, Faust. Germany, 19th century

A certain detestable evening I had the misfortune to be born.

Lermontov, A Hero of our Time. Russia, Nineteenth century.

After the misfortune of being born, I know no greater than  
That of giving birth to a man.

Chateaubriand, Memoires d'Outre-Tombe. France, 19th century.

Life is an evil.

Auguste Strindberg, Coram Populo. Sweden, 19th century

Peace is obtained in death. You have to die to get into the Peace.

Natsume Sôseki, I'm a Cat. Japan, 20th Century

The dream, the only dream is not to be born.

André Breton, The Immaculate Conception. France, 20th century

Needless to insist, we could multiply these guilty grievances  
By tens of thousands. For a slightly more extensive sample, we suggest  
The reader to go through Appendix I: he will discover how much the psyche  
Collective is indignant to have been born only to swim incommensurably  
More often under an ice pack of dissatisfaction than in a lagoon of  
Completeness.

We see, the pleasure of living, litote, seems far from unanimous ...  
What an illusion, then, to think that our parents give us  
For our own good! They are only concerned about the enjoyment  
Draw from our presence. In truth, we exist only to fill with ease

Those profiteers who have the unthinkable hypocrisy of pretending to be our Benefactors, and push the inhuman base to claim gratitude from us, Obedience and filial piety, not to mention the various presents supposed to decorate the Incomprehensible Mother's and Father's Day!

One of the most adamant spiritual monarchs of humanity, the Buddha, We had nevertheless severely warned by proclaiming his famous "Sarvam Duhkham: Everything is Suffering ", not only birth, sickness, Old age and death, of course, but even happiness since We will inevitably lose it and mourn the loss ...

Answer without evasion: if there was a solution capable of abolishing the Of all the evils which our disastrous humanity groaned, if it were possible, A remedy simple, immensely inexpensive, immediately Accessible, scrupulously inoffensive, of an absolute and definitive efficiency,

To put an end to all distress, tears, cry of pain, Any pathology, any protest of ill-being, any despair, any Cataclysm, to any anguish, to any misfortune, in short to any torture distressing The human species, would you have the macabre unintelligence to disdain such a Remedy, to despise such a miraculous panacea? No, that goes without saying. Well, this solution exists, and the arcane is here: it consists of everything In his holy, ovoid and Colombian simplicity, to stop procreate ...

Stop now to reproduce yourself like any tribe Of arboricultural primates and in less than a century, all human suffering Will have disappeared from the face of the earth!

Alas, a grimace twists your proboscide: you would like to keep Existence, but without having to pay the tariff of its disadvantages. To our Regret, we must announce that this is clashing in the The incompatible: to live is, of all necessity, to suffer, since all happiness Is bought only at the price of a hundred unpleasant efforts ...

What! A palpitated solution that would cure all the afflictions Of which humans complain incessantly and you hesitate to take it? Such an inadmissibility identifies you as a sado-masochist: no Only you indulge in that vain suffering we call Existence, not only do you endorse, as the worst collaborators,

The presence of such suffering, but in addition, oh  
Have the pretension to impose it on others, who do not claim it!  
You want to exist, do you reproduce, but do you really deserve it?  
Is it the nobility of soul that moves you or only the most egoism  
Bituminous?

Needless to pretend: the child is a gift that parents make to themselves.  
We will come back.

Posture i  
The Three Sorrows

A race of men was tested on the Earth  
Who knew the future better than the past,  
But they died after a year  
Of the suffering caused by this knowledge.  
- Samuel Butler, Erewhon. England, 19th century

For the legacy of existence to be confined to the celebrable, it would  
Or something other than a parcel bomb, overflowing a priori from all disarray  
Possible.

Now, Three Sorrows permeate apodictically, without any other escape  
Illusory, the backbone of our earthly journey: the Pain of  
Pain of Living and the Pain of Dying. Let us briefly review them,  
Keeping in mind that only one of them should logically  
The perpetual prison, if not the guillotine, to those who afflict their  
Like unfortunates.

**a. Pain of the Born**

Inter faeces and urinam nascimur:  
We are born between excrements and urine.

**Apophthegma patristic.**

Supreme symbol, we are all born in defilement and suffering, Suffering of our genitress, no  
doubt, who can not complain of it because she Has chosen it, but above all in that inescapable,  
that we, the victims, the Unhappy exiles of the Nothingness, experience when driven out of a  
paradise Pelagic obscurity in which no contradiction had ever occurred to us, we We find  
suddenly struggling, monstrously compressed, to the limit Poisoning, asphyxia and syncope,  
with a very bad obstetric canal Designed by this incompetent handyman whom we call Mother  
Nature.

Let us not downplay this dramatic episode of the coming-in-the-world: anyone Took the trouble  
to read Otto Rank or Sandor Ferenczi knows that the birth Constitutes a real cataclysm, a major



trauma whose existence is not enough to cure us. Brusque confrontation with a hostile world,  
Radically different from anything we had known before,  
Well: birth is our first wound.

In reality life always ends in a catastrophic way,  
Even that it began with a disaster, birth.  
- Sandor Ferenczi, Thalassa. Hungary, 20th century.

The primitive voluptuous state was interrupted, in a way  
Undesirable, by the act of birth and the purpose of all life  
To replace this lost paradise.

The feeling of primitive anguish that accompanies birth [...]  
Manifests itself throughout life, until death.

[The birth is] a painful situation to excess.  
- Otto Rank, The Trauma of Birth. Austria, 20th Century

Life can hardly start worse than by birth.  
Would nature at once want to displease us and annoy us  
Herself, that she would hardly have made the coming into the world more painful. A  
Little more painful, it would have been to take the risk of giving up only  
Corpses or cripples: more than one baby of the rest holds nowadays  
Still the exquisite privilege of dying stifled or dislocated by the vagina of  
A bad soul that gives birth, even though most newborn babies  
To survive the process, but not without  
Their corporeal state, of a deteriorated one who touches the repugnant, testifies to the  
The unthinkable violence of the episode of genesis.

Plutarch had already foreseen that the coming-in-the-world was nothing of a moment  
of relaxation. Let us listen to him in his treatise,  
The Love of Fathers and Mothers for their Children:

"It is true that" Of all the animals that creep upon the earth,  
In the air, man is the least happy. "[Homer's famous quotation,  
In The Iliad. NdA]. But this truth is especially evident in the child who comes

To be born. Nothing is so feeble, so indigent, so devoid of everything, so dirty and so shapeless, that The child who comes out of his mother's womb. Of all animate beings, he is the only one to whom the Nature has not granted pure entry into life. He enters it with blood and Less like a living being than a man who would come from massacre. "

Massacre: it is significant that the term reappears identically, tenneuf Centuries later, in one of our most essential contemporary authors (A thousand leagues away from disposable writers overflowing the media): Frédéric Leboyer, an authentic obstetrical specialist, and a real practitioner Experienced, who had the rare courage to describe the fall-in-the-world in any His terrible brutality. Let us savor here his denunciation, of which it is useless To point out that it raised a pretty scandal among the "visually impaired" people:

That, a birth? It is an assassination! And before such a Suffering, these ecstatic parents! But it is not credible! Birth is suffering. And not just childbirth. Coming to the world is as painful as it was, there is not so much For a long time, to be born. When he said "the birth is Suffering ", the Buddha spoke not of the mother but of the child. To be born, what a calamity! Worse still is the burning of air in the lungs. [...] This Burn, yes, exceeds in horror all the others. In the child, Everything rears, everything closes, spits out, everything seeks to repel the enemy. And that's the cry! This first howl is a no! It's the The shock of a being whom one assassinates, that one violates, it is an outraged refusal, Passionate, against what, precisely, is life! All the vertigo, all the anxieties bear the same signature: the birth. [...] With all his strength the child struggles against. [...] The child Is no more than a block of terror. [...] Lost in this hostile universe, Incomprehensible, insane, he never ceases to suffocate with fear. [...] He rejects his birth and the world. Yes, here is the birth, the massacre of an innocent man, this torture, Calvary.

Frédéric Leboyer, For a Birth without Violence. France, 20th century

Bigre: massacre, rape, assassination, calvary, terror, calamity, burning, torment! What conclusion can be drawn from this, except that between a woman who Have heard these kill echoes) and a hangman, there is no The slightest difference, except that, strangely, the parturient is never Dragged before the magistrates ...

To see a recent child, his crumpled body (cyanosé, edematié, asphyxic  
As the medical literature confesses ...), to contemplate his plowed face of  
Shrieks, his look lacerated with anguish, his cheeks scratched with tears, who would doubt  
That he had just been subjected to the equivalent of beatings by a  
Horde of pithecanthropus? What sadism in parents to inflict  
Full knowledge of the circumstances, such abuses, such  
" Darling " !

To the hypocritical and idle souls who would allow themselves to tie a pout  
Skeptical about the genuine torture inflicted on the child at birth,  
The following:

The specialized works, without daring the heroic-speaking truth of a Leboyer,  
The state of shock in which the newborn  
Can only, in desperation, advise the Caesarean when  
Fetal distress becomes extreme (include: when the agony that the baby  
Crosses the shoot more and more towards the decease pure and simple); of the  
The most serious, some have ventured to bring the sudden  
Of the fetus from a protective aqueous medium to a hostile air environment  
With what an astronaut stripped suddenly of his combination  
Space! ; Finally, while such a dictionary of medicine compares  
Hesitation the birth to a screw (sic) having to make a passage through the  
The osteous basin of the parturient, other texts, one can not more official,  
Jaundice is particularly prevalent in the survivor, and Bruises and hematomas due to extraction  
and manipulation  
Various types of injury, which are easy to understand since it seems  
Nature has thought more of coitus by fashioning a vagina than by putting it into a mud-basin  
Of a new being.  
What! The little one would suffer little when coming into the world? He needs  
Often tearing the sex of his genitrix to extirpate him and his skull still  
Malleable, surrounded by a gigantic vise, would not be sacked with pain?  
Worse: the obstetrician sometimes has to urgently use the forceps or extractor  
To pull the "screw" to the sucker where it agonizes! What to say  
The need for the resuscitation of these wretches on  
Of the crusher! Would it not be ignored that some of them emerge only dead and head  
Duly crushed to the cephalotribe? As for all those who escape

Vagina martyris auteur, they scream like goretts gorged, and one would come  
To doubt that the episode of the coming-in-the-world is akin to the worst torments  
Endurable!

Well no ! Not a midwife, ask them, who only recognizes

Birth is one of the most horrible things that can happen to a human being!

One would like, to eviscerate the ostriches euphemistic the atrocity of

Cite all the specialists of the gesine.

If not, let us delight in the confessions of a successful pediatrician

Suspect of "dramatizing the drama". One can not, by reading these lines of the famous

Doctor Spock, roaring with hilarity or going to the

Close to exterminate those who suffer

To a newborn:

The appearance of a baby at birth usually disappoints parents  
Who have never seen it. [...] Her face is puffy and bumpy, and  
Sometimes keeps the bluish marks of forceps or suckers.  
Her head, distorted by the "molding" of childbirth, is  
Asymmetrical: flat forehead, elongated skull, irregular lines. Of  
In addition, some babies have a serum-blood lump, or  
Subcutaneous haemorrhage, located on the head and  
Weeks to disappear. Two or three days after birth,  
The baby can make a small jaundice that lasts about one  
week.

- Benjamin Spock, How to heal

And educate your child. United States, 20th  
Century.

Clearly, a woman can not be blamed

Has just given birth, no more than castrate enough the barbarian who

Fertilized! By their conscious and deliberate couple, a helpless baby comes

To suffer the equivalent of a killing whose terrible memory will haunt

All the rest of his existence! How does Justice not sanction

An act of such sordid cruelty? How does it not at least

The father, the inseminator, the most superfluous of men, who became an accomplice

Of such infamy (what do I say: such a slaughter), if only for

"Non-assistance to very young person in danger"?

The abortions ignore their chance, for it is to reflection no creature

Which has not been the object of astounding violence on the part of its breeders. from

Our birth, here are our parents heavily indebted to us; Only one

Right to do so: to make every effort to compensate us  
To have had to come into the world!

At the very moment when her mother extracted her out of her womb, she  
Torturer of his own child! Knowing that there are no  
Police than the ones used in the "genital  
Baby who, in his more than defending body, goes through it, one would understand better  
That the young lady and her lamentable fecundor receive a reprimand,  
A postilion of disdain, the medal of ferocity, or even a severe fine  
For "ill-treatment of a person for whom they were responsible"  
And of which it was up to them to refrain from conceiving it, instead  
The Pharisees congratulations and the bouquet of tulips  
Protocolary incensing the sinister "heroes" of the day!

A child was horribly mistreated, a toddler has just suffered  
Like a slug passed under the tire of a bicycle, a frail one  
Marmouset comes to know one of the most terrible disasters of its  
Existence, and here is the whole assembly occupied with rejoicing with the assent  
Of judicial authorities: unfortunate baby, in what world have you come from  
Make your painful entrance! As you can already see, here  
Victims are always wrong and evildoers flourish  
public.

All these premeditated carnations give birth  
At least of a sheer truth:  
From the outset,  
Living is tantamount to suffering ...

## **b. Pain Relief**

After suffering, you must suffer still.  
Alfred de Musset, The Night of August. France, 19th century  
Century.

Let us pass over the innumerable subsequent wounds, nameless anxieties,  
Anger without remedy, which will inflict on us the necessary adaptive effort to  
Our very unfriendly environment during our childhood: we do not  
Not the physical pain (blows, diseases ...) nor the reasons for crying  
Or psychic disorders more or less pronounced in juveniles,

Statistics.

And for many of us, even this privilege as a child soldier, or  
That a child-prostitute, or a child-slave of the Third World envies us:  
school. For many of us, the school was hardly less unpleasant than  
jail ; Still the convicts, these perpetual vacationers, are  
Less exempt from blockades and docimological estrapades ...  
Invariably, throughout our youth, growing up is tantamount to suffering.

Every childhood is less a golden age than an interminable odyssey of bloody  
Sobs and nauseating submission to the socio-parental requirements.  
We would be wrong, once we reached adulthood, to believe us  
Immune to the bad jokes of fate. The adultery  
Unemployment, or worse: the stress of  
Professional life, the hassle of family or sentimental life, worries  
Financial difficulties, exasperating domestic chores, constraints  
Disgust, lassitude, pathologies, disappointments and  
Polymorphic tests to which no man ever escapes, whatever  
His destiny, of glory or decadence.

Thus, to live one's adult life is to suffer again ...

Then, here is old age and its infinite procession of boredom, evils,  
Of remorse, of monotony, of regret, of illness  
(Definitely intuitive, what health these diseases!), Weaknesses, discomforts,  
Of impotence, of more or less solitary grief, of bodily pain  
(Still ...), mourning and especially anguish in the face of the octopus of death  
Petrifying tentacles approach at very fast pace.  
Alas, old age is always suffering ...

This is the synopsis of all existence.

But perhaps these are only opinions, only points of view (for  
Life also has its "good moments": it is besides the least  
One can ask him, will criticize the captains of the long course, account  
Considering the exorbitant price to which these fugitive "good times" are bought!),

But Beyond our subjective perceptions of life, which are revealed to us by the  
- and these realities will not admit the dispute - that the  
Structures, the mechanisms that determine our survival and  
Distribution of joys and sorrows?

Do we know enough that it is possible to demonstrate that life  
Of evils than property? That it is comfortable to establish that the conditions  
A priori of appearance of the evil are more flexible than the conditions of appearance  
Of good and that the wheels that govern existence have enough squeaking  
To attest to the superiority of pessimism over natalist doctrines?  
Let's see.

The Bionomic Decalogue,  
Or the 10 Laws of Existence.

This brief argument will suffice to highlight the terrible asymmetry  
Ontological reigning between certain suffering and enjoyment only  
Possible!

**1. We are born of Needs**

**Whose non-satisfaction engenders pain.**

Food, shelter, adequate sleep, protection from  
Innumerable enemies and predators, stay in a state of health  
Decent, find a satisfying sexual partner (difficult this, very difficult):  
So many heavy constraints on which we constantly flay ourselves.  
Anyone who, alas, for the sake of tranquility, tries to evade these tedious  
Native obligations falls right in the face of suffering ...

**2. To meet our needs,**

**Constant necessity of the Effort and the Struggle.**

Now all Effort, in essence, is disagreeable since it is the antithesis of the  
Rest, the Nonchaloir, the Idleness that we so much court.  
Life without struggle, there is no struggle without displeasure. If we do not try to appease  
Our needs, we suffer; If we fight to soften them, we  
Still suffer. "No bread, no gain," so justly threatens the Anglo-Saxon proverb ...  
Whoever is born therefore falls into the certainty of annoyance,  
The ocean of difficulties to overcome, with no other hope than to be defeated by the ultimate  
Difficulty: dying ...

**3. Misery abounds,**

**Happiness tends to escape.**

It is incomparably easier to be unhappy, on this planet  
Of incessant struggles, than to find happiness there. Doing nothing is enough for us

Suffering, while on the other hand, stubborn efforts are  
Not always to guarantee us bliss! This law alone ruins, and  
Definitively, any optimistic claim.

**4. Pain is experiencing  
More intensely than voluptuousness.**

Compare orgasm with a rage of teeth or even with a simple  
Indigestion or with a trivial cancer. Let us pass over the rapes,  
Crashes, serious accidents and other extreme tortures always  
Possible ...

**5. The Temporality of Happiness is shorter  
Than the Temporality of Woe.**

Subjective time flows faster in jouissance than in suffering.  
Nothing slows a clock better than the index fired by the flame of a lighter.  
From a psychological point of view, therefore, the life of the happy man is always  
Already shorter than that of the unhappy man: what more dismaying?

**6. Pleasure only takes time  
Voluptuous stimulation;  
The pain lasts much longer  
Than the event that causes it.**

Well-being dissipates a few minutes after orgasm or feast (the  
Hassles so quickly pick up ...) but a car or work accident,  
If not a holiday, you sometimes torture your life ...

**7. Health does not provide in itself**

No positive enjoyment; The disease causes  
On the contrary, a very perceptible disagreement.  
Compare a healthy bone or stomach with a fractured bone or  
Stomach covered with ulcers!

**8. The essence of desire is dissatisfaction  
And its realization has no other flavor  
Than the Deception.**

To desire is to suffer from a want; However, as soon as this gap is  
While each object of conquered desire turns out to be inferior  
To what we hoped for: nothing fills us permanently. Watch out for  
Newlyweds six months after their wedding ...

**9. Prolonged happiness leads**



**On to new sufferings:**

**Boredom and Anxiety to Lose**

**This hardly conquered bliss.**

If, by a miracle, at the end of a legion of architectonic efforts, sometimes  
We feel happy, it is enough that nothing happens within this  
Happiness so that the torments of Lassitude come insidiously  
To annihilate our illusion of well-being: disgust triumphs as well from love  
That of a stay too prolonged on a islet considered paradisiacal ...  
And even if, at the price of a thousand entertaining tricks, we would succeed  
To keep us a time on the summits of fullness, the least  
Interstice left free in our mental space will confront us with the sinister and  
Agonizing certainty of having one day to fall from these eudemonic privileges. In the end, no  
one can proclaim himself happy when he remembers that  
The future, heavy with the lacerating laws of life, stands before him!

**10. Anguish is the skeleton of all destiny.**

Even if we could (but how could we  
Since it was our first experience, the imprint, the model of all  
The following?) To free ourselves from the archetypal anxiety inherited from our  
Birth, how, keeping in mind the ever-increasing  
Experiences and difficulties that have plagued our past.  
To fear their resurgence, according to a modality perhaps even more atrocious,  
In a more or less proximate future?  
How to deal with all the potential aggressions that surround us  
Not be afraid at any time that one of them reaches us and  
What?

How can we finally silence the anguish associated with our most intimate project, the  
Less uncertain: to suffer the death?

To exist is nothing but to wander into a forest of fears where the  
Question is never whether Evil will reach us, but only  
When and in what form it will make us its terrified prey. For our lives  
Subconscious, all future is terror (as all past is error): that we  
Survive the trials of fate or that we have to face that of death,  
Every next day has the tint of anxiety for us and we do not begin  
Never a day, if we question the confidential whirlwinds of our  
Soul, without fearing what it reserves to us ... To live, it is certainly to suffer but  
It is also constantly overcoming the anguish of suffering!

Conclusion:

**Suffering is consubstantial with Existence,  
And the anguish of suffering the very texture  
Of our Humanity!**

These are the Ten Basic Laws that structure our  
Experience of life: they curl, admit it, the sinister and obliterate  
Any way exaggerated enthusiasm about the "happiness" of existing. Mostly,  
They can only radically disqualify any vitalist impetus: how  
Deny that the worst is always more within reach than the best? Depressing Irremediable and  
inculpatory ontological asymmetry, we are always  
Already more certain to moan than to enjoy ...

**c. Dying Pain**

Vulnerant omnes ultima necat.  
All wound us and the last one kills us.  
Epigraphs of the clocks of time in the past.

At last, standing before us like a wall of bloody fangs,  
The moment of death; We will have to go through tortures  
Physical and psychic agony (αγωνία: struggle, anxiety) for  
The right to leave this unfortunate existence in which  
We had hardly asked to make our entry ...

Not content with having inflicted on us the sorrow to come into the world, our  
Parents, as genuine assassins, have the delicacy to inflict on us the  
to leave.

And yet, given the pain of existing, we would often be tempted to  
We exclaim: "Finally death! If she did not move forward with a  
New distress batch. Alas, every death is terrible, if it were not so,  
We would gladly put an end to our days, even before we could have  
We reproduce ...

We must, throughout our lives, bear the instinctive fear of  
Lose, which is yet inevitable. What man  
He feared the most, he must, of all necessity, undergo it!  
To give birth to a being does not only force him to struggle  
To ensure a (barely) tolerable destiny, it also obliges him to listen without

Remission the funereal background noise that whispers to him, hour after hour,  
From the age of reason: "Thou also one day thou shalt die, and it shall be neither foolish nor  
festive ! ".

In short, all his painful labors will only bring back to nothingness,  
Of an odyssey of the most trying, a creature deconflicts, unspeakably  
Disgusted to know always already promised to that even inexistence to which  
Her cruel breeders have imbeciled her ...

Whether swift or dragging, the death is full of inconveniences  
(Fears, spasms, alarms, convulsions, it is said of agony ...): it does not  
Inspire not an instinctive fear without reason; The body that dies is a  
An organism that suffers, and suffers all the more because it struggles to survive.  
Of the three main types of death: accident, sickness, old age,  
Deserves our votes, which rejoices us? No. All of us are repugnant,  
We are horrified.

The first day of your birth leads you to die as  
to live.

Every day go to death, the last one arrives.  
- Michel de Montaigne, Essays. France, XVIth century

Imagine a number of men in the chains, and all  
Condemned to death, some of whom were each day slaughtered  
View of others, those who remain see their own condition in  
That of their fellow-men, and, looking at each other with  
Pain and hope, await in their turn. It is the image of  
Condition of men.

- Blaise Pascal, Thoughts. France, 17th century

Our manufacturers have indeed condemned us to death and we should  
To show them filial piety? But my brave Confucius, my adorable  
Moses, my syrupy Civil Code, you are joking! The assassins deserve  
The jail, how is it that our father and mother escape it if not because  
That most of us nurture the sadistic desire to generate at their  
tower ? A crime committed by all is no less a crime. Who dances with joy  
Before the ordeal of dying? No one. We tremble in unison. We  
We suspect unanimously the inexpressible pain that existence  
Inflict one last time before finally releasing us from its hold ...

In the proper sense, death is ringing the hour when my parents kill me! I die  
Because I had to be born, I agonize because my parents have given birth to me  
And have, with full conscientiousness, premeditated, kneaded with malevolence  
Theomimetic, shaped agonizable, fungible, biodegradable, marcescent,  
Nightmarishly deadly! Without dithering, I declare my parents  
Homicides and the incarcerated as such! I pass by their fault,  
I leave this life by suffering in spite of me an ultimate torment, by them Only imposed, and  
abjectly symmetrical to the inaugural, since finally one  
Dies as one is born: in panic-stricken anxiety and uneasiness  
Any description!

To live is to die, and to die,  
As much as to be born is to suffer ...  
Just unbutton his eyelids:  
The birth is suffering,  
Life is suffering,  
Death is suffering,  
And therefore procreation a cruelty  
Of first magnitude  
Deserving of so many slaps  
That there are bacteria in an rectum.

Decidedly, the Three Sorrows, that our parents deliberately  
Bequeath and impose, seem to assert life rather as a burden than  
As jubilation ...

Review of this algological introductory chapter. The existence having no  
Only extorted far more complaints from men than from celebrations  
Unconditional, but above all proved permeable to the demonstration, by the  
By means of a simple phenomenological analysis, of its transcendental harmfulness,  
It is therefore hard to see what basis of cocorico-vitalist  
Desire for Descent!

To be able to read in full awareness the rules of the penitentiary which  
Metaphorizes all terrestrial destiny, which would really want to  
His entrance? Surely not a thoughtful and clearly informed  
What awaits him!

**Posture ii**

**Arguments (flasks)  
In favor of the Procreation**

Marrying for the purpose of having children,  
That our name may not perish,  
Or to have supports in old age,  
Or to leave incontestable heirs,  
It is the height of stupidity.

- Theophrastus, The Marriage. Greece, IVth  
Century. AD

Thus, full of errors which it believes are legitimate,  
His tranquil virtue preserves all his crimes.

- Nicolas Boileau, Satire X. France, 17th century

Before analyzing, according to the ferrule of La Rochefoucauld, the macerating defects  
Under the pretended virtues of maternity, let us first take the time  
To exterminate one by one the main arguments put forward by the partisans  
Of childbirth. It will be seen that not one is faced with the double requirement of Reason and  
The Ethics, in short before what constitutes the essential of the philosophy ...

**a. Love**

You will first pretend to be born of "love". Because you cook  
Love you, you man, and you woman, it seems to you happy to crown  
This love of a being that would advance like the synthesis of yourselves and  
As the materialization of the feelings supposed to unite you.

Very good. But above all, are you sure of the love that unites you? Because  
The statistics show that a very large number of  
Marriages (one out of two) or unions (much more),  
Base of love, lethal illusion, end in a divorce or a breakup!  
As for marriages that do not unravel, they often rot more  
That they do not persist ... Nothing is more absurd than to give birth supposedly  
Love to then witness the slow dislocation of your couple through hatred,  
Or lassitude ... The child that resulted from your "love" also ephemeral  
That uncertain, on the other hand, will have the lasting certainty of suffering,  
Since he was born.

Countless children are likely to see their parents

Separated within ten years of birth. How to argue  
A feeling that it is not known whether or not it will continue to  
Creature in a world where, for their part, the faithful Difficulties?

Moreover, even though, by exception, love between two beings  
Would persevere long enough for the pangs of divorce to spare  
Its fruit: is it sincerely loving her child to propel her into a  
World where misery abounds while happiness presents an unfortunate one  
Propensity to be delayed, if not to escape?

To love, it seems to me, is to desire all the possible good for the object  
Of his Love. Now life is more akin to an evil than to a good:  
This was sufficiently proved. In the unlikely where your personal experience  
Would not have sufficed to break your worrying blindness as to the tragic  
To exist, reread scrupulously Euripides, Cicero, Lucretius, Seneca,  
Marc-Aurelius, Petrarch, Donne, Quevedo, Gracian, Milton, Pascal, Swift,  
Voltaire, Hume, Chamfort, Chateaubriand, Bonaventura, Foscolo, Byron,  
Schopenhauer, Leopardi, Lenau, Buchner, Kierkegaard, Lermontov, Leconte  
Of the Isle, Turgenev, Baudelaire, Dostoevsky, Twain, Bierce, Lautreamont,  
Strindberg, Maupassant, Panizza, Kafka, Jean Rostand, Artaud, Ghelderode,  
Hedayat, Sartre, Beckett, Pavese, Ionesco, Cioran, Caraco, Sternberg or  
Jaccard, in the coat of arms of myriads others (for the extent will always escape  
To evoke all the thinkers that life despaired of itself), as well as  
The whole of Christian, Buddhist, Gnostic, Platonic literature  
Or brahmanic, to quote only ... An elementary chrestomat  
Pessimism would still cover thousands of pages!

If one truly loves one's child,  
There is no other option,  
In view of the sufferings of which life is full,  
Than to abstain from giving it birth!

## **b. The tasty Adventure of Living**

The catalog of human lamentations immediately destroys the second  
Argument of the candidates for parenthood: "the enjoyment that there would be in existence"  
And therefore the benevolence which there would be in making exist a non-existent.  
What enjoyment? Have we not established above that the existence of  
Contains structurally more evils than goods?

Thus, to those who would try the argument, a hypocrisy yet  
Hallucinating, life as "wonderful adventure deserving to be lived,  
It remains easy to argue that even if such was the case, even if existence and  
Suffering were not perfect synonyms, even if living, by madness,  
Impossible, would lead to the supreme enjoyment, the one that does not exist  
Lose nothing by not being there, since, obviously, in order to experience such a  
Loss, you must already be part of the beings!

What does not exist does not know what it loses, it even ignores all that it gains  
- a real fortune! - not to be. What does not exist does not  
Regret, no remorse, no worry, no injury, no dilemma between "being or  
Not to be ": its divine non-existence puts it at the shelter of all disappointment,  
All want, every need, every temptation, sometimes to live, sometimes to  
Die.

That to exist can charm the genomes of moles, we do not doubt,  
But that the blind do not rely on the pretext, to offer their  
Naveling the child they dream of, the privilege that there would be  
That the non-existent remain perfectly sheltered from such a preoccupation!  
Not your Pharisees, your children, you will not deprive them of anything  
Nothing by letting them vegetate into nothingness, and this for an excellent reason:  
It is because nothingness can not lack anything, can not complain of anything, or  
Wear mourning for anything!

So devil your viscous "generosity": life is a gift  
Poisoned, the non-tasting of which does not in any way affect the inetants.  
Once and for all, not to be born is to escape all prejudices,  
Including that of not being born, if birth could be something other than  
Prejudice...

"But if life proves so detestable, will protest the captious, how is it  
That most still choose to continue living rather than commit suicide  
without delay ?

It is easy to exclude this objection if one remembers that man is  
An animal which continues to obey much more its instinct than its reason. We  
Live as we reproduce ourselves: by pure genetic conditioning.  
Throughout the ages, there must have existed species very little inclined to act  
Fertilization (see, to this day, the limiting case of  
Pandas) than the bitter struggle for life. All of course, under the pressure of  
Natural selection, have disappeared. Only the most fertile species

Terror of death proved sufficient to survive. The point is that the  
Fear of death was one of the decisive genetic mutations in term  
Of adaptive advantage. All primordial individuals lacking such a gene  
Died before they even founded an embryo ...

Thus, although Reason has long taught the capable man  
To think of the insoluble tragic of his destiny, our species, endowed as  
All the others of the gene of the thanatophobia (or at least of the allegophobia,  
Of the pain inherent in perishing), our species I said has still not taken  
The party to die out. Does this prove that life is worth living or  
Only the efficacy of such a gene, the despotism of the Instinct?  
Needless to simulate: we all know that instinct dominates our  
Psyche that the considerations of the highest spirituality have taken.  
Anyone who denies such a well-established fact would have its place heated  
Side of a literary character representative enough of average mankind:  
I have named Tartuffe.

Living we dislike, but dying terrorizes us: we do not choose  
Life, but not a less painful alternative. Grant to  
The assurance that only nothingness awaits him beyond the grave and  
Guarantee him a death without pain or anguish, you will multiply  
The rate of suicide that humanity will soon enter  
Sweet pantheon of extinct species ...

As one of the reasons why we remain in the prison of  
Life to which our moments of lucidity find so little charm,  
Both the fear of divine punishment and the fear of being reborn,  
Is born not a first time without fear that there may be a second!  
Hell or reincarnation, a latent pessimism, inherited precisely from our  
Experience of the real, our inextinguishable mistrust  
Our determination to renew our stay among the evils we  
We know rather than expose ourselves to others of whom we know nothing.  
Hamlet Complex ...

If we do not trucidate tonight, it is also in the hope  
That tomorrow will compensate us for the penalties suffered yesterday. How many  
We live only the way of the player each day a little more ruined and  
Yet playing more and more in the hope of mopping its losses,  
to remake oneself " ? Utopia nevertheless: death will complete us completely  
Before we have received one-tenth of the dividends that we



To pay this insolvent debtor who is the existence ...

Anyone who engages in the dangerous game of life must admit that he  
May accumulate losses on losses until the day on which the party  
Totally lost.

To summarize, the reason for our hesitation in the face of extinction  
Individual or collective group holds in this sad apophthegm: we live less by  
Love of life only by terror of death; The trials of our destiny  
Still seem preferable to the anxious pain that would  
To escape from it.

Similarly, we do not reproduce ourselves by philosophy, or  
Religious concern, or philanthropic benevolence, but only  
By blind obedience to the Instinct. Aphids have only one  
Weak argumentative consciousness: they multiply very well without recourse to  
Hypocritical pretexts.

### **c. Prolonging humanity**

Among these pretexts often invoked to justify that a miserable baby  
To expose ourselves to our disarray, the idea of "perpetuating  
The species ".

In order to counter this argument of such idealistic idealism,  
Note that the human species did not exist a billion years ago  
And no one complained about it ... Let us imagine now that our species  
Disappears, and who will then remain to complain? The  
The last of men? No, no, this one will also have disappeared; Then what voice  
Human beings will groan on the evaporation of the most ferocious of all predators?  
Who will regret that the branch of the primates, which has never ceased  
To make war and kill each other ever since he (a little, oh so little)  
Differentiated from other monkeys, suddenly ceased to exist? The animals that  
We spend our time exploiting, abusing, torturing, imprisoning and  
Genocider? Certainly not.

Moreover, according to all the current scientific evidence, the species  
Human being is destined to extinction since the star that enlightens us  
And warms us up has nothing eternal. Let us admit the scenario,  
For the hour of pure fantasy, which would assume that man settled one day  
Around another star, or even colonize the entire universe (for  
That it has not been massacred by an alien civilization

Superior, superior in technique but equally malicious ...), how  
Would this ubiquitous multiplication advance? How our destiny  
Would be less absurd and ridiculous because we populate ten thousand lands  
Instead of one? How is our metaphysical anguish diminished? In  
What the hassles, the despair, the lassitude of the work alternating with the woods  
Boredom, disappointed love, the fear of the morrow and all the others  
Torments of the soul, less numerous?  
Perpetuating the species, but for what purpose? Everything that is perpetuated in  
Perpetuating the species, it is the opportunities to suffer and to complain  
Individuals whose sum constitutes it! Species is only a concept; reality  
These are legions of individuals who suffer ...

After all, if the species wishes to perpetuate itself, let it manage without us.  
The child does not have to become the instrument of our curiosity about the question  
To know what the species will become; He has no more to take his place  
Of a simple block in this wall of the lamentations that constitute the human kind  
In order to fill those who fear its inevitable reduction in  
Dust!

Big Chill or Big Crunch, absolute entropy or apocalyptic contraction  
Of the universe: life has no future. Of necessity, evolution will cease and  
Destruction will have the last word: why defer it?

Why to allow others to come and make this striking observation  
Of failure? Scientifically, Death will be stronger than love, eternally  
Superior to any ideal, to any project, to any edifice, to any civilization, to any  
Biology; To pretend to the contrary is tantamount to feasting on the last toes of the  
superstition.

In any case, the non-existent mocks whether the human race  
Will or will not join, more or less early, the category, moreover enviable,  
Of non-existence. The non-existent does not exist and does not complain  
World...

"Ah, but sir, you must be aware that if humanity disappears we shall not  
No more of Shakespeare, Tolstoy or Gandhi!

"Certainly, but we will not have either Hitler or Stalin or  
Leopold II neither of Pol Pot nor of Pinochet or of Bush nor of exterminators  
Native Americans or Australian Aborigines, or colonizers of Africa,  
Nor of leaders of multinationals, nor especially of mephitic writers,  
Always more numerous than the suaves.

Nine-tenths of humanity live without having read a traitorous  
Shakespeare or Tolstoy, which considerably reduces the scope of your  
And then, what would be your amazement if I told you that  
The implicit work of most diamonds of thought was to make  
Sufficiently aware of his miserable condition to  
The party to gradually give up reproducing!

Listen to Shakespeare in Macbeth for example:

"Life is only a passing shadow, a poor actress  
Who struts and torments during his hour of scene,  
And then you can not hear. It's a fairy tale  
Contested by an idiot, full of noise and fury,  
Meaning nothing. "

Or in this edgy passage of his Henry IV:

"O God! That one can read the book of destiny,  
And see the revolution of times,  
[...] how random mock us,  
And reversals fill the cup of change  
Of various liquors! O, if it could be seen,  
The happiest of young men,  
Contemplating the course of his life,  
What perils past, what troubles to come, -  
Close the book, sit on it and die. "

You observe here some parenetic shaking in favor of the  
Parturition? Not me.

You prefer Tolstoy in The Sonata in Kreutzer?

- What good is there?

- What's the point ? To live.

"But to live for what?" If no goal is pursued, if life  
We have been given for itself, we have no reason to  
live. If so, the Schopenhauers, the Hartmanns, and all the  
Buddhists are perfectly right.

The human race must disappear? Does anyone

No matter how he looks at the world, can doubt it? It is  
As unmistakable as death. According to the doctrine of the Church,  
The world will have an end, according to the teaching of science, this end  
Is inevitable. What is it that is surprising that the teaching of  
The same conclusions?  
Children are a torment and nothing more.

Listen finally to Gandhi in All Men are Brothers:

I do not want to be born again.  
Suffering is the law of men.

Or in his Letters to the Ashram:

A person whose activity is entirely devoted to the realization of  
The Truth, which demands absolute disinterest, has no leisure to  
Devoted to the selfish occupation of engendering children and directing  
a house.

And conclude, rather fiercely:

The more their families are numerous and the more remote they are from love  
Universal.

This is the speech of a great Soul.

If the genius is indeed the one who participates in the raising of the level of consciousness  
Of mankind, there is no doubt that the sum of the genial thoughts  
Will one day lead humanity to admit the primacy of  
Being.

"To perpetuate the species" ... Of a grotesque! If our species  
Resplendent with Virtues, if all his tragedies were not yet unfolded  
On the background of Absurd ...

Come, let the species disappear, who will be worse? Your  
Hope? But whatever your hope, since it is bought at the price of blindness  
Of which the leguminous plants, which are themselves so fertile, feel solidarity.

#### **d. To leave something behind**

Another argument often comes in the mouth of irresponsible  
Which engender us. It is for them to "leave a trace". curious  
Impulse.

Let us make the point immediately that from an ethological point of view,  
Is similar to the attitude of many mammals  
Abandoning a dejection on the ground to mark their presence or their  
territory. The dog who urinates at the lamppost also leaves a trace; this  
Unlike the baby, is entitled to the privilege of not having to  
Endure the exhausting constraints of existence ...

From a psychoanalytic angle, as we know, this desire to "leave a trace"  
Is rooted in the behavior of the toddler who identifies his  
Excrements to a gift and strives to make the very wise present at his  
Mother.

Nothing very evolved or very mature in this desire to mark his  
Temporal space while presenting to his contemporaries a "thing"  
Fallen down a viscera ... There is definitely too much of this in this fantasy  
Identity so that it can constitute a credible argument.  
But trace for trace, if really it is important to leave one in order to  
Not deny our instinct of mammals or betray our futile hope  
Of immortality, it seems to me that a work of art, science, thought or  
Philanthropy, is still a little better than a disaster  
flesh. It is also useful and nobler to  
others. For to leave a fleshly offspring, it is within reach  
Of the first earthworm. Nothing is more amusing than to observe a  
Cow calve, or a pig fertilizing his sow.

Thus, in reality, life is generated only by the inability to do better:  
Engender spiritual ...

" Leave a trace ". Very strange idea. There are so many mediocre beings,  
And they want to leave a trace of their mediocrity! Save this  
Gentlemen, we will do without your  
memory. Unnoticed during your lifetime, you hope to be seen post-mortem?  
You want to perpetuate your name? What is the point of your child imitating you and

Remains as anonymous as yourself?

Recognize the absurdity, even the burlesque, of this will of you  
In order to "not fall into oblivion" since a few  
Generations your great-grandchildren will not even know  
Your name or the color of your hair, as to the luxuriance of your  
Personality...

If you dread the ephemeral as well as the drama of our mortality (in  
You have no qualms about registering the custodians  
You get drunk ...), rather burn your anthroponym on an outcrop  
Of granite: some petroglyphs happily cross the millennia. Or  
Still have an elegant quatrain of your own carved on your tombstone,  
The latter will still participate in the readable when your pitiful heirs  
Have already been eaten by other worms.

**e. The Religious Obligation: "God said that" (but also the  
than)**

Somewhat more seriously, others exhort the "metaphysical injunction"  
To accredit their unhealthy caprice of descent. God would require us  
That we perpetuate ourselves. What do you know about that? Would you be familiar with  
Decrees of the divine? This God so little palpable, so little thirst-quenching,  
That for all these decades we glossed while Questing in the most sincere energy of our thirsty  
psyche, we Still not managed to put its existence in evidence! No evidence of  
The reality of what we call God ... Not even a clue, just one  
Which each religious system succeeds in making  
Collide and contradict each other until fomenting wars in the name of  
First principle doubtfully questionable!

I ask: How do you know that the divine would rejoice that we  
Copulations in order to lay? Have you read it in the Scriptures? Either, and  
What deity do the Scriptures tell us? From an omnipotent and  
Omniscient, is not it? Consider, then, that an omnipotent deity,  
That is, who can do everything, including abolishing all pain, if only for the  
Innocent, think that such a divinity, in view of the  
Our planet crawls, can only be the Devil in person!  
Yes, if God exists and is omnipotent, God is identical to the Demon.  
We understand better than that he wants us to reproduce ourselves ...  
Evil wants Evil, as parents want children.

Of necessity, the goodness of God is inversely proportional to his power !

Our universe is revealed to be so lacking, that it would be the worst  
To create creatures in the name of the One who inflicts so many evils on  
His creatures.

Everything was created by God,  
Therefore evil was created by God,  
Therefore God is maleficent.

If God exists, let him manage without us: we do not have to  
Making new prey, new slaves or new children  
Of choir to enliven his celestial leisure. That it is satisfied with the 80 billion  
Of creatures which mankind has already given him; 80 billion wolves-for man,  
In the hands of the circle-of which-the-center-is-everywhere-and-the-circumferential-  
Is not that sufficient?

Yes, that's quite enough.

We wear it every day in our fibers and in our  
Soul: pain even advances as one of the safest constituents of the  
Phenomenal world where the biontes struggle ...

Since when, in a reasoning based on logic, a hypothesis  
Would weigh the same weight as a certainty?

In doubt, it is better to abstain: this is the essence of the Principle of  
Precaution, so much advocated nowadays where one never ceases to fantasize  
On the zero risk ...

In this regard, gentlemen of the Security Forces, we have the honor of  
Announce: it is possible to escape from any risk, it is enough not to be born.  
Smoking kills, life too.

By the way, let us remark that the founder of a religion  
Who claims to know much about God, Christianity, has finally left  
Very few carnal descendants ... Born of a virgin, Christ died virgin

And without children, not without asking us to make us eunuchs, of us  
Castrate, in view of the Kingdom of Heaven! I do not perceive there a frightened call  
To cuniculiform fecundity.

No incitement to reproduction in the Gospels, on the contrary, everything  
The spirit of these is based on the eloquent concept of Imitatio Christi: follow the  
Christ, to walk in his paradigmatic traces, to imitate our virtue on the  
To mold our destiny on its stimulating redemptive example.

Well, dear Christian brothers, let us imitate Christ, invite mankind  
To imitate it, to fall under its categorical imperative, and the  
Fallen according to the sin of Eve will have disappeared, according to our wishes, in less  
Of a century ...

This radical hostility of Christ to progeny, not only  
Gnostics had immediately identified him, but Kierkegaard himself  
Will reaffirm its vigor. Here is what the Danish philosopher dared to  
Pages of his Journal:

It goes without saying in the eyes of Christ that the Christian should not marry.  
Reproduction of the species. Christianity wants to block it.  
Put a child into the world! But the child is born in sin after  
Have been conceived by offense, and this existence is a valley of tears.  
No, the error is not that the priest is single ... a Christian  
Must be. God wants [...] that man abandons this egoism that there is in  
to give life. To save our species means: this species is lost, we do not  
That it is a question of being saved on leaving the species, and consequently  
We must start by blocking our species.  
I thank God that no living being owes me existence.  
A crime has brought me into the world, has brought me against the will  
of God. The fault, which in one sense is not mine, though  
To make me a criminal in the eyes of God is to give life.

Stunning concerto of baffes in major punch!  
Obviously most of our so-called Christians have never read anything  
This author, their intellectual comfort and even more their sexual satisfaction  
Depended on it ...

Notwithstanding, on the Century, St. Augustine, in his treatise La Virginité  
Consecrated, had already launched this vigorous warning:



"It is no longer serving Christ to multiply the human race. "  
(Which race at that time, however, did not include more than three  
Hundreds of millions of individuals ...)

The same Father of the Church finally had these definitive and lacerating words  
In his book On the Good of Marriage:

"I know some who whisper: " And if everyone wanted  
To abstain from marriage, how mankind will survive? "  
- If only they all wanted it! [...]: thus the City of God  
Would realize more quickly, and the end of the world would be hastened. "

Here is a call without appeal: Salvation is Extinction!  
Ah, if only they all wanted it, if only they wanted to  
Christians, these nauseous Christians.

Salvation is Extinction. The various spiritualities of India do not  
Hinduism, Jainism, or the rule of law.  
Of Buddhism, their soteriological aim remains identical: to end the  
Birth Cycle (Samsâra)!

To leave the phenomenal world, to free the soul from all terrestrial incarnation,  
Escape from the pain of existing: these thinkers would be  
Secretly anti-natalists? The least that can be said is that their contempt  
Of the sensible world combined with their desire not to be reborn here below does not grow  
Certainly not, from an exegetical point of view, to multiply the deliveries ...

Some examples :

Grace is to show the truth that delivers, grace is the cause of  
The release of the cycle of births and deaths.  
- Shiva Purana. India, circa IXth century.

The sages who have devoted themselves to the method of vigilance,  
Who have renounced the fruit born of acts,  
Those will be freed from the bond of rebirth And go to the place that knows no grief.  
- Bhagavad Gita. India, circa I century BC. AD

Will go to the exact opposite of our world where according to the Mahabharata,  
Let us recall it: "Only, indeed, pain exists; This is why we do not get  
happiness. "

Let us conclude on these few excerpts from the Bardo-Tholol, the famous "Book  
Tibetan of the Dead ", which chants as a leitmotiv the precept of " close  
The doors of the matrix ":

Those who have not received the teaching of a Lama will fall into the  
Precipices and abysses of the world of the cycle of existences where they  
Eternally persecuted by abominable sufferings. Listening  
Therefore my teaching. I showed you the instructions to close  
The doors of the womb by conjuring attraction and aversion.  
If you understand from the bottom of your heart that everything is  
Lie, the door of the womb will close.  
I no longer want this cycle of existences. My heart feared and  
Refuses ceaselessly.

All religious literature of Indian influence abounds in such refusals  
To be born again (therefore to be born); We could quote text after text, school  
After school, but everything was said as early as the seventh  
Century BC, in the famous  
Chândogya Upanishad: "May I never return to the shining membrane,  
Which devours without teeth - never return to the membrane. "

Knowing that this membrane, according to all the commentators,  
Maternal breast, and more precisely the amnios or the placenta ... Curtain.  
What are we waiting for to "lock the uterus"  
In Nirvana is nothing else, etymologically, than to enjoy  
Extinction ...

In Judaism, everyone remembers Job's disgust with Job  
Of his being-in-the-world:

Why did I not die when I came out of the womb,  
Did I not perish immediately?  
Why was there two knees to welcome me,  
Two breasts for breastfeeding?  
Now I would sleep in peace,  
I would sleep with a restful sleep.  
Why give unhappy the light,

Life to those who have bitterness at heart,  
Who aspire after death without her coming,  
Search for him more than for a treasure?  
They would rejoice in front of the funereal mound,  
Exult to find the grave.  
Man is born for pain.  
Man, born of woman,  
A short life, but torments to satiety.  
- Book of Job. Israel, Fifth  
Century. AD

These radical protests of the Just-crushed-Injustice against the day he  
Have nothing isolated, they are happily redoubled by  
Those of Jeremiah or Ecclesiastes:

Cursed be the day I was born!  
The day my mother choked me, let him not be blessed!  
Cursed be the man who told my father  
This news: "A son, a boy was born to you! "  
Why did I come out of the womb?  
To see torment and pain.  
- Jeremiah. Israel, VIth Century. AD

I hate life.  
I congratulate the dead who are already dead rather than the living who are  
Still alive. And happier than both is the one who does not live  
Not yet, and see not the iniquity that is done under the sun.  
Better is a name than fine oil, and the day of death than the day  
Of birth.  
- The Ecclesiastes (Qohelet). Israel, Third Century. AD

If I read well, all these recriminations of seers and prophets twinkle  
A certain anti-natalist moire, at least do not express the most  
Gratitude to the scoundrels who give rise to us ...  
But above all, Judaism and Islam give us, in the  
Most religions, a major argument for the pessimist: they  
On the theme of the Fall. We live in a world that is not yet  
Quite hell, but certainly not Paradise! The existence  
Is a long exile, a place of atonement, a desperate search, never  
The Messiah and the Promised Land ... Our ancestors committed

Monumental blunder, by tasting the fruit of the serpent, O fecundity, and we  
Let us cease to suffer punishment! To live, a punishment? The Bible and the Qur'an  
Do not say anything else ... Why invite non-existents to come share  
Our fate as convicts?

Let us rather acquiesce in the lucidity of the sages of Zion:

"The counting of opinions was made within the Academy, and we observed  
That the majority [of the rabbis] was of the opinion that it would have been  
Better the man not having been created. "

Aggadah (Jewish legendary). Israel, circa V Century.

This pessimism as to our human condition conditions innumerable  
Traditions since the Ages of Gold, Silver and Bronze still stand  
Behind us and that we live in the Age of Iron, in the Kali-Yuga, eras  
Of general degradation and ferocity where bliss and perfection are no longer  
Than vain words, imbued with utopian memories or imbued with impatience  
Messianic ...

Whether it is the nostalgia of lost paradise or the expectation of a world  
Best, the confession remains the same: our terrestrial stay we dissatisfied if  
Violently that all religion is constituted as the hope both of  
Immediate remedy for the distress of the day than for future consolation at all  
Suffering that a trajectory of anthropic. Happy, humanity would  
Invented neither gods nor other worlds ...

(Parenthesis, it will be noted that the optimist is always constrained  
To seek out of the real or out of the present its infamous "reasons" for hoping:

God, Future, Beyond, Progress, draw the eternal pockets of Elsewhere from where  
The meliorist surreptitiously extracts the artificial rabbits from his joviality ...  
It is true that if he were content to observe the observable, he would arrive  
To the same conclusions as the pessimist: "Misfortune triumphs over everything and  
Living is more painful than not living ". Hope is not a virtue  
Phenomenalism: all vitalism corresponds either to fraud or to  
Perspective error.)

Let. For their part, Orphism, Pythagorism, Platonism and Neoplatonism  
Ceaselessly consider the body as a tomb or  
An expiatory prison beyond which the soul languishes to escape, indicating

So that the incarnation that our parents inflict on us merits  
Really no particular praise ...

Finally, in a most explicit way, Gnostic, Manichaeian,  
Bogomils and cathars will consider our universe as the work of the Devil  
And that it will be possible to put an end to the suffering from which it  
By refusing to reproduce!

One can only bow to the Gnostic logic: if, as  
Saint John and Saint Paul say twice, the Devil is the Prince of  
World, it would be criminal to shape him new subjects.

Obviously, procreating is ultimately less perpetuating the species than  
Evil in all its forms.

It is superfluous to insist: if a metaphysico-religious injunction  
A, it seems rather to go in the direction of Refus de Procreer than in that of  
Of hyperbolic fecundity! It is therefore hard to see how it would be argued  
Seriously of God to endorse trivial uterine itching.

But if childhood by "love" does not hold the road (we do not  
The suffering certain a being that one loves authentically), if want  
"Prolong the species" is the last absurd (it is in any case promised  
To extinction), if parturition under "metaphysical pretext" contradicts  
The teaching of the greatest sages, what remains in the quiver of the  
Natalists to justify their perpetual obsession? More  
Admit it, and what remains is so nauseating that we will not dwell on it  
hardly.

#### **f. Child-chrematistic**

Political discourse thus dares to boast economic reproduction:  
Children should be manufactured in order to guarantee the financing of pensions  
In the coming decades, in order to rejuvenate the declining  
Avoid a dangerous reversal of the age pyramid, or  
To support industrial growth (since the so-called expanding demographics  
Of course, a larger market), and so on.

So many emetic speeches that are regularly

Dominant media.

This is the theme of the child-plutodote, dispenser of wealth:  
It goes without saying that this argument of fertility as a source of prosperity  
Contradicts the minimum requirements of Ethics, since it is based on  
Reification and instrumentalisation of the Other, that is to say on the principle itself  
Of slavery ... We ask an individual to be born in order to help us  
Solving our economic problems: what pestilence, what sordidity!  
It is sometimes regretted that there are so few politicians slapped in  
public.

#### **g. Child-Soldier**

Other tabs will make of the reproduction a patriotic act! The  
The nation is numerous, the more powerful it is; It would be wrong to believe  
That such repugnant fertility attitudes are a thing of the past:  
Constant exhortations to the large family still resonate in the  
Senile and fetid mouth of those who serve as popes to "Christendom", attesting  
That religious war is gained above all on the ground of the uterus ... What would  
The Vatican without fresh flocks to feed its coffers, strengthen its  
Power and ensure its global expansion? Instrumentation + Reification  
Of the individual = Slavery, we said ... What a difference between a child  
Who is born to increase the ranks of Christendom and a simple child soldier?  
None: both are forcibly enlisted to fight  
Vain all evil figures proliferating within our valley of tears.

#### **h. Nature**

You will hardly have refuted all these hideous pretexts that will serve you  
Worst, the most specious and the most unwelcome, of all the "arguments" in favor of  
Of motherhood: that of Nature! It is "natural" to generate, and therefore,  
As if it were self-evident, legitimate to surrender.

Alas, nature is barbarism, the state of war of all against all, as  
Hobbes pointed out. Nature means Aggression and Cruelty put to service  
Of the survival instinct of each individual. Living on the unique mode of  
"Eat-before-to-be-eaten," Nature knows only one Law,  
That of the jungle, that of the strongest, the most violent or the most cunning,  
Able to establish his narcissistic domination over the other living.

To those who will invoke Nature, the instinctual impulse, for  
Justify their offspring whim, we will point out that theft,  
Murder and rape also correspond to instinctual instincts and  
This does not endorse them in the eyes of the ethical requirement ...

Nothing is more natural for Nature than to surrender  
All the atrocities!

Should violence and murder be congratulated because they are part of the  
Nature ? No, is not it? Hence, why should we bow,  
Without further analysis, before the reproductive instinct under cover  
of nature ?

Everything in nature is nothing but robbery and assassination.  
- Remy de Gourmont, Physics of Love.

Nature is atrocious in reality!  
- Théodore Monod (as part of an interview).

Life, game of massacre.  
- Jean Rostand, Thoughts of a Biologist.

In nature, war is omnipresent.  
- Konrad Lorenz, The Aggression. A Natural History of Evil.

Nature's argument is precisely that of Sade,  
Nazis, and ultimately of all those who believe that Darwinism (as  
Legitimate triumph of the most fierce) remains the final word of ethics and  
Of human wisdom. Acquiescence à la Nature means acquiescing to all  
Our impulses, even if they were atrociously detrimental to others.  
To absolve a rapist under the pretext that he has responded to the call of Nature?  
So why do we congratulate our parents for following the  
Nature ? Violators and procreators do not inflict intolerable torments  
To their victims?

Nature, our mother at all, never speaks of us but ourselves;  
Nothing is selfish like his voice.

Cruelty [...] is the first feeling that the  
Nature.

Cruelty is in nature; We are all born with a dose of  
Cruelty that only education alters.  
- Sade, Philosophy in the Boudoir.

Forget Nature, man, by chance, has become a being of Culture;  
Its law should no longer be that of instinct but rather that of  
Ethics.

The "argument" of Nature lying convivially massacred, let us pass to  
following.

### **i. Envy**

If you are looking to know the ultimate mobile of coming to the day of  
New victims, ask the future parents about the reason for their  
Parenthood: they will answer you most naively of the world that they make  
Child because they want it! At least they do not lie,  
They sell the wick: they feel a desire for a child, they find  
That "cute", "charming", "moving", "funny", "nice"  
"Sympathetic", "motivating" and that's all! They generate to satisfy  
A thirst, a pruritus, a concupiscence! The child, we said at the outset,  
Nothing but a gift that parents make to themselves. The question Remains to know if the desire  
to inflict suffering on his Or not compatible with ethics: we shall devote a chapter to it.

One procreates then sometimes from necessity, sometimes from pleasure. The first  
Sadism, and the second of sadism, whatever  
Or the occurrence, one never procreates except by absolute egoism! The child is  
Never conceived as an end but always as a means, is  
Proceeds from a pure machiavellism!



**Posture iii**  
**Mobiles and Real Mechanisms**  
**Of Procreation**

The children are there to prevent  
The parents to be bored.  
- Ivan Turgenev, *The Journal of a  
Man of too much*. Russia, Nineteenth  
century.

The concepts of excrement, child and penis  
Split badly and exchange easily between them.  
When the child enters the scene, sexual investigations  
Recognize it as excrement and invest it  
Of a powerful anal erotic interest.  
- Sigmund Freud, *The Sexual Life*. Austria, 20th Century

Mothers who are neither oppressive  
Nor selfish are as rare as a Mozart!  
- Elisabeth Badinter, Interview  
(In *Le Soir*, 28 May 2003). France, XXIe century.

Now let us take a closer look at the actual springs that  
Incite to bequeath the curse of existing to the innocent resting for the hour

In the most peaceful of vacuities. O Nothingness, no cry ever crossed  
Empire: what poet will say your genius, what mystic will celebrate your immortal  
Imperfectibility?

Let us pass on this excess of lyricism, and return to our pigs.

#### **a. Bestialism**

As we have already mentioned, the desire for a child is rooted essentially  
In DNA: we are programmed, in the strong sense, in the computer sense,  
To mate and make creatures to take over  
When life has finished killing us. From the insect to the engineer, by the way  
By the cloporte, the mygale, the python, the cuttlefish, the gendarme, the housewife  
And the aardvark, copulates and seeks to reproduce. Our children are not  
As well as the fruit of our bestiality, our delirious servitude, strictly  
Robotics, facing the prescriptions of instinct. We only procreate because  
That we remain animals unable to meet the requirements  
Superiors of our humanity! Engendering is in effect submitting as  
The last of the automata to the humiliating imperatives of nature, to his ferocity  
Confraternity, its blind barbarity, its morbid cruelty. Rats also make  
Babies, but the rats have no choice: a man grows to ape  
Rats? Come now, our parents, these mundane breeders, are no better  
Than livestock farming our farms.

#### **b. Sadism**

Despite our blatant denials, we know  
Child suffers, that to live means to expose oneself to innumerable diseases,  
Injuries, worries, trials, disappointments and prejudices. And yet, we  
Choose to bring to light such a creature condemned to suffer the whole  
Bouquet of these inescapable sufferings. But if we order him to  
It is only in order to give us pleasure, to us  
spawners. What exactly is sadism? Nothing else  
Derive pleasure from the suffering we inflict on our fellows!  
The conclusion is obvious: parents are sadists in their own right; Do not  
Do not forgive: they know very well what they are doing!

#### **c. Narcissism**

Sigmund Freud, in *La Vie Sexuelle*, had already blatantly unmasked our

Executioners, when he wrote that:

Parenting love, so moving, and, in its depth, so puerile, is not  
Nothing but the narcissism of the resuscitated parents who, although  
Transformed into object love, infallibly reveals its first nature.

It is evident that if the breeders did not love themselves over  
All, they would easily abstain from making fetuses. We have it  
Seen, they force us to come to suffer here below in order to find their happiness there,  
At least they hope. As Lichtenberg put it so well:  
Love neither his father, nor his mother, nor his wife, nor his children, but the sensations  
Pleasant to us. "

Through their offspring, the rejectors adore only themselves,  
Care that to satisfy their desires, only to transmit their genes, that to ensure,  
Lamentable illusion, their survival, than to recognize their painted  
Figure of their baby. Engendrers is nothing but worship  
Possessive pronoun that flatter us, worship m<sup>o</sup>que, veneration of oneself:  
Pure navel.

How long will we tolerate the abject autolatry of these  
Individuals who care for the well-being of their umbilicus by condem-  
Innocent to suffer all the ambient misfortunes?  
If it were otherwise, if the most scandalous narcissism did not  
Not the genetic steps, if really any generosity motivated  
Our odious parents, the candidates for adoption would be incredibly  
Many millions of children waiting, at this very hour, to be  
Adopted! But talk about adoption to baby lovers, you will see a  
Greasy pout of "yes-but-not-for-me" drawing themselves on their greedy facies of  
To possess an entire prey emanating from their entrails. Orphans? The  
Baby of another? Come on, call the scientists  
Help me to defeat my infertility! (For Nature does not always do well  
things...)

Definitely loving only themselves, what respect do our  
Parents? The care they give us is only intended to maintain  
Good condition the object of their self-centered enjoyment ... If they were concerned  
Sincerity of their children's happiness, it seems clear that they would have  
Abstentions from bringing them into the world.

#### **d. Selfishness - Egocentrism**

##### **Possessiveness - Avarice - Anality**

This way of taking as reference only his own inclinations and  
To protect one's interests to the detriment of those of another indicates  
The most vile egoism taints the parental enthusiasm,  
We know enough about what odious possessiveness it leads almost  
Systematically, even if it were to spoil the very existence of  
Of the child ... The influence of "parental love"  
Suicidal tendencies of adolescents. For many, death is the last  
Escape from family tentacles.

It goes without saying that this proverbial possessiveness of the parents is similar  
To the vice of avarice, thus testifying to the sinister anality which  
Command to the desire to make of a baby its intimate property.

Always in the continuation of the "narcissistic nebula" which summarizes  
All the parental psychology, the obstinacy of the procreators  
To ignore the arguments against their unclear project reveals  
What egocentrism characterizes them: taking themselves for the center of all  
Psychically annihilating anything that does not serve their  
Contentment, how would the childbearers care so much about their children  
Than the virtues of philosophical discourse? Self-referential affectivity makes  
Deaf, it is notorious.

Needless to try to make them hear Reason, entrenched in their  
The most visceral pulses, the hungry wombs have no ear. The parents  
Want, and their unthinking egotism convinces them a priori of the merits of  
Their will. The begetters engender, they never think. " I want " :  
That is their whole philosophy ...

#### **e. Infantilism**

Impermeable to altruism, narcissistically imbued with themselves, captives  
Of their most primary impulses, incapable of repressing their sadism  
Innocent, true impotents of sublimation, the pedoplasts are decidedly  
Shows a disagreeable infantilism; Their maturity leaving more than desire,  
This trait of their hateful personality was emphasized by the unconscious  
Language itself. Thus, does the term "baby" derive directly from Latin  
Pupa which means nothing but the doll!

Literally, the child is the doll of his parents! Their toy ... The victim  
Impotent of this pitiful process of ludification has only to pass from hands  
Gesticulating chirps and the abyssal abyss of  
Those who have entered a maternity ward in the way they once entered  
In a toy shop: jaws full of greed.

It has long been believed that the girl who plays with the doll imitates her mother,  
It is not the case: the mother, on the contrary, uses her adult body  
To satisfy his childish psyche with a toy of flesh! You  
Thus, most naturally, most foolishly in the world, from 6 to 66 years. We  
Would never err, nor risk a lawsuit in defamation, treating a  
Young childish genitress. it plays...

As for the father, he finds in his offspring much better than the soldier of lead  
Of his own childhood: he has a living creature entirely subject to  
His orders of eternal little corporal frustrated.  
Watch these two fools frolic with their toddler: it enjoys, it  
Enjoy the privilege of finally being able to entertain with the articulated mannequin  
Ideal of which their juvenility dreamed. A true one: who weeps, struggles, shouts and suffers!  
Orgasm!

All the young children already play "papa-maman" ... If a  
Other evidence of distressing parental infantilism, see at what age a girl  
Pronounces the fatal sentence: "Mo zooci ze want zun enfant! Ze will marry me  
And there will be three! ". A woman feels like a child long before she  
Language or the age of reason: what a pity that neither language nor  
In general, nothing against this diabolical envy.

Becoming a parent, making a baby, it is not at all flourishing  
Its potential as an adult, on the contrary it offers a resounding proof of its  
Mental retardation, his immaturity, his incompetence  
Tragic face to the real questions of the sphinx in which ferments the destiny.  
At baptism, just offer lollipops to the young parents: they  
Will find theirs.

#### **f. Mimicry**

This child-toy, its torturers also shape it for the sake of folding  
The socio-cultural paradigm in force; Fearful of pouring into a wave

Marginality and to wipe public discrediting or rejection, couples  
Align themselves with the ambient fertility. Cultural conditioning strengthens here  
The deoxyribo-nucleic conditioning: Africa or Islam valorize the  
Family, so every African or Muslim will demand for himself  
a big family ; Europe sees a fairly positive  
Two children, so every European will endeavor to found a family of two  
Children, etc. Radically childish, as we have just seen, the father  
Monkey its societal environment: the way in which we used to go  
Mechanically in the church, we still give birth today simply because  
That all children ...

Like the wearing of the tie, this symbolic accessory by which our  
Society is so successful in keeping the individual on a leash, procreation is part of  
This adaptive reflex which gives rise to the most scatological conformism.  
Too cowardly to resist social pressures, to face reproach  
Of incivility, or to dare some form of isolation in what he  
Aristocratic, many become parents without the slightest  
Conviction but with the tranquility of soul of those who to the questioning question  
"Well, that's for when the first one?" "May evade any risk of  
Reprobation by launching "For soon, for soon, it is on the march". How many  
Noble yet to retort: "For ever, my lords, the cruelty to me  
Lacking "...

But in sum, should we marvel at the gregariousness of those who  
Share with the cattle the instinct of reproduction?

In addition to this concern for compliance with the standard,  
Of mimetic desire, to speak like René Girard, of the desire to desire this  
That the neighbor wants so as not to allow him to possess what you yourself  
Would not possess ...

### **g. Jealousy**

We enter here into what might be called Rachel's complex,  
Thus in Genesis 30, 1: Rachel, seeing that she herself did not give children  
To Jacob, became jealous of her sister, and she said to Jacob, "Have me also  
Children or I die! ".

Jealousy, yes many become parents by pathological jealousy  
Friends or other family members, if not to their own

Fathers and mothers; This is not only a problem for adolescent girls  
Sometimes triggers a true epidemic of births during their  
Explains to himself alone a whole facet of the desire of child; Some of them  
The most afflicted of a serious inferiority complex, take the lead and  
Hurry towards motherhood in order to create jealousy in their entourage!  
This perversity (one sees to what objectal rank is reduced the baby ...)  
Sometimes confine itself to dementia and turn into a real competition.  
Will make the greatest number of unhappy, a morbid emulation where the victims  
End up being counted by dozens fallen from the bowels of a single couple  
Of maniacs! In my opinion, all these ranges, rivalry for rivalry,  
But not the scope of a work of art. Liberi aut libri, it is mocking  
since antiquity...

#### **h. Pride**

Notwithstanding their petty constitutionality, the providers ooze  
Irresistibly of pride at the idea of having succeeded in insignificant prowess  
To infuse life into a fragile piece of flesh, and thereby prove their  
Ridiculous fertility, very inferior to that of cockroaches.

Secretly, they are intoxicated by imitating the divinity in its function  
Every father is easily taken for God-the-Father and every mother  
For Mother Earth; The Niobe complex, the myth of which  
Tells how this fatal laying boar boasts of having more than  
Descendants than Leto, the Artemis and Artemis. The sanction of a  
Such megalomania was not long in coming: the Spirit of Light, O symbol,  
Massacred the thirteen offspring of the immodest sow while she was living  
Metamorphosed into a limpid metaphor of his heart: a rock.

It goes without saying that the climax of parental pride is attained  
In the pregnant woman whose smile is wasted and imbecile always crowns  
The proverbial ventripotence. If only the pregnant could suspect that her  
At first glance, only a pustule swollen with excrement  
Corrupt, it would probably find it less prone to walk everywhere  
The pocket where germinates the hydra of all our pains. If there is  
Obscenity deserves to be sighted and stifled under an avalanche of  
Tissues, it is indeed that of this funeral egg molded in the most pornographic  
obesity. On the contrary, observe how wildly intoxicated  
Presumption, a future torturer - a next deliverer will I want  
To be dodlined by underlining the least of its reliefs in the certainty that

These bovine prominences make her the queen of the day ... Certainly Hathor-Isis Wore cow horns, but all the same.

The pride of the father, notwithstanding, he was very sorry that he could not fly  
Such a hypogaster and jealous of the privileges of gestation, proves  
Part of an essentially testicular order, the baby playing the role of  
Witness the proper functioning of its spermatothèque and clamant  
Obviation to all the onlookers he had the chance to introduce at least once  
Her wretch lives between the legs of a willing woman ...

In the perfidious unconscious of the father, his offspring is  
Prolonging his erectile faculties, and he is constantly surprised at the  
Rise up to heaven like a trophy conquered in the Olympiads of copulation.  
The real bulls have more modesty: they are satisfied with  
Continue to graze when a calf is born to them.

In short, the toddler is to his parents what the flag is to a nation: a  
Vain emblem of sovereignty; The child appears most often only  
To allow his parents to appear ...

### **i. Exhibitionism**

No pride, indeed, who does not like to parade; And God knows if the parents  
Foolish to strut with their unbearable progeny, invading to  
Every opportunity all possible public places (parks, museums, theaters,  
Hospitals, cemeteries, unemployment boards, etc.) by pushing  
Bust, those caricatures of the coffin that are the prams or  
Swinging their prey under the noses of passers-by in a kind of eloquent  
Shopping basket from which emerges a nasty wailing head, to the delight of  
Manufacturers who benefit from this, at little cost, from a siren  
Attention-grabbing and stimulating for our murderous impulses  
Repressed.

Transporting their victim everywhere, to the well-known phallic valency,  
The imposing under each of our steps, the breeders are thus of a stone  
Two bumps: they expose themselves in their proliferating bliss, flooding without  
Scruples the atmosphere of their pestilential happiness, and enjoy the privilege  
To be able to torture their fellow men with impunity by giving them  
Ears of inexhaustible shouts of shouts of which one is astonished all the same that they  
Did not shock our ethical sense earlier. For all the same, what



Accusation, what a charge, that this torrent of howls from  
The throat of a creature obviously very unhappy to have to do The apprenticeship of the  
innumerable, the scorching constraints which  
Our world as hostile as it is unforgiving!

## **j. Despotism**

If the defects of pride and ostentation afflict especially mothers, it is  
An ignominy of which the fathers rejoice chiefly: the drunkenness of reigning!  
The more a male suffers frustrations (think of all the poor fornicators,  
To all the professional or emotional failures, to these innumerable  
Phallophores whose mediocrity is such that they can not even  
Mask), the more he will rejoice in the birth of a child that his very weakness  
Designates as the ideal scapegoat. Every genitor feasts clandestinely  
To exercise almost unlimited authority over the terrorized creature  
He calls his child.

Punish to satiety, distribute orders, vomit prohibitions, inflict  
Constraints and homework, shouting at will, hitting even cheeks, thighs and  
Buttocks at the slightest disobedience, occasionally rebuffing by simple fad, power  
Punishment at every (inevitable) academic or existential failure: this is one of the motives  
Of the male desire for a child, finally the dwarf will be able to reign over a  
Dwarf smaller than him! What father can claim to escape the pleasures of  
Despotism? How ecstatic it is to be able to move on her progeny all the  
Bullying that was made the object of being a child or not later than  
Morning when a superior got angry about the poverty of your work and you  
Gronda paternally ...

Any child, in one way or another, becomes the pain-bearer of his or her  
Parents, their domestic disorientation, sharing with the dog the sad function  
Unconditional obedience to the whims of those who compensate themselves for  
Their own humiliations over even more easily humiliating martyrs.  
We are procreated because we are in search of a feeling of omnipotence  
Which we have been lacking since we became  
The world would fulfill almost none of our desires. We generate in hope  
Of being able to reign as a tyrant over a creature who can only submit to  
Our demands, since it depends entirely on our care, even bad!

Thus, on analysis, the family proves to be the archetype of all  
The fascisms. The latter, moreover, have, indeed, never ceased

Of prolific family cells (ô slips) and to sing  
Pretended "virtues" of patriarchy! Hymns taken up by the mafia, great  
Amateur of traditional parental values ...

Freud, to describe this attitude of exploitation-vampirisation of others,  
Even went so far as to speak of cannibalism. The noun seems hardly to us  
Spice.

Our parents have for us the tenderness of the rider for his mount. Little  
What we will endure, we must be born to fill these  
Two impotents! Young people, read again the adventures of Spartacus ...

## I. Pedophilia

Often it is not until the erotic impulses of his masters that  
The child is not called to satisfy. A lot is being done today on  
Pedophiles alien to the victim's family, it is a mistake: they are  
Ten to fifteen times fewer than pedophiles who  
Their own descendants ... In the case of pedophilia, if it is a category  
Of the population that must be kept strictly in check, it is the parents themselves  
!

All studies and reliable statistics, including  
Of the US Department of Social Affairs, show that  
Less than one child in ten is sexually assaulted by a member  
Of his apparently trustworthy family before the age of  
eighteen years.  
The majority of sexual crimes committed against children are  
Perpetrated by members of the family.  
- Susan Forward, Toxic Parents. United States, 20th century.

Other official figures even mention one in eight children falling  
Victim of the incoercible lubricities of his kinship!

Families, I hate you, exclaimed a visionary: how could he  
chock ? What is shocking to me, on the other hand, is that you can  
Feelings of sympathy for these nests of vipers, which are  
Of family environments.

Those threatened to invade skepticism about the nature intimately

Pedophilia of parental feelings about their toys, that they  
Seriously to this: if it was necessary to establish on the whole surface of the globe the Incest and  
punish its transgression in the most dramatic and  
The most dissuasive that is, it is precisely because the temptation of incest  
Bubbles volcanically at the very heart of parental psychology!  
It is only a necessary corollary of their visceral egocentrism,  
A direct consequence of their primordial narcissism: the child appears  
Parents as a mere appendix of themselves, of which they  
Feel free to use as they wish if salutary laws did not come from  
Even discourage them!

It is no exaggeration to speak of systematic eroticization of the child  
Part of her parents: see how these perverts overwhelm her with kisses and  
Caresses, embrace, touch and manipulate him constantly; require  
Frequent cuddles, kisses, and accolades; Wear it according to their own  
Seduction fantasies, thus prostituting it to the eyes of those  
To make envious; Agree on his person all the pleasures of the bath in  
Meticulously washing her cock, anus and buttocks before massaging  
His whole body by drying it (and what about kisses reiterated on his feet,  
On its posterior, even on its adorable little genitals or  
Native languages that often slip with verve on the entire body of  
Baby: "tenderness and witness of love" will be exculpated; No: pulses  
Incestuous poorly suppressed and partial erotic satisfaction); See also  
How they engage in voyeurism by photographing their nudity,  
Scatology by changing its layers (thus reviving their own pleasures  
Infantiles related to play with feces), to sadism by administering spanking  
Or fetishism by keeping bottles, bibs as well as first  
Clothing and footwear; Observe finally the numerous touches, tickling  
And tumbling to which they engage on their offspring under the pretext of games  
Innocent but who have nothing to envy the erotic preliminaries,  
etc. Finally, we will refrain from insisting on the complacency of certain  
Genitals to the enjoyments of breastfeeding, breasts sucked by holy suckers,  
And, in the same way, to pass over in silence the innumerable mothers  
Who masturbate their son "to help him fall asleep", or take him in their  
Bed to console him, or bathe naked with him, or even try to  
Adolescence to keep her away from other women by preventing her from  
His way and to go out in places of seduction conducive to his emancipation  
Sentimental ... As for the fathers, without dwelling on the infinity of those who make  
Love to their wives by thinking of the "flesh of their flesh", there is no  
The more the bruises or the cruelties or even the rapes that the boldest

Of them practice on their daughter "so adored" ...

Thus, a true pedophilia, latent or proven, characterizes all  
No one escapes the temptation of incest, even if  
Some people manage to live it only in symbolic form, for example in  
Making sure that they have control over all the fundamental existential choices of  
Their chicks, mainly as regards the election of their partner  
Lover...

Let us go further, because the fact is well-documented: some pedophiles  
Become parents only to have at their disposal a baby, a toy  
Sexual, which does not risk to file a complaint!

Born of a sexual act, the child is always already invested with desires  
Sexual relations; Some future parents even find, by their own  
Direct sexual excitement at the idea of mating, "making love" in the  
Purpose of "making a baby". Once born, they will welcome him  
Often between their nuptial sheets, o for many years, both  
Exciting child ...

If there were other proofs of the pedophilic relationship than the unconscious  
Parenting with the very young children, it would be enough to  
"Baby" is commonly used to designate a partner  
Possible or already conquered. Similarly, in French, "My Baby" addresses  
As much to the lover as to the child, these two "cherished", these two "treasures" ...  
Such lapses of the collective language confess to the sufficiency of the unhealthy  
Ambiguity of Desire (Eros) whose child is the target.

Let us remember this unquestionable statistic: the next time you  
Will contemplate a group of twenty children in a playground,  
Remember that at least two of them have been, are or will be abused  
Sexually by a member of their family, usually their father or  
Father-in-law, but almost always with the tacit complicity of their mother!

Let us remain optimistic: the eighteen other children are  
Only beaten or mistreated psychically, sometimes unloved, sometimes  
Too much loved (psychological incest, love castrateur, possessivity ...) by  
Parents who were incompetent because they had not  
Training specific to parenting, as if the subtle art of educating, loving  
And respect a toddler was innate whereas it is not until the act of

Walk or copulate that we did not have to learn ...

Hardly in truth if one child out of a hundred comes out of his childhood. Celuilà,  
Paradox, will undoubtedly disdain to give birth, while all the others  
Will wound their wounds by giving birth, in order to reproduce  
On their offspring all the material or spiritual abuse of which they  
Were themselves victims: an infernal cycle. Samsara.

### **m. Various perversions**

Clearly, as our cryptographic work progresses, the  
Supposed virtues of motherhood evaporate and curdle like milk  
To reveal in ultimate analysis only a putrescent mass grave of vices  
Altogether, tending to pour into the uncountable. We will try  
However, by way of greeting in this respect that we must close,  
Evoke laconically.

If it is desired so compulsively, it is to the truth because the child advances  
With multiple drug efficiencies. This child-drug is  
A true existential pharmacy on which the  
The mediocrity and the weak are found; it is sometimes discovered as anxiolytic,  
Remedy against the exhausting anguish of existing, or against that of the terrifying  
Solitude, sometimes as an anabolic one since it fulfills the imperative function  
On-semantics by conferring a sense, a finality, all temporary and  
Immanent that they prove, to the destiny of those who engender it, their  
Enabling them to find, at a low cost, a dynamic  
To remove from them, at least for a time, the tenacious temptation of suicide;  
For many the child thus serves as a paradoxical anti-depressant, euphoric  
Capable of artificially masking their metaphysical distresses,  
But it also proves to be a survival kit for days of lapse ("stick of  
Old age "as is so scandalously admitted) or dressing  
Its manufacturers affix to their frustrations, even crutch, if not prosthesis,  
As when asked to fulfill the role of existential substitute,  
The child having for "mission" to succeed where his disastrous parents  
Have failed: having a descendant will allow the poor to taste  
The luxury of living by proxy and savoring through it such and such success  
Long fantasized ...

Also within the framework of this compensation mechanism, the most petty  
By the desire to find themselves at the center of attention, to  
Little interest, to attract easy praise, and even, faced with this puny creature  
Depend entirely on them, to feel at last indispensable, they the  
Derisory, they majestically insignificant!

Maternity is not only a means of recovery or repair  
Of failures, since, as a cement of the couple, the child also serves  
A tool of appropriation of each spouse by the other, pledge of fidelity but  
Especially possession, most often in the sense of enslavement of  
The woman by the male: the child is therefore the object and the stake of power, if not of  
As many divorces demonstrate ... It is still instrumental  
Of identity research and a factor of socialization at low cost, signing,  
In conformity with the societal pressures that demand it, belonging  
To the human group of those who, for their misfortune but for their own  
Prosperity, give it life.

Often the child assumes the function of a consolation batch:  
How many unmarried women do not make a baby for the sole purpose of forgetting  
Their amorous shipwrecks; For lack of a lover, pedophilia oblige, a child  
The same thing ... Likewise, how many men do they not seek  
To undo their missed childhood by inviting an uncreated at the bedside  
Of their internal reconstruction? These will also be among the most  
Ardent to intrench himself behind the child-hiding-place, the child-shield, formidable  
Pretext to undertake no exceptional undertaking, to shirk  
Superior, artistic or philanthropic, to flow in the most comfortable  
Mediocrity, to "cuddle" their cowardice with the shelter of  
Blows destined for the adventurer or the hallucinated rebel! Noble child,  
If you knew how much you protect your own father even more  
Do not protect you! The pram is only the bulwark of the cowards. Twenty years  
To be able to oppose the solicitations of ethics: "Justice and  
Revolution is very good, but I have mouths to feed, I, the unworthy,  
The resignation, the superfluous, as Nietzsche stigmatized! Yes,  
The true heroes are nulliparous.

In addition, psychoanalysis has shown that many young girls  
Infant only for the sake of phallic compensation, offered through the  
Toddler the coveted penis of the Father, or even by virile protest  
Against their own family environment: the child is then conceived as  
Weapon of revolt against our parents and opportunity to flee away from the middle

Stifling family. Paradox as frequent as it is distressing: to found a family  
To get rid of his ...

If some breed in hopes of escaping parental tyranny  
And to assert in passing their own individuality, it happens on the other hand that  
The laying shepherds (which one crosses with disgust in the public gardens  
Among other birds of the same seed) yield, rather little heroically  
Must be admitted, to the pressure of parents now wishing to become grandparents  
And recapture a new toy, a new drug, a new  
Object of sadistic domination, a new puppet to manipulate  
Having grown too large, having even become unusable since  
Access to his autonomy, in theory at least ... Children, you are beautiful and  
The universal toy: your only rival is the dog, curled  
Preference.

Among the perversities structuring the envy of a baby, we can not  
More to mention the role of curiosity: what does it do  
To have a child? To carry it in its intestines, to give it birth, to  
Feeding, washing, educating, supporting, or even wearing  
Mourning? So here is the child-experience, travel destination for  
Tourists of existence, it distracts them and especially allows them to "discover-experience-  
other-conditions du-potential inherent to their being-there-In-the-fully-human-to-their-  
coextensive humanity  
"To dwell like the Sorbobonnicoles who will soon be exoangling the philosophy and  
Make industrializable. Some practice childbirth like others  
Vivisection: morbid scotophilia. These are of course the most  
Predisposed to neglect the child as soon as the surprise ...

Synthetically, in addition to obvious genetic programming,  
Three fundamental psychic processes command our greed  
Reproductive: we move on our offspring all our unresolved tensions,  
Always already moving it into a domestic outlet or an affective dump;  
We project upon it our own abysses, our own demons, our own  
Infantile complexes, the macabre dance of our repressed fantasies; and finally  
We assign to it its major function - compensation - which is to fill  
The very indigence that constitutes us, to camouflage our failures, to cauterize  
Our feeling of inferiority, of assuming all that we ourselves could not,  
And can never assume, in short, to deploy in the future in order to  
We save the trouble of having to take our own ontological flight ...

To conclude, let us simply keep in mind that the Real Child is always sacrificed  
To the Imaginary Child and that its only reason for existence is to become a slave to  
Two destinies which have failed to fulfill themselves in their asceity.

In all cases, regardless of the fallacious motive invoked for  
Throw it on earth, we see a reification doubled by an instrumentalization  
Of the Child: it is always thought of as a means whose sole purpose is to  
To bring satisfaction to those who desire to come into the world!

In looking at it, no child exists for its own  
Are merely appendices of desiderata, chimeras, expectations and  
Parental pruritus. There is no legitimate child, there is only one scapegoat  
Burdened with the magma of our burdens. Everyone is born only to become  
Sense, the scapegoat of his parents. According to the law of selfishness  
If the child did not soothe our wounds, we would not  
In clutter!

**Posture IV**  
**But then how can it be**  
**That we loved such monsters,**  
**our parents ?**

Relations between parents and children are, in nine out of ten cases,  
A source of misery for both parties and, in 99 cases out of a hundred,  
A source of misery for at least one of the parts.  
- Bertrand Russell, The Conquest of Happiness.  
England, twentieth century.

Do we really have a choice? Born in a hostile world,  
To which we are radically unable to respond, where  
Can we hope to find lodging, food, protection as well as a semblance  
Of affection, hypocritical and egocentric, if not in the other  
Parenting? For many of us, our parents were only a pisaler  
: It is true that we did not choose them but it is even safer  
That we shall discover no less disastrous asylum than they will wish  
Well offer us. The street, the orphanage have something even more  
A family home: we will therefore remain with the



All our evils since fleeing them would in all likelihood  
The worst. Rather a toy than waste, intuitively guesses the child ...

Is it necessary to quote again the aphorism of Lichtenberg?

"You do not love your father, your mother, your wife, your children,  
But the pleasant sensations they cause us. "

Enchanting on this maxim which explains in a very satisfactory way  
The apparent paradox of the tenderness we feel for our torturers,  
Freud speaks about love by shoring: the child "loves" creatures  
Who put themselves at the service of his self-preservation urges, bringing him  
Food, care and protection (against the aggressiveness of the real, against other  
Adults or other polymorphic pervers ...), consoling him of his distress and  
Guaranteeing the satisfaction of its vital as well as psycho-affective needs.  
In short, we love our breeders as we love their home or an ice cream  
With raspberry.

The child, inevitably needing them, does not cherish his parents  
All his profits: his radical egoism has nothing to envy  
Of its fashioners, except that it has as its foundation justice!  
From our early childhood, a positive association  
Between the notion of "parents" and that of "well-being": the love we  
Testimony to our parents is actually much more of the conditioned reflex,  
Of a Pavlovian salivation, than of an authentic sentiment!

This is for the material motive, of immediate interest, which encourages us to  
"Love" our parents, but there is deeper and the ethology here is of a  
Great help: it forces us to the most vigorous suspicion with regard to our  
Filial feelings by offering us the theory of the imprint.

It is known that the latter describes the automatic and lasting attachment  
From an animal baby to the first creature (or even to the first object with  
Movement ...) that it meets when leaving the egg or the one that will have loaded  
Of its diet. A lamb will thus take affection -  
Will persist until adulthood! - for the person who has bottle-fed him,  
While ducklings will consider as their mother any  
Animated trinket discovered at birth (colored balloon, mobile box, duck  
Mechanical, ethologist ...).

It is no different for the human species: the baby attaches  
Stupidly to what he finds when leaving the vagina (usually a mother, more  
Or poor) and to the organism that takes the trouble to feed it (a  
Wolf or a monkey, examples attested, sometimes suffice).  
Programmed to grab the first lifebuoy coming,  
The child does not like, it is fixed: it likes the owner of the breast that sucks  
Like the lamprey the fish it devours.

The theory of impregnation thus teaches us: the human animal  
Possesses, in the form of genetic instructions, an innate coding,  
Patterns of psycho-affective attachment to any creature that  
Provide vital first aid and ensure its survival; Quickly  
Libidinal patterns will cause almost irreversible attachment to imago  
Maternity regardless of the intrinsic qualities or merit of the  
Mother.

Our "love" for our parents thus proceeds infinitely less than one  
Choice, gratitude, only a ferocious determinism, a mere drive  
Adaptive! We do not like our germinators, we are  
Impregnated, like a fabric of an ink stain ...

As if that were not enough, our spawners are engaging our juvenile soul  
Of wax to a true conditioning work: during all our childhood  
And until adolescence, the slightest motion of aggressiveness on our part  
Against our father or mother (irritation, blow, insult, refusal to obey), we  
Receive a terrifying commendation or exemplary punishment. "Is it  
That we speak in this tone to his parents? "We have all heard this warning,  
But who among us, who feared so much the omnipotence of these brutes,  
We depended, dared to retort "Yes, whenever they deserve it! "? It was  
The slap assured, for one as for the other ...

Permanently threatened with physical and psychic sanctions (deprivations,  
Punishments), if one takes the pulse of his unconscious, one discovers  
The child likes his parents less than he feels, the  
Force being more than its disadvantage ...

In addition, if at least one talent is to be recognized for our  
That they are masters in the art of blackmail and seduction by  
Constrained: "Be wise, if not! ; Come come and kiss Mom; It's not good  
Not to love his parents; If you are wicked, you know what is waiting for you! ; If you are not

Not nice, you will not have gifts; Well, you do not give a kiss to  
dad ? ": So many formulas run-in well able to abolish, repressing them  
Under the pressure of guilt, animosity and resentment  
That our young people often see being born with regard to those who spend their  
Life to overwhelm us with orders and prohibitions to mold us on their own  
Personality deficient ....

Despite the fantasies of devouring and destroying that we experience  
All against our accursed progenitors, such tenacious fantasies  
Universal and so well emphasized by psychoanalysis, all our  
Embryos of revolt were systematically suppressed in the uterus. We  
Literally trained to respect our designers: not only these  
Used all possible means of coercion that their Despotism to bring us to submission, deference  
and a sham Affection, but, through school constraint, society itself went so far as to  
Inculcate the duty of proving our tenderness by making them  
Or by purchasing them some present menu, pledge of allegiance, for each  
Of their festivals!

Bathed in the cult of parenting introduced by the parents themselves,  
Is it any wonder that with Confucius we should make filial piety a virtue  
The public? The Muslim child becomes a Muslim, the Jewish child becomes  
Jew, the Buddhist child becomes Buddhist, the child of the capitalist becomes  
Capitalist, the child of parents becomes parentalist: what a wonder, what  
Surprise...

In addition, we are forced to practice all the more  
The irrational idealization of our parents that they were almost our only  
Reference in our first decisive years. These pseudo-magicians who  
Succeed in accomplishing under our impressionable eyes everything that fails  
Our puerile childishness are none other than our procreators, how  
How could we, even adults, contemplate them from above? We respect  
Our parents because they seemed to be endowed with divine powers and  
Mysterious talents to our child soul as ignorant as impotent ...

Let's face it, our feelings for our manufacturers have something  
Obtuse, fallacious, and mechanical. Were we born progeny of  
Neighbor, we would love the neighbor and not the others without hearts  
Chance has imposed us as sires. We blindly cherish those  
In the arms of which nature catapults us; But we still love them  
Less on the basis of their real merits than on the fourfold imperative of

The Stamping, the Footprint, the Conditioning and the Idealisation ...

In this regard, it should be noted that the difficulties  
Encounters all forms of anti-natalism to make their way to the debate  
Of the original solidification of all psyches.  
Around the parent figures. The human soul is constructed in interrelation if  
Her parents that she can not bring them into  
In peril its very foundations! Yes, we are a priori condemned to  
Respect, if not our parents (it is still so harmful that nothing  
Can hinder their legitimate hatred), at least the notion of  
parenting. Daring to contest its value presupposes that it has succeeded in posing its  
Look above the human, too human. Doubt that in our century  
Always cruelly dominated by the barbarism with planetary vocation, much  
Prove capable of such a leap towards theoretical transcendence.

As Jung himself admitted, following a cohort of thinkers:  
"Humanity in its most important part is still, psychologically  
Speaking, to a state of childhood ". Let's bet that as soon as grown up,  
Authentically lucid and spiritual, it will observe with a different eye the idol  
Hideous of her ultimate dogmatic fetishism: Reproduction.

This chapter hopes to have contributed to clarify the superficial paradox between  
Dissatisfaction (its universality was established above) which should  
In all logic we push to hate those whose navelist malice  
Banishes us from the serenity of nothingness and affection, nevertheless always  
Ambiguous, that we seem to bear.

In truth, know it, in its depths, even if they have the illusion of being  
(An illusion which, moreover, fills their own narcissistic desire wonderfully),  
The child does not like his parents: he dreads them, exploits them and manipulates them  
Its maximum profit to ensure its welfare, but ultimately does not  
Another passion than himself; He simply appreciates those in his  
Interest to appreciate, for example the people he receives cuddles, treats,  
Gifts, confectionery, security, money, etc. It is true that most often  
These people happen to be his own parents, but why should we be surprised  
Since it is indeed in order to pouponner and to appropriate it  
That they have begotten him!

But above all, even if cunning remains the strength of the weak, any offspring  
Is attached to its parents only because it has no alternative:

Impossible to get rid of the diktat of impregnation, imagine it run away  
Twelve months in order to seek out the competent adoptive parents he deserves?

Who has never heard of the phenomenon, conceptualized under the name  
Stockholm Syndrome, where the victim falls in love with her  
Executioner? Such a thing is possible only because it finds in  
The latter the very image of those who have engendered it. A child to survive  
Has no other resource than to convert to masochism: without  
To escape, he must love the sadists who have inflicted on him the existence and find, willingly  
His pleasure in everything they do to him ...

Anyone who sees his parents as they are would hurry to pass them  
The throat over the saber.

**Posture v**  
**Incompatibility**  
**Between Ethics and Procreation**

The hero for enlightenment does not harm any being.  
- The Teaching of Akshayamati. India, circa 1st century.

Had it not been because of the inclination to evil,  
No man would have procured children.  
- Genesis Rabba. Israel, Fifth century.

What distinguishes false morality from sound morality:  
The first seeks only to remedy the evil,  
While the second ensures that the causes  
Do not exist.  
- Emmanuel Kant, Observations. Germany, Eighteenth century.

An earlier section looked the demonstration: the parents, far away  
To be the paragons of all virtue appear rather like the minions of  
All the vices. Nothing justifies our fall in this sad world except to come  
To satisfy the whims, to reduce frustrations and to satisfy emotional needs  
Of those who make us fall.

And if procreation is the subject of so much praise, of an ecumenical  
Dithyramb, as formerly war and slavery, it was only because  
That the ocean of unfulfilled and irresponsible  
All want to enjoy in their turn the satisfaction of becoming  
Procurators: we never condemn a crime that we have the firm intention  
To commit yourself ...

It remains to be seen whether such satisfaction, that of becoming parents,  
Proves to be legitimate from a philosophical point of view, if it is aligned  
Acceptable ethical criteria, or on the contrary is contrary to any  
synderesis ...

Let us first specify our notion of ethics: we will call  
Ethics the search for a universalizable rule of conduct based on reason  
Philosophy, in order to distinguish it clearly from Morality which does not reflect  
That the arbitrary and consensual development of the values of a given

A time, habit and doxa (as a lack of thinking  
Collective law) making here more law than the reflection carried out according to the  
Disinfectants of wisdom ... La Morale varies according to the morals and  
Humors, ethics strives to attain to the objectifiable. An attitude may  
Ethical while being immoral, or, on the contrary, moral  
Revealing itself to analysis totally antinomic to ethics.

From a historical point of view, and for the subject we are concerned with,  
From the start, that the vast majority of thinkers, latently or manifestly,  
Tends to agree on this point: the object aimed at by Ethics that identifies with Good  
(Or Virtue), no man will be declared in conformity with the concept of  
Well (or virtue) if his conduct towards other men consists in their  
Inflicting, against their will, various torments, whatever their nature.

If we were to characterize the ethical injunction in what it has  
Universal and timeless, one would then be led to state it in this way:

Accomplish all that enchants and fertilizes you  
As long as your fellow does not suffer! "

Or according to Chamfort's formulation:

"Enjoy and enjoy without hurting yourself or anyone. "

Or again according to Schopenhauer's:

"

Neminem laede: Do no harm to anyone! "

"Do whatever you like without harming others! ": This is the only ethical maxim  
Which is always and everywhere, humanly and pragmatically, valid.

Despite the well-known epistemological limitations (Kant, Wittgenstein,  
Popper) that prevent us from conferring to any value the character of  
The absolute truth of the transcendent veracity, the operative relevance of this  
Of the "Non-Nuire-à-notre-Prochain" could be the subject of a  
Convincing demonstration: it would suffice to insist on the imperative of reciprocity  
For a behavioral option to be found everywhere and always valid  
In the anthropogenic field of action. Knowing that we are always  
The Other of the Other, that is to say, to paraphrase Rimbaud, that the Other is a  
I, whoever granted himself the right to torture his fellow-man,

Even the right to his fellow man to supplicate him in turn ... Nevertheless,  
This discussion on the possibility of founding a rule of conduct  
Beyond the scope of this paper, and we  
Later.

For our present purpose, let us simply remember that all our civilization,  
And not only ours, has methodically built itself upon this precept  
As simple as effective the refusal to harm others. This is the  
Decalogue mosaic, the heart of evangelical morality, the essence of the concept  
Of Ahimsa (absolute non-violence) to which both  
Hinduism that the Buddhists and the Jains, it is finally the nucleus of the thought  
Confucian under the species of Jen, the Beneficence which commands not  
To cause no evil and to "love all men like oneself", as we  
There invited the Chinese master six centuries before Christ ... We see, this Principle  
Of Non-Nuisance has a fairly wide historical-geographical spread.

Gandhi, Martin Luther King, the promoters of Human Rights,  
Anti-slavery, opponents of the death penalty, racism,  
Colonialism, militants of the feminist cause, homosexual, socialist,  
Pacifist, environmentalist, alterglobalist, etc., in clear all the  
Supporters of humanist struggle are supported, explicitly or  
Minimal ethical invariant that requires us to abstain from  
Our fellow-men against our will, that we preserve them from our  
Natural tendencies to aggression and predation, that we  
Subordinate it to the realization of our whims or to the satisfaction of our  
Needs, that we abdicate the desire to reify it and to  
Our profit!

Do not make your fellow suffer: impeccable Wisdom, who therefore  
Venture to disapprove it?

But here we have seen that existence and suffering  
Tend to identify themselves: this is the irrefutable conclusion to which the superb  
Majority of the thinkers, but in addition this clear equation can make  
The object of a strict and convincing demonstration (according to the geometric order  
Teasing Spinoza), as outlined in Chapter 1.

Structurally, we have established,  
More suffering than enjoyment and pain is consubstantial  
To the effort to live!



(I see drops of sweat germinate on the forehead of the broodstock, let's continue ...)

From these two theorems:

1. Ethics = not inflicting harm on your neighbor.
2. Be born = be exposed to all prejudices.

We can distill two premises by virtue of which, o nectar, the Syllogism follows:

- To make others suffer is incompatible with the Ethics.
- But to live means to suffer.
- So giving life is inconsistent with ethics.

CQFD: engenderer fits in with the ethical values

Around which not only the main

Civilizations but especially modern humanism itself!

The challenge then becomes: do we have to give up Ethics or Procreation?

Let us note it attentively: if we renounce the ethical injunction

Universal as we have identified it, we come to justify the

Nazism and all systems of totalitarian oppression! If it is legitimate

To make another suffer against his will, if virtue belongs to the strongest, then the

Shoah and the extermination of the Amerindians were legitimate and virtuous ...

Do you feel ready to bear the consequences of this antimala?

Prudence, for if you assert to me that it is permissible to dispose of another as good

Seems to us, you will become the first target of my bellicose ferocity!

Whoever will maintain that it is lawful to torment our fellow man

As long as we find our enjoyment there, I will take it at my word and

He will sink a bottle shard into the gums before introducing him

A hammer in the anus, again, especially if he dies, be it there

To give him a lesson too magnanimous. An Ethics is in short

Never be valid if the person who advocates it as a subject is rather

Become the object.

We fully understand, we can not repudiate the Ethics in  
As long as Respect-total-of-the-figure-of-the-other without immediately reopening the doors  
Of barbarism and re-initiate the war of all against all. Either ethics  
And the totality of its constraints, namely the natural instinct and the totality of its  
Atrocities! Either ethics or rape, spoliation and murder at will. I  
Pressen that you opt for ethics. You sadden my jackhammer,  
But comfort my reasoning.

The issue was clarified: should we renounce Ethics or  
Procreation?

We wisely chose not to give up Ethics. Thus, Unless it were to demonstrate, what would come  
under the supernatural exploit, that the Life is like a part of pleasure from which suffering is  
excluded, we do not Can only renounce the Procreation, under penalty of legitimizing all the  
other Acts of barbarism!

- Is it good to make others suffer?

"Certainly not!

"Then why would it be good to have children?"

Birth is suffering,

Death is suffering

And the interval between birth and death

Teeming with inevitable sufferings.

In conclusion, for there to be compatibility between Ethics and  
Procreation, it would be necessary that the life which is conferred on a being who has  
Obviously not claimed is not a poisoned gift; Because everything  
Likewise: what does it mean to live, if not the certainty of suffering much in  
The hope of enjoying a little?

**Posture vi**  
**The Right to File Complaint**  
**Against his Parents**

Red hair. Give it as an exergue:  
"The father and the mother owe everything to the child.  
The child owes them nothing. "  
- Jules Renard, Journal. France, 19th century

How many children do they know how they can use  
Legally ask the law, faced with absurd parents  
Or abusing their rights and strength into bad masters?  
- Françoise Dolto, The Difficulty of Living. France, 20th century

We have just constructed a somewhat contrary syllogism,  
As a reminder :

To make others suffer is incompatible with the Ethics.  
But to live means to suffer.  
So giving life is inconsistent with ethics.

Of course, I already hear thumping the trumpets of the protest.  
Be able to attack this syllogism on its major, some will attack  
His minor, oh, the scoundrels, and will try, very sterilely, to support  
That life is full of so much ecstasy that it deserves to be traveled, and therefore  
To be imposed on a non-existent who would not lose anything to ignore it at  
Never doubtful delights.

Is. Let us grant them the "right", notwithstanding the radical indemonstrability  
Of the benefits to be born rather than not to be born, to anchor a  
Non existent in existence. They are there the bet, oh how perilous, that life  
Is a good and the child will be happy to receive the gift. Donation  
Including, as it should, the anguish of the death and the agony which precedes it.  
No matter, they will retort: everything has its price and live is worth to suffer  
So abundant here are the compensations.

Good. Such was their opinion (doxa), and a baby resulted from it. This  
The last one begins to grow and gradually discovers that the enchanted world  
That its promoters promised to him is found  
Disillusionment, and that the expected compensation

Their rarity and their effectiveness. The child does not  
A taste for diseases which afflict him with a certain obstinacy, or for  
The injuries consequent to his learning of his limits in the face of the hardness  
Of the real, nor for the obligation to attend the school bague during twelve  
At twenty, nor for the chronic blackmail between the docile acceptance of  
School (its tedious duties, its harassing lessons, the conflicts with the  
The anguish of the examinations, the nauseous rage of failure) or the  
Risk of poverty, crime and an even more sinister prison,  
Etc.

As for the prospect of having to endure for some forty years  
The yoke of the trade which makes it possible to "earn" her life, she rejoices  
Sting of the scorpion or the bite of the piranha.

In short, endowed with a certain intellectual power and noting that the  
Time devoted here below to orgasm is infinitely inferior to the time devoted  
To chores and to the exasperating labor, the ex-non-existent regrets more and more  
More must have existed and feel more life every day, not as  
A caress, but as a most painful prejudice. The doll's doll  
Lautréamont said that he had "received life  
Like a wound "and, as he likes, is struggling as he can" defend at  
Suicide to cure the scar ", unless the terror of death does not  
Palpitates at home less intense than the spasms of disgust he experiences  
Facing the raft of destiny unfolding before him, as far as the confines of the future,  
His putrefied planks, and that he finally sided with the party, embraced each  
Year by legions of teenagers, of the suicidal attempt, more or less  
Successful, more or less recurrent ...

But it is not only suicide to cast the shame on the missed bet  
Of parents: there is also anorexia, delinquency, runaway, vandalism,  
Drug abuse, violence and all forms of exemplary revolt ...  
Of symbolic methods used by the beings-of-force to scream  
Not to the existence they have been given!

Prejudice? This word reminds me of something ...

Philosophically, everything is allowed, except to prejudice my next. "

So that was it!

Obviously, the spawners missed their bet:

Ignore the teachings of the philateth which, from Lucretius to Schopenhauer,  
Had exposed them according to the mathematical firmness of reason how much life  
A torture rather than a beatitude, they listened only to  
The bestial voice of their desire-need of child and here this child protests  
Against the punishment which he did not want!

But who says damage suffered, also says right to seek redress for  
The prejudice suffered ...

It is therefore a matter of enacting without delay a law authorizing the children,  
Considering themselves prejudiced by the fact of having been born, to lodge a complaint  
against their executioners: I named those who forced them to be born ...

He is going, let us take full conscience, of the solidity of the ethical  
Fully structure our civilization! Or we grant the right  
The child to bring before the courts the persons responsible for his distress  
To the crucifying requirements of all destiny, or we have only to  
Open penitentiaries and free criminals who, let us note in passing,  
Have yielded to the sirens of evil only by inability to adapt to  
Unbearable obligations of the existence that their inept generators  
Have selfishly inflicted ...

It is not up to parents to decide whether life is worth it or not  
To be lived, this judgment of value belongs exclusively to the child!

Once the latter, the sole arbiter of his happiness or not to exist,  
Considers to have been seriously abused at birth, it is not clear why  
Denied what is granted to all victims of maltreatment.  
Legal capacity to incriminate its torturers ...

If you give yourself the anti-philosophical right to put a child  
In the world, you can only give this child the right  
Philosophy of filing a complaint against its parents!

We can not resist the temptation to quote Article 3 of the  
"International Convention on the Rights of the Child" developed by UNICEF  
In 1989 and ratified by almost all the States of the planet (with the exception of  
Of the USA, as it should ...):

"In all decisions affecting children, [...]  
Of the child must be a primary consideration. "

Clearly, if the best interests of the child are to be taken into account  
In all the decisions which concern him, it is therefore a question of not giving him  
life ! Nothing more opposed to his well-being than to have to be born ...

The first articles of any Charter to protect the interests of  
The Child should therefore look like this:

1. The first right of the child is not to be born.
2. The second right of the child is to be able to call before the  
Courts, if he deemed it necessary, those who seriously  
His first right.

In any case, we can hope that such legal provisions  
Strongly encourage parents to acquire maturity and  
Sufficient skills to provide a child with the ultimate in happiness  
He deserves!

It can be assumed that few children would make use of such a right,  
The scope of which would ultimately be more symbolic than practical,  
That future breeders will know that if they do not  
Resides in their means to guarantee their offspring a pleasant existence  
Satisfactory, the latter will have the legal means to claim  
accounts !

Vote such a law and you will suddenly see the parents multiply  
Responsible, loving, dedicated, qualified, attentive and tolerant, while  
Will evaporate just as quickly the countless scoundrels who treat their  
Offspring like toys or jumpers!

The scandal of the woman-object has been strongly denounced, with pertinence,  
But why nobody denounces with even more force the  
Scandal of child-object?

At present, all the specialists admit it, the waste is enormous:  
It is by hundreds of millions that the children are violated, beaten, beaten,  
Neglected, oppressed, hungry, exploited, ill-educated, unloved, despised and

Desperation or permanent existential disability, even tortured  
Dead by their own parents! Yes, sometimes even tortured to death, as  
Every day the newspapers attest! What do you plan to do, herds of  
Pharisees, to remedy such a silent holocaust? Subsidizing without  
Restriction the birth rate? Fools. Jocrisses. Slavers.

Do you think that the nascent generations will remain for a long time  
The figures revealing to them day after day the magnitude of the  
Misery of the world?

Reassure us of some lugubrious statistics by keeping  
That a third of the world's population is under the age of 15, or that  
To say otherwise, that more than 2 billion human beings are children:  
§ 1.2 billion people "live" (the verb seems dared) with less than 1 dollar  
Per day, and another 1.6 billion with less than 2 dollars! This gives us  
A number terrorizing children plunged into the most abysmal poverty: more  
Of a billion obviously!

§ Consequence of this misery crushing half of humanity: 1 billion  
Of people living in slums, slums or buildings  
Insalubrious, which, as it should be, an enormous proportion of juvenile souls,  
Since the most destitute are also the most fertile ...

§ Another consequence: As you read these lines, 40 million  
People suffer from acute hunger, 800 million others are struck by  
Chronic under-nourishment while another 2 billion suffer  
Malnutrition. In total, there are as many as 9 to 15 million people,  
According to the vintages, who die of hunger or the consequences of hunger  
Every year! 15 million: the equivalent of a World War ...

§ As for children alone, 170 million of them suffer from under-nourishment  
And 3.4 million die annually!

§ Over the same period, more than 11 million children under five  
Years of preventable diseases, ie due to a lack of vaccines,  
Care and appropriate medication ...

§ Malaria, which permanently infects a hundred million  
Of individuals, is responsible, each year, for the death of nearly two million  
of them.

§ Every year, tuberculosis mangled 60 million people and  
Generates no less than 2 million deaths.

§ Hepatitis C affects 170 million people, 20% of whom,  
34 million individuals, will develop cirrhosis or cancer of the  
liver.

§ His sister, hepatitis B, afflicts 350 million other victims,  
Chronically infected, similarly threatened with destruction of the liver.  
Decidedly, tinkering with deadly viruses and supplicating bacteria seems  
The favorite hobby of God ...

§ Diarrheal diseases exterminate 2.2 million people  
Human creatures, including a majority of children, between two New Years! Not  
Enough to deflow a bottle of champagne ...

§ 200 million people suffer from schistosomiasis, a serious disease  
parasitic.

§ Trachoma has already caused the blindness of 6 million people and threatens  
Of eye damage 500 million humans!

§ There are 10 million new cases of cancer per year. Your child  
Will have more than one chance in four to contract one: thank you for it.

§ Since its emergence, AIDS has decimated some 30 million people  
(Of which more than 4 million had not reached their fifteenth year ...)  
While 40 million more are currently infected, including several  
Million children!

§ As the epidemic worsens, 15 million children  
Are already orphaned by their father and / or mother through HIV.  
They will be 25 million by 2010 ... Do you plan to moderate the  
Disaster?

§ Among these children affected by AIDS, some have even had the privilege of  
To be born with the virus or to contract it via the poisonous milk of their  
Inconsistent genitourist ... Each year, 600 000 babies are infected with  
This way: palsambleu, how good it is to receive life!



§ Nevertheless, ideas of suicide haunt at one time or another a  
Teenagers out of three, and one in ten ends up taking action! Who would dare  
Still pretend that existence is a part of pleasure?

§ All psychologists will confirm this: the aggressiveness testifies to a  
pain. Luckily for the optimist, our globe has quite a  
Aggressive people. Statistics unavailable.

§ At least 20 million individuals are subject to one form or another  
Of slavery in the strict sense of the term: a painful forced labor for  
Remunerative remuneration.

§ "A total of 1,200,000 children worldwide are sold for work or  
Prostitute ", proclaims a campaign organized by UNICEF in 2003 against  
Trafficking in children ...

§ 200 million Earthlings relish the misfortune of being diabetic; they will be  
Probably 330 million in 2025. Well-known consequences: accidents  
Cardio-vascular, stroke, retinal, kidney or  
Nerves ... Annual ransom: 4 million deaths. President's comments  
Of the International Diabetes Federation: "All the figures show that  
We are heading towards one of the biggest disasters the world has  
Never known ". Will your child be a witness or an actor?

§ Our globe has tens of millions of drug addicts, including  
More than 22 million heroin addicts and cocaine addicts.  
30 million people abusing amphetamines. As for alcoholics,  
Who could establish the number? Observers speak of 2 to 5% of  
The adult human population, that is to say 80 to 200 million people,  
Excessively haunted by alcohol ... Add to it the ingesters of tobacco,  
Haschisch, LSD, opium, morphine, mescaline, antidepressants,  
Of barbiturates and other benzodiazepines, it is immediately in abundance  
Hundreds of millions of pharmaco-dependent  
Family! If life was so charming, one might suppose that one should be impregnated  
Less psychotropic to make it livable ...

§ More than one in 100 people is schizophrenic, ie about 90 million  
Of people in the world!

§ Altogether, on our happy planet, 450 million people are suffering Neuropsychiatric disorders.

§ Better: 25% of individuals are condemned to develop, on one or The other period of their exalting destiny, psychological behavioral. It should be noted that the family environment plays a Major in the appearance of these psychopathologies ...

§ So no less than 10% of children suffer, one day or another, Mental illnesses severe enough to require specific treatment! Adequate therapy that barely 20% of them actually receive ...

§ According to the UN, because of the various wars, only between 1980 and 1995, Millions of children have died, five million have become disabled (Blessed are the anti-personnel mines, machine guns and shells that our Taxes are used to finance), one million have become Orphans of father and mother, twelve million finally suffered a trauma Psychological.

§ All 365 nycthémères, the death of 5 million children is linked To unhealthy environments. Knowing that pollution is constantly increase ...

§ As a child, 130 million women have been tortured In the form of genital mutilation (excision of the clitoris, removal of the lips, Infibulation, etc.). Among boys, it is by hundreds of millions that Count the victims of circumcision and other sexual abuse as a pretext religious.

§ It is estimated that between fifty and one hundred million children live in the street. Yes, without a roof worthy of the name, these legions of children grow, Work and turn off in the street! Sometimes from the age of four! Delivered to Delinquency, begging, drug addiction, petty degrading trades, Prostitution before being slaughtered by a rival "Death squads" set up by adults concerned about their comfort Inside ... Why not adopt or sponsor these damned of the earth? Of Obviously their generators do not have the means to provide for their The most basic requirements ...

§ As proof: 250 million children under the age of 14 are

Mostly to meet the needs of their  
Irresponsible family! Deprived of any decent education, one can guess what future  
Radiant opens before their pink fronts.

§ Nevertheless, their fate seems enviable compared to that of the 300,000 children  
Forced and forced to serve as soldiers (or to put it more precisely:  
Like cannon fodder) in conflicts of which they do not cure. At eight, ten or  
Twelve years of age, climbing to the front machine-gun at the shoulder: a world so hideous  
Deserves to be offered new babies?

§ Yes, hideous: at this time, several million children are sexually  
Exploited or forced into prostitution, some of which are sold to  
Traffickers and pornographers by their own parents! Genuine toys  
Many of them have died as a result of the repeated rape and  
Respectable adults, others are sinking into drugs, others  
Receive a sip of HIV as a tip ...

Millefoutrédieu,  
Beside what Childhood endures throughout the world,  
The Shoah almost looks like  
To suburban crime!

An Indian myth tells that the head of Avalokiteshvara, endowed with omniscience,  
Exploded with pain when he saw from the heavens the vastness of misery  
Of men: how to better metaphor the unsustainable nature of  
Destinies inflicted on creatures?  
The ultimate consequence of this universal distress: every minute,  
Two human creatures disgusted with life imposed on them are offered the  
Suicide Relief, while every three seconds, somewhere on the  
Our planet of torture, an individual courageously tries to end  
His days.

In other words, during the half hour of an average coitus, about sixty  
Of human beings have killed themselves and more than half a thousand sought  
To get rid of their corporality! This is what makes your sexual  
Little more tasty.

In suicide, it goes without saying, despite the law of silence surrounding their  
Act (do not depress the families that devil), that even the tender ones  
Children are not absent from this eloquent application to the Nether ...

At the meditation of the above quantified facts, this burden of suffering  
That the younger generations bear or are witnessing,  
Better this sentence of Arthur Janov in his famous Primal Cry:

Whatever the situation of a man in life, his system  
Of defenses is either crude or very elaborate, if one scratches a little under the  
Surface, we find a bruised child.

Yes, it is time to be able to incriminate those who plunge us into  
The disaster of existence: to procreate, is this not to inflict death and torment? In  
Would the spawners deserve less sanctions than homicides or  
The torturers?

Otherwise, because the magistrates themselves, and lawyers, and lawyers, and  
The politicians, and the pseudo-moralists, wish to offer themselves the "joys that are dispensed  
The birth of a child "and enjoy for many years the stimulus  
Presence of this one ... Pedophiles?

Young people with red sex of insurrection,  
do not hesitate :  
If the chore of existence displeases you,  
Rather than commit suicide,  
Lodge an appeal  
Against your parents!

### **Posture vii** **Surpollupopulation**

Humanity is actually taken to the throat  
By increasing its membership.  
- Albert Jacquard, The Demographic Explosion.  
France, 20th century

I wonder if we can refuse to inherit the Earth.  
"I believe that once it is born, it is too late."  
- Bill Watterson, Calvin & Hobbes (volume XI).

United States, 20th century.

There would be ample reasons to sue the  
Procreation is no longer merely a crime against the child, but  
Is also a crime against humanity!

Indeed, today we know that two elephantine threats are crushing  
Of all their weight the XXIst  
Century: this is the Overpopulation and  
Its immediate corollary: Pollution, here understood as destruction  
Of the biotope, whether it is contamination or the eradication of pure and  
this one.

These two perils, Pollution and Overpopulation, in the last analysis  
Constitute only one so much that we can evoke them under the term  
Of Surpollupopulation, pollution being only the direct consequence of  
Of the excess of population! Thesis which is easily illustrated: 10 million  
People living according to Western material abundance criteria  
Could pollute almost at will without ever putting our globe in peril;  
On the other hand, 100 billion people are satisfied with the  
Would still lead to ecological bankruptcy!

The concept of Surpollupopulation focuses on  
Incontestable phenomenon: the real ecological problem is in no way  
Pollution, but the number of people who pollute! Insists too little  
On this biomathematical truth; And for good reason: the so-called "right" to  
Reproduction seems an untouchable subject ...

And yet, the specialists now admit it unanimously, the planet  
Is slowly dying under the weight of widespread pollution  
Which human beings carry to him.

At the current rate of destruction of the environment, within  
Century, it may be that the very possibility of life, at least of a complex life  
As expressed in the human person, has disappeared. More an expert  
Which does not advance to prognosticate a series of major cataclysms in a  
More distant future if our species does not drastically reduce the  
Pressure which it causes, by its only number, on the biosphere.

Yes: by its only number.

Even a Third World resident, whose lifestyle does not  
Yet not to put, as in the West, a deleterious Leviathan industrial  
In its service, represents an immediate threat to the health of the globe; this  
Inhabitant of the frugal exigencies knows no less incompressible  
Food and energy requirements that require it to be practiced, among others  
Prejudices, deforestation always more voracious in order to satisfy them.

Everywhere on Earth, more and more trees are being annihilated  
To provide firewood or construction, as well as to  
Allow an increase in grazing or release new arable land  
Whose biological richness is practically nil, only the cultivated plant having  
Principle of the city ...

When it is also known that the ultimate aspirations of most  
Of the Third World countries, their accession to the WTO is sufficient evidence,  
To align themselves with the standard of living in the West, it is easy to guess  
What catastrophe is looming in the coming decades!

It should be noted that already China and India, whose inhabitants represent  
More than a third of the total human population, are engaged in  
The process of industrialization and conversion to capitalism in full swing ...

Thus, not only, and all psychosociologists know, the Occidental  
Will not backtrack, will not abandon its rage to produce  
To accumulate material goods, but a growing mass of nations,  
Confessed for the time being, and significantly, in the process of "development"  
Legions of "clients" whose bulimia will soon  
Engulf the remaining natural spaces and to  
Ultimate planetary resources.

Every year already, all causes combined, on a global scale, is  
Nearly 1% of the capital in soil that is lost irreversibly.  
Every year already, thousands of animals and plants  
Disappear under anthropogenic pressure!  
Every year already, millions of hectares  
Collapse to meet the needs of human populations ...

To take the right measure of the apocalypse that incubates, one would have to  
The acceleration of desertification due to overgrazing

To the cultivation of easily erodible surfaces (overpopulation  
Always: the more you want to feed people, the more you have to overexploit the ultimate  
Available land, until exhausted); Thus it is found  
That from now on, from year to year, the area of land newly  
Conquered (to the detriment of forest vegetation ...) for exploitation  
Agricultural land remains lower than that of the permanently lost arable land!

In the same way, the worrying pollution of soils and aquifers  
Resulting from intensive farming as well as massive contamination  
Rivers and oceans by domestic and industrial effluents; the  
Dangerous drying up of global phreatic reserves; inexorable  
Accumulation of toxic waste (nuclear or chemical)  
Unexploitable portions of the ecumene; The obstinate extension  
Megacities and concrete surfaces; The dramatic ravages caused to  
Rare areas that the chainsaw saves by acid rain or  
Fires (both accidental and criminal); The need, under penalty of  
Immediate famine, increased use of fertilizers and pesticides  
(Which annually poison millions of people and sterilize  
Whole ecosystems); The constant increase in the atmospheric  
Methane, the main cause of the greenhouse effect after carbon dioxide,  
Methane mainly released from rice fields and intestines of livestock  
Ceases to be present in order to provide for the trophic needs  
Of the human mammal; Impoverishment of fish stocks  
Overfishing (catches already capped, it is useless to wait for oceans  
They feed more people ...), etc. Litany of perils in gesticulation.

We send the impatient to discover in detail the most  
Imminent to specialized ecological works: they are edifying! It would be  
To prescribe the reading to all adolescents as well as to all the parents  
Potential.

We see, this brief enumeration of terrifying processes already in progress  
- and all proceeding directly, from the Overpopulation - would justify to it  
Alone, from to-day, without losing an extra minute,  
By coercion if necessary, human fecundity!

All the copiously synapsed brains exhaust themselves to the clamor: the earth  
Is supersaturated with human beings.

Our humanity [...] is threatened not only by the  
Hydrogen, but even more so by the avalanche

Of the population.

The living and habitable space of mankind is being reduced more and more  
And, for many peoples, the optimum has been surpassed since  
long time. The danger of disasters increases proportionately  
To the density of the growing populations.  
C.G. Jung, A Modern Myth. Switzerland, 20th  
century.

[The Swiss psychoanalyst made these remarks in the late 1950s when  
The Earth had barely 3 billion inhabitants.

The demographic explosion transforms man into a  
And prepares future wars.

Tensions and frustrations caused by uncontrolled demographics  
The "absolute" wars of the future ... Too many in  
A bag of flour, the weevils devour each other.

No solution is viable until the  
The question of demography.  
- Marguerite Yourcenar, The Open Eyes.  
France, 20th century

Antinatal practices are inescapable.

Countries with a high birth rate are also the poorest. Poverty,  
Ignorance and prolificity are in solidarity.  
Prevention of births, a matter of primary importance,

Presents strange contrasts. Vital for humanity, [...] it is not  
Not studied with the attention it deserves.

The human species finds itself before an exuberance that it has an interest  
To be limited.  
- Alfred Sauvy, Prevention of Births.  
France, 20th century



The amount of freshwater and arable land available per inhabitant  
Fall to levels that resource experts consider  
Unanimously as dangerous.

The human population has reached the tolerance threshold of the Earth  
Around 1978. In 2000, it was 1.4 times this threshold.  
- Edward Wilson, *The Future of Life*. United States, XXI  
century.

Is it possible to hold more unambiguous speeches?

Can they be more credible than when they emanate from one of the most  
Powerful geniuses of the twentieth century, one of the most brilliant women  
Of all time, a demographer among the most seasoned, or a  
Of the most famous living biologists, having devoted half a century to the study  
Of nature?

Know, your child will be by its very presence a major pollutant of  
The environment: every individual produced in our societies  
About 2 kgs of household waste per day, which leads us to a total  
Of 50 tons over an average life of 70 years. To domestic waste,  
Agricultural and industrial wastes, which multiply by  
At least four the debris produced by a Westerner. Yes: every child  
That you bring into the world, it is a minimum of 200 tons of waste  
You are engendering and overwhelming our planet  
Moribund!

Impossible in the face of the tragedy that is looming not to evoke the  
Prophetic thrusts launched by Bergson, as early as 1932, in *The Two Sources of Morality  
And of Religion*:

Could we not ... in countries where the population abounds,  
To impose more or less heavy taxes on the child in excess?

Not only can we, but we must! An imposing tax on  
Procreation seems the most immediately effective way to curb this  
An impulse more diabolical than ever: in view of the superlative egoism of parents  
And their sickly blindness, it would be illusory to hope that a simple appeal  
Reason and benevolence could dissuade them from offering themselves the baby-trinket of  
which They dream and continue to overpopulate our planet quietly

dying. Ethics, the concern for the Other, we have stressed  
Are hardly their strongest ...

However, the urgency of action is growing ever more glaring. According to  
The rise of the oceans as well as the increase in the  
Flooding caused by global warming, which  
Attributable to the Surpollupopulation which we have refused for more than a century  
Will lead to large population displacements,  
Can doubt that they will unfold peacefully! Needless to recall  
That the human animal has very little sense of welcoming foreigners migrating  
And even less that of the division of its territory: one knows from experience  
How the Palestinians reacted to the much-needed creation of  
The State of Israel ...

Where, for example, the tens of millions of inhabitants would be relocated  
Of the Bangladesh Delta, which is known to be one of the first  
Submerged lands? In India ? Sorry: the Indian subcontinent is already  
More than overpollupled ...

It is all the more possible to imagine the magnitude of these migrations  
When it is recalled that the coastal strips are among the  
Heavily populated areas of the planet and that  
Ice would melt, the sea level would rise by about 70  
Meters ... The "Netherlands" has not finished investing in dikes.

As for the innumerable low-lying islands enamelling the oceans,  
There is no doubt that they will all be under water - as well as their  
If they were to fail to find a courtesy land of welcome - by the  
Half or the end of the current century.

It should also be noted that the climate change  
The first effects are already felt, whether it will multiply storms on the one hand,  
Cyclones, floods and exceptional tides, will  
Desertification of gigantic territories subjected to unprecedented droughts,  
Which will also give rise to disturbing migratory flows ...

In the same vein, the amplification of the hole in  
The ozone layer, this shield that protects us less and less well from  
Cosmic radiations, renders it as unbreakable as it is unworkable  
Certain latitudes such as those of South Australia, New Zealand,

Patagonia, Scandinavia, Iceland, Alaska,  
Siberia and Canada, and forced their residents to leave  
These areas became hostile. It is in any case certain that the  
Number of malignant melanomas (unnecessary to insist on the lethality of these cancers  
Of the skin) will be increasing since the ozone layer is already thinner by 6%  
By decade (and since the late 1970s) over so many countries  
Populated than Europe or the United States: yes, "our" territories themselves  
Will be less and less conducive to sedentarization!

Finally, the galloping need to build dams of Pharaonic scale  
To ensure the supply of water and energy to humanity  
In addition to the destruction of arable land,  
Imposed population exodus: it has been estimated that since the  
In 1950, no less than 60 million people were forced to leave their homes.  
Lands to be submerged by the construction of dams. And this  
Movement will only increase, as the current draft  
Chinese water reservoir on the Yangtze River: the Three Gorges Dam  
- after drowning an area equal to almost twice Belgium and  
Tens of cities - will create a lake, larger than the Baikal, of six  
Hundreds of kilometers in length and will require the deportation of more than one  
Million people!

In short, O pincers,  
Humanity continues to grow  
While the areas  
Arable, exploitable or habitable  
Do not stop decreasing!

Better yet, prospectivists certify that within twenty  
Years of clashes for drinking water will break out as  
It exploded for oil.

As of now, more than one billion people have no water  
And 5 million die annually due to the poor quality of the liquid  
They consume, yes 5 million, half of them children! And this number  
Will double by 2025 ...

Irrespective of the scarcity of water and the enormous famines  
Overcrowding will lead to inevitable wars.  
At any time, and the most serious demographers have been able to support the

That one of the primary functions of an armed conflict was to regulate  
Population in order to bring them back to proportions compatible with the  
Territory.

It is, moreover, surprising that no observer or philosopher  
To point out that one of the essential roots of the eternal  
Israeli-Palestinian belligerencies was demographic. When  
Two fertility peoples live on a land of small extent while refusing to  
To control their fertility, the bloody confrontation is inescapable; Peace  
Between Israel and Palestine without a bilateral renunciation of the  
population growth ! Another truth that the newspapers refrain  
Carefully to pass on, for fear of shocking the readership ...

Let us therefore give the floor to Henri Bergson, still in *Les deux Sources  
Of Morality and of Religion*:

What is certain is that Europe is overpopulated, that the world  
Will be soon, and that if we do not "rationalize" the production of  
The man himself as one begins to do for his work,  
We will have war. Nowhere is it safe to rely on  
To instinct. Ancient mythology had understood this when she  
Associated the goddess of love with the god of fighting. Let Venus,  
It will bring you Mars. You will not avoid regulation.

Remember, this text was published in 1932 ... More than 70 years ago  
That we despise these wise recommendations. Needless to reproach the  
Philosophers their uselessness if every time they think usefully,  
Behaves as if they had not thought of anything!

In total, throughout the 20th century  
Century, the best minds will have ceased  
To alert the crowds to the threat posed by population  
Reasoned, or controlled by an interventionist policy. For their own  
Damnation, the crowds did not want to know: they wanted children ...

Let. We are already already more than 6 billion on our  
world ; And we will be, if by then ethics does not take precedence over the indecent  
Desire for a child, 10 or 12 billion people in 2050. However,  
By the superlative majority of experts, 12 billion  
The extreme maximum that our planet can tolerate (which does not mean

In the luxuriance), while the same experts  
Only one billion people, already living  
Western standards of comfort, far exceed the  
Natural regeneration of the biotope: this means that we exhaust  
Resources infinitely faster than they are renewed  
The non-renewable resources ...) and that at the current rate this  
Billion consumers will have transformed into a more  
Closer to the planet in ecological wasteland!

If we wish to translate these data in sharp terms, they mean  
Neither more nor less than we are already too! Too much.

If proof were needed, it is this: of the current six billion of earthlings,  
Half of which, as we have seen, is living in the most sordid poverty (  
As little pressure as possible on the biosphere), the largest  
Part of the other half has still not found his satiated wealth,  
And yet our planet is already wasting away at an exponential rate! And one  
Would make us believe that it can tolerate 12 billion creatures  
Human resources? Yes, 12 billion unfortunates squeezing their belts, converts  
Force to vegetarianism, resigned to an existence of compressed urbanites and  
Depriving of any excess of vital expansiveness: destiny of cattle parque.

I would prefer to know the land populated by a maximum of one hundred  
Millions of individuals to whom no abundance would fail, nor food  
Material or spiritual food (forests deceased or requisitioned for  
Essential, will nations come to limit the number of books  
Allowed by person?), Neither free to explore nor vast and extensive virgins  
Lonely meditation, nor the joy of contemplating the prodigality of  
Fauna and flora, nor even the right to orgiastic squanderings, for it is not  
Good that man be shrunk to imperative accounting glaciers ... Live  
As the demographers invite us to make room for  
Five, six or seven billion new wretches, also condemned to  
Diet, is it really a prospect for the future?

Certainly: a hundred million human creatures  
Libertarian opulence that ten billion miserable calculators  
Always busy to measure the space and the dose of pips to snack! he  
Time to begin to prefer a living humanity in the  
Quality for all to a humanity exhausting in the race to the single quantity  
Of its workforce. Why multiply the number of needy? Would we

More unhappy if we were 60 times less numerous? Will we be more  
Happy when we will be 2 times more teeming? Before thinking of  
Increase their number, first ensure the happiness of all humans!

In any case, with the aim of "saving the planet" (sic), speaking, as  
One is content to do it today, in a rhetoric so vain  
Hypothetical, to "change our consumer habits" (which we  
In any case, by no means) will in no way alter the fundamental  
Of the problem: the unstoppable increase in population pressure on  
the environment.

It would be idle to try to determine how many billions of individuals,  
And according to what lifestyle (technician or traditional), the Earth can endure  
Without wasting too much, it is enough to remember that the Earth is a finite space and that  
This title it can not accommodate an infinite number of human creatures! Gold,  
The more we wait to impose on the whole planet the policy of the child  
Unique, only able to tangibly decrease the population, the  
Will have to frustrate a large number of couples from their simian instinct  
To reproduce.

One day, therefore, we will have to limit births if we  
Claim to survive. Why do not you start right away?  
Planet already protests furiously and that no one, neither the West nor the Third World,  
Seems to want to renounce its inadequate, predatory relationship to  
its environment ?

Will we have to wait until we have transformed the Earth into an ecological desert  
To subordinate the reproductive drive to the ethical imperative?

Albert Jacquard himself did not stop hammering him during the 90s,  
Thus in his Little Philosophy for the Use of Non-Philosophers:

To find a balance, the only possibility is to limit the  
Fertility, which implies a fundamental change in our  
Attitude towards procreation. Procreer was once a duty;  
It is now a limited right.

The question remains as to who is going to impose the  
This "right" is constantly more questionable ...

No politician for the moment has the courage to hold a hostile speech

Fertility: and for good reason, it would be dangerously opposing the selfishness of  
As for the "beautiful souls", they never dare to use the  
Terms of coercion or legal constraint, nor economic sanctions  
To check the matrices, fearing no doubt to tarnish their angelic image  
Philanthropists and at the same time slimming their complacency  
Mattress of readers. One day, however, it will be necessary to leave logorrhea  
Sweetener to switch to efficient acts.

How is it that no one draws conclusions from these  
The current Dalai Lama, Tenzin Gyatzo:

We must be clear, without hypocrisy, without bias. It is necessary  
Six billion inhabitants is too much. Morally, it is a  
Serious mistake, because of the aggravated distortion between rich and  
poor countries. And, practically, it's dramatic.

At the root of all our dangers, of all threats that we  
Let's talk, before the money, I put overpopulation.

"So you're in control of births?"

- Absolutely. It must be made known and promoted [...]  
As soon as possible.

It is therefore necessary to educate the populations of the Third World. Because they  
Do not know anything, I see here around me. And it must be done  
Energetically, without sentimental reluctance. It is a necessity  
Immediate, an emergency. You have to tell them, with all that  
Supposes misunderstandings: you are wrong, your growth  
Demographics far too strong leads you to more  
Terrible yet.

(Excerpts from The Force of Buddhism.)

Long before these flawless sentences, Albert Einstein himself  
Had warned: the demographic bomb equals in dangerousness the bomb  
Atomic! Alas, this matrix bomb, the first imbecile to come  
Decide to activate the detonator: an ejaculation is enough ...

It is regrettable that no State concerned about the peace of nations  
Want to take the trouble to curb the proliferation of this Weapon of Destruction  
Massive of a new type: Maternity!

Yet the 2002 report of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) recognizes the absolute need to reduce fertility in the Third World, at least if it is desired to allow it to take off economically And avoid, on the other hand, socio-political conflicts attributable to Demographics.

But how to act? Nothing more distilled. If we really want to To bring about the necessary birth control project, the first Obviously to eliminate the (This sort of incomprehensible premium for crime); the second, As already suggested by Bergson, to levy a very heavy tax on each New baby; The third to stigmatize all the fertility reflexes by Through active propaganda in favor of sterility; The fourth of Prohibit any form of medically assisted procreation (the most insane Of all medical gestures); The fifth, and most importantly, to To launch a policy of education and systematic awareness-raising Younger generations: the taste for nulliparity can be taught in the same way That of non-violence and respect for others.

A child becomes what one does: why not imagine a Indoctrination to the refusal to procreate as intense and hammering as the To the family or capitalist values of which we pervert Today, without shame, the malleable brain of youth?

Every culture manipulates its heirs in the sense that benefits it, why Not resign ourselves to manipulating ours in the direction of a Better? Certainly, "Manipulate" is, after "procreate", one of the most abject verbs of the language French, but do we still have time to choose our means?

We have indicated that if we allow the free will of the greatest Number, the doxa, this eternal fellator of instinct, will always win And nothing will come to block the destruction of the globe by countless Small pregnant globes ...

It is not too late, however, to teach young people to philosophize Without mask or conjuring. Adolescence, all its wealth resides there, Often prefers the truths that disturb the blindness that reassures ... Inertia being a vice of adults, it is not impossible that the anti-natalism



Become the theme of crystallization of the adolescent revolt of tomorrow!

In any case, it goes without saying that it is for the West to take  
The initiative of these steps and to set an example for the Third World:  
Holders of all legal, educational, financial and other  
Contraceptives, we must initiate the process of contraction  
Demographic!

"Yes, but sir, if the birth rate settles, who will phynanate our pensions?"  
Question the Ubu fathers by massaging their green candle.  
- I remind you: the child is not a banknote! It does not have to be born  
To quilt the decubitus of your lapse: finance your own future or  
Well resign yourself, navels, to the idea that you are mortal.

So let us not be afraid of the birth rate: we have a  
Gigantic debt to the Third World that, unscrupulously and  
Centuries, we plundered, plundered and enslaved both physical  
Than economical, as in our own days; It is time to help relieve  
Its misery by favoring immigration to our lands of superabundance. Born  
Fear no labor shortages if no more children are born  
Billions of people knock on our doorstep, including  
A titanic number of orphans to adopt! Do you feel reassured,  
Tartuffes?

To convey this chapter to its estuary, let us retain the elements  
The following:

1. It is unthinkable to tackle Pollution without first fighting against  
Overcrowding.
2. Surpollupopulation doing, every child that is born is a bit of land  
who dies !
3. Consequently, and in a succulent paradox, the fertilists work  
Now to destroy life in the name of life itself! We knew they were idiots, but  
At this point...
4. In short, the 21st Century will be anti-natalist or will not be: the hour of glory of  
Malthus has resolutely sounded.

5. Bergson, as early as the 1930s, had given us the key to the struggle against  
"You will not avoid regulation. "Since we do not  
Will not we avoid it, why do we procrastinate more?

Finally, let us point out to our friends the admirable green activists  
Champions of ethics, than on a planet whose health is  
The irrationality of its inhabitants, an ecologist who breeds is a  
Ecologist doubtful ...

As a reminder, the famous Commandant Cousteau advocated, with a view to  
Saving the Earth, an optimal number of 800 million human beings:  
Seven times less than now! At work the IUDs! Around the  
Vasectomies!

The panic measures now seem to be  
The American environmentalist Edward Wilson already cited, the situation is  
Not only dramatic, it is desperate to the extent that, as he  
Stresses so well, humanity finds itself engaged in a bottleneck of overpopulation  
And uncontrolled consumption; Hence this formidable confession in its enlightenment  
The Future of Life: "Too many people consume too much land and  
And the resources contained in that space "and to blame without restraint  
"Our reproducing madness" (sic), before warning, in an interview given in  
August 2002 to the newspaper Le Soir, that we are now facing  
To a global environmental crisis that will transform the  
World from which our unfortunate descendants will inherit "a place where life  
Will be much more difficult "than today! Even more difficult ? Bugger.

In short, it becomes clear that the state of radical decay of the planet  
And the many cataclysms announced that will result in all  
Decades invalidate the intention of giving life to  
New human being, both collectively and individually:

We do not people any more  
A planet already overpolluted!

One does not give birth to a child  
Which has all the chances  
To witness the apocalypse!



## An Agathogenesis

Parents, more often than not,  
Have more need of education than children.  
- Søren Kierkegaard, Journal.  
Denmark, 19th century

What child is crying  
On his parents would have motive?  
- Friedrich Nietzsche, Thus spoke Zarathustra.  
Germany, 19th century

Sail of Isis raised, the procreation appeared to us, in its prodigious  
Horror, as the refuge of the most miasmatic human vices; But  
We are more likely to delude ourselves on those who condemn us to  
Live, it is possible that, immediately, by ricochet, a new mission is incumbent on us:  
Teach the multitudes to procreate according to the Wisdom and not according to the Instinct!

The ideal would of course be that philosophy wins the verb and  
That all with joy refrain from bequeathing the infection to exist to recalcitrants  
heirs. The collective psyche, alas, has not yet reached the nodal point of  
Lucidity and ethical demand for this abstinence to be imposed without  
Of animal reticence.

Anti-natalism has in its possession the best syllogisms in its quiver,  
The human species remains infinitely more sensitive to programming  
Genetics from our simian ancestors to the teachings of reason  
Apollonian. Without a doubt, the so-called Sapiens Homo primate will continue  
To reproduce until a nuclear war or a concatenation  
Ecological collapse succeed where wisdom had to bow: to him in  
The choice of the extinction which he prefers; Especially since if he does not kill himself,  
It will be the laws of cosmology that will take care of it ...

Unfortunately, our species could survive an atomic disaster or  
While the self-destruction of the Universe is still  
Several billion years before us, which nevertheless gives  
Criminals to shape a significant number of new  
Victims ... Can we not, however,

their fate ? It seems as if, and so will the finality of Agathogenism.

To distinguish well from eugenics to such pernicious connotations,  
Agathogenism can very simply be defined as a search for the  
"Procreation according to the Good" as well as conditions guaranteeing the child the  
Higher probability of falling into the hands of acceptable parents,  
The realistic expression of Bettelheim.

Unlike eugenics, Agathogenism does not select genes,  
He carves and decans souls in order to make them as fit as possible  
The hard task of engendering children and initiating them with the  
Authentic Love with multiple challenges of existence.

All you have to do is open the newspapers or browse the  
The extent and gravity of parental incompetence: children  
Beaten, raped, neglected, tyrannized, ill-educated, ill-loved, abused or  
Traumatized by their breeders seem infinitely more numerous  
Than children fully fulfilled within their family.

We have already mentioned this, even in our famous western countries  
Over-attentive to the well-being of juvenile creatures, one in ten  
At least one sexual abuse during his childhood, his own parents by being  
In the superlative majority of cases direct officials ....

Every year, in France alone, according to the most reliable estimates,  
100,000 children are subjected to various forms of abuse, sometimes  
More than 700 of them die directly, while many others  
Will respond later to this indelible aggression sometimes by attempting to commit suicide,  
Sometimes developing mental disorders, asocial behaviors or behaviors  
Violent (including sometimes with their offspring of consolation) ...

In the case of the United States, experts even put forward the figure  
Emetics of 1700 000 children abused or neglected by their progenitors!  
The Holocaust !

In millions of households of all social, economic and  
Every day, a terrible crime is committed: violence  
To children.

Susan Forward, Toxic Parents. United States, 20th century.

Myriads of children around the world have to deal with  
Alcoholics, or addicts, or maladjusted, or mentally deficient,  
Or delinquent, or incestuous, or neurotic, or depressed, or frustrated, or  
Suicidal, or struggling in the most sinister indigence, or ignorant, or  
Boring, or complexed, or suffering from serious pathologies, or simply  
Crapulous, etc.

Who could account for the more or less immature breeders?

Despite himself, a child must be satisfied with a single-parent family;  
Another was "given birth under X" (from birth, abandoned  
Solitary pain to live!); Such was inoculated the smiling AIDS virus by  
His mother was distractedly HIV-positive; Such was born of unknown father; Such is  
A mother, or even a grandmother, a carrier; The consequences of the  
Had a female genitourist who was addicted to smoking during her pregnancy; This will be the  
Of a kidnapping by one or other of his parents; Such feels terrified by a father  
Despotic or castrating mother; Experience of another type  
Of psychological violence (possessiveness, omnipresence, suramour, incest  
Symbolic ...); Parents who do not care about their academic performance and  
His existential worries; Another was born only to meet the needs  
Economic or emotional affairs of his or her family; Such was born unwanted (a crown!);  
Such is found forced to overwork and deprived of childhood by the multiple activities  
Extra-curricular activities that his parents have imposed on him "to secure his future" (  
To disfigure its present); Such is waiting for its designer to come out of prison; Such a  
For a 15-year-old adolescent girl more or less illiterate; Such sees  
His father beating his mother or mother deceive his father; Such is torn apart  
Between divorced or perpetually quarreling procreators, and so on.

Who could account for children more or less unhappy?

In short, if children suffer,  
It is first because their own parents make them suffer!

To the phosphorescence of these findings, one can not tolerate any  
Prophylactic measure is taken by the public authorities in order to prevent  
That so many disasters strike so many child victims of so dismal  
Façonateurs.

Today, bottomless scandal, the most imbecile as the most toxic  
Of individuals enjoys the absolute right to make a new creature! Worst :

Societal values, in their defensive apology of the family jail, the  
Encourage and condition it without nuances!

While all psychoanalysts, pediatricians and psycho-pedagogues admit  
That it is no more difficult task, more complex, and above all more essential,  
That of raising a child, the last of the morons can be tried, without having  
Followed by the least training, to found a tribe ...

Hence our suspicion: if humanity goes so badly,  
It is primarily because we leave anyone  
Reproduce no matter how!

Even more paradoxical, young people are denied the right to vote  
Pretext that they do not have the maturity required to elect such a puppet  
Another, but full licenses are granted to girls of 13 or 15 years of age.  
Pregnant and give birth to as many toys and ass  
Instead of pushing them vigorously, in the name of the best interests of the child  
Designed wrongly, right in the abortion room! Some kids, even if they  
Academic failure and obviate existential existence, have the right to give rise to  
But not to vote in favor of a particular policy. I will  
Ask for the most wisdom: tick a box in the voting booth or  
Nourish a child's mind and brain with all the subtle  
His happiness, current and future, depends?

Let us grant, from fifteen years, the right to vote to adolescents, their idealism  
Can only positively influence the crucial political orientations,  
But let us not allow their lack of experience of the real world  
To become a father, before time, of children who above all need  
Experienced tutors, that is to say, having pushed as far as possible  
Learning of the bionomic structures, and having fully  
Masters of both their spiritual potential and their destiny

This skepticism in relation to the so-called "right" (philodoxic,  
Philosophical) to give life must extend well beyond adolescents:  
It seems less and less evident that everyone can enjoy unconditional  
Of such a privilege.

To believe, as one does implicitly, that the parental instinct is sufficient,

Rest assuming that nature always does things right, these are  
Criminally derisive attitudes. The sow sometimes devours its piglets by  
Instinct ... and the various facts abound in "anecdotes" of fathers and mothers  
Ignominiously unworthy, instinctive or not!

We hammer him: if humanity goes so badly,  
It is primarily because we leave anyone  
Reproduce no matter how!  
Hence our surging sulteration:

How is it that there  
Fertility?

And yet what a profusion, in our societies, of permits in all  
Such as driving, hunting, fishing, weapons,  
Construction, work, residence, travel (passport), burial, etc.  
Without forgetting the always useful permits to practice a profession: the  
Ubiquitous diplomas!

Everyone will consider it salutary, even indispensable, that a doctor or  
Engineer or a solicitor or nurse or theologian  
Does not obtain a license to practice without first demonstrating  
Skills, but everybody feels natural that the first nabot  
Self-proclaimed as an education specialist by simply putting a child  
in the world ! He is not an educational specialist? But then how  
Will he educate his child?

In the same vein, how can we justify  
Certificate of "Good Life and Morals" (however ridiculous) to candidates  
Parenting when it is required to enter many occupations  
(Administration, police, magistrates ...)?

Personally, this contradiction reverses me. Is it a sector of life  
Humanity where it is more important to deal with irreproachable people  
Than in the creation of a new creature?

At the dawn of the nineteenth century century, Charles Fourier was already offended by the evil  
Parental Disabilities:

The father is almost always incapable, either by imperfection in art



To educate, either by inability to hatch and to satisfy the  
Natural inclinations of the child.

The father is often so ill-educated that he only gives the child  
Vicious impulses.

The child is more often depraved than cultivated, when his  
Is entrusted to fathers, mostly incapable.

(Excerpts from Towards Freedom in Love: Selected texts.)

If Fourier rightly doubted paternal abilities, it was the know-how  
As early as 1792, one of the most remarkable  
Women's movement, Mary Wollstonecraft, in her  
Defense of the Rights of Women:

The woman, in any situation slave of the prejudices, rarely exercises  
An enlightened maternal love, for either she neglects her children, or she  
Spoils them by an indulgent indulgence. In addition, the love of certain  
For their children is, as I have already pointed out, often  
Very bestial: for it stifles every spark of humanity.

And the twentieth Century, by the voice of this undoubted expert in psychology  
Infant as D. W. Winnicott, to show himself, in L'Enfant et le Monde  
Exterior, even more accusatory:

I would like to give a simple but accurate description,  
One of the aspects of delinquency: its link with a lack of  
family life. This could be useful for those who wish to  
Understand the roots of the problem of delinquency.

Even the tocsin sound in 1995 when an FBI study confirms that 74%  
Murderers have been victims of physical or psychological abuse within  
Even their family!

Lost lyrics and statistics, even chanted by all  
Criminologists: roots identified or not, we continue to  
Offenders rather than charging their resigning parents, while  
That no one is thinking of preventing these dramatic "family  
Establishing a true Propedeutic to the Procreation!

Educators are nevertheless obliged to attend school  
Years before they can start teaching, their  
Task summarizes in its mass to transmit intellectual contents  
To young souls; But which otherwise the parents themselves must have  
Competence, wisdom and virtue of pedagogues, guides of children -  
Wishes etymology - through the maze of life?

Who must have the highest aptitude: the teacher or the  
Broodstock?

Knowing that most of the psychological difficulties that disrupt  
Plethora of so-called adults find their source in childhood and  
This childhood can escape the trauma only on condition  
To live in a healthy family environment, it will be  
Can no longer ignore the absolute need for  
Methodical to parenting ...

This is where Agathogenism comes in, with the three pillars  
Should be as follows:

### **1. Compulsory education To parenting**

This training should ideally span four years of  
Intensive courses: educational psychologists or  
Child psychiatrists; However, it is not acceptable for individuals to become  
Parents without a baggage equivalent to that of a specialist in the  
Child psychology.

It is to parents that the most essential part of the education of  
Children, it is therefore up to parents to be the best educated of all in education  
Children! That it is not yet a truism indicates how long the  
Before it reaches the shores of a humanity not only  
Accomplished, but even: transmuted.

- What! ? But sir, no one will enter the professional life before  
The age of 26, 27 or 28 if you add another 4-year cycle  
Today!

- So much the better, the unemployment will diminish: the idlers of the moment will take the

Place of busy students to try to obtain a degree in Agathogenism.

But I grant you a concession: in default of an ideal curriculum of 4 years, a

Energetic training of a single year (and who dare to pretend it is impossible?)

Would already greatly reduce the trauma suffered by the child as a result of the

The failure of its parents!

- But who will finance these studies?"

- Parentalizable of course (any caprice possessing its price) as well as

Politicians who will finally understand that it costs less to

The company to invest in Childhood than in the repressive-security apparatus,

Penitentiaries and psychiatric asylums where victims often end up

Of parental incompetence.

- But what will those who have insufficient intellectual quotient do?"

- And what do today those whose quotient intellectual prohibits them

Access to profitable occupations? They will devote themselves to something else:

More than enough contemplated imbecility to reproduce. Do you think that the child

Wins to be born in a nest of idiots?

- You're shocking me.

- I hope so; it is the characteristic of the reformers." However, the most shocking

That nothing was done to ensure that the child would benefit

Of the best possible parents!

A child can not improvise.

It prepares itself, it requires a whole learning, a technical assimilation

And theoretical skills, a genuine know-how coupled with a

Real knowledge-lover that no one enjoys by the grace of the Holy Spirit ... Let's shoot

the results.

Nero was certainly Nero, but the one he had for his mother was called

Agrippina ...

There is much to be said about the disastrous childhood of many heads of state

History in the monstrosity of their acts Their unbridled philanthropy ... or even the infernal

youth of the killers In series, bandits, great sadists, perverts and other psychopaths

So decried. It can never be said enough that Hitler and Stalin were children

Abused!

Another example: the "abominable" Dutroux, a scapegoat of our own

Had to undergo an "extremely violent" father as well as a mother

Abusive; Consequences: Dutroux's brother committed suicide, while Marc

Dutroux avenged himself for his part of his infantile distress lived in a

"Detestable family climate" (sic) by becoming enemy public number one ...

Who could be convinced that such progenitors are not for nothing  
In the death of Julie and Melissa?

On the other hand, that Dutroux could have become without a father himself  
Several children left a pantois: a "Permission to Procreate" would not allow  
Not prevent such aberrations?

Is it necessary to recall the unbelief of specialists when faced with the supposed skills  
Parents:

How many parents are fetishists of their children, how many are  
Pedophiles or make their slaves their generations, how many  
Or leave them without, without power, without knowing, without  
Communication, without joy, while others imprison them in  
A gilded prison, stifle them by their overprotection.  
- Françoise Dolto, The Cause of the Children. France, 20th century

Parents must train the child according to himself and not  
According to their own needs.

What parents see in their child is their own needs  
And the hope of satisfying them. The child is not considered  
For what it is.  
- Arthur Janov, The Primal Cry. United States, 20th century.

Can we ignore any longer, in front of the daily carnage of  
Psyches in flower, anger and the radical demand of certain visionaries:

The proliferation of children who are not guaranteed care  
Of love and sensitive intelligence is a crime against  
humanity.  
- Raoul Vaneigem, Declaration of Rights  
Of the human being. Belgium, XXI century.

No doubt: it is totally criminal to give birth without having  
Of superior abilities!

The child has his language, his symbolic, his criteria, his needs, his landmarks,

Its adaptive rhythm, its evolutionary procedures, its personal fragilities: on  
Do not engender without literacy and wisdom the grammar of a  
Nascent soul! It is at least to know how to listen to it and not to impose it  
Our latent fantasies. Alas, to use a famous formula: love  
not enough...

The dream: an Academy of the Art of Engendering ...

Perhaps we ignore the educational need because  
Awakens in us the embarrassing memory that we are still  
Children, on some side, and that we need to be  
educated.

C.G. Jung, Problems of the modern Soul. Switzerland, 20th century.

## **2. Psychoanalysis Of candidates for childbirth**

It will be possible without inconveniences to take place during the school cycle mentioned  
above. The undertaking of such a work of elucidating oneself would avoid  
Reporter on his progeny his own complexes or unresolved traumas.  
Too many children carry the consequences of the tormented soul of their  
Broodstock; No one is freed from his unconscious before he has explored it  
The abyss! Independently of the expected catharsis and the sapience  
Relational implications, it is important that psychoanalysis succeed  
To make future parents aware of the phenomena,  
Always deleterious, of Projection and Displacement, which are  
Root of most family conflicts and failures.

Let's listen again Janov and Dolto:

The main reason why children become neurotic  
Is, in my opinion, the fact that their parents are too  
They lead against their own unsatisfied child needs.  
A woman can conceive a child in order to be able to  
Pampering like a baby - which she actually experienced the need  
all his life. As long as it is at the center of attention, it  
Is relatively happy. But after childbirth, she  
To sink into a deep depression. The pregnancy served its  
And had nothing to do with the arrival in the world of a new  
To be human.

Whether it is through acerbic criticism or firm advice,  
Parents try to use a defenseless child to satisfy their  
Own frustrated needs.

- Arthur Janov, The Primal Cry. United States, 20th century.

We now know that madness is often induced in children  
By his parents, who are apparently healthy.

Families sadise their children to save themselves from their  
Suffering.

The genesis of neuroses dates back to the history of parents and sometimes  
Grand parents.

- Françoise Dolto, The Cause of the Children. France, 20th century

Irreconcilable parents who take their childhood victim on their  
Own children ...

When will we decide to break this circle frankensteinequamentment  
"Father-nie-cieux"? How long will we have to resign ourselves to the  
Most parents play the role of pathogens for their children?

Needless to remind that in general the bad parents are those who had  
To undergo bad parents: we will never limit to this diabolical cycle  
Without directing all the parents to a psychoanalysis allowing them to  
Emancipate themselves from their hidden demons!

By the way, such a dive into the mysteries of his own psyche  
The harmony and stability of couples and  
Children of the drama of divorce or the distressing parental disputes.

- Yes, but I do not believe in psychoanalysis.

- You know, even today some people are struggling to believe that the sun  
Turns around the earth ... Freud and Jung were incontestably to the soul  
What Copernicus was to the understanding of celestial mechanics. You do not  
Do not believe in psychoanalysis? But perhaps you believe in the purity of your  
Desire of child ...

We can not admit that the works of Freud, Jung, Winnicott,  
Bettelheim, Janov, David Cooper, Melanie Klein and Françoise Dolto  
These must be regarded as mere  
Capacity for practical applications; According to the Socratic injunction of "Know thyself  
You ", we begin to clarify the main mechanisms of the soul  
And understand more and more what parental attitude prejudices  
The child or on the contrary opens it to the best of himself and of the world. It would be  
Not use such a science and refuse to apply it  
Now to the indispensable Education of Parents!

### **3. Prohibit all procreation Before the age of 30**

No marriage before 30 years: it was already the advice of Hesiod in Les  
Works and Days as well as Plato in his Laws ...

How indeed tolerate that bejaunes, the pupils of all cognition  
A little deepened of the challenges and difficulties of existence, arrogate themselves  
The prerogative to throw a new being into this existential jungle where they  
Hardly stammered? What do we know about life at twenty? Worse: what is known about  
Self or the Human at that age?

One of the priority aims of Agathogenism is to give the child  
Mature and responsible parents, not heads-in-the-air who know nothing about  
Or the suffering of a human trajectory. At twenty, the spirit  
Hardly emerges from his cocoon, at twenty-two he moves cautiously away from the  
Family nest, at twenty-five it is only extirpated from the illusions of love and  
Ambition, at thirty it can be assumed that he received enough slaps and  
Camouflages as well as endured enough of destinal cramps to start  
To doubt the benevolence of Fortune, according to the Stoic diasyrism.

We can not imagine creatures in the state of chrysalises, very feebly  
In life, both emotionally and professionally, are embarking on  
The perilous adventure of raising a child and enrolling him in the  
The labyrinth of Becoming. Without going into the rigors of existentialism, we  
Believe that before being, one must have somewhat existed ...

The child is entitled to parents not only art experts to educate  
But also experienced in art to overcome hassles, disappointments, trials and Fractures of all  
kinds, an experience which obviously does not conquer

Than on the battlefield of life.

One does not become head of state or cardinal at twenty, by what ineptitude  
Would become a spiritual master of a child of twenty-two? When I meet  
Of parents under the age of thirty, I still feel the desire to offer them  
A teat, if not a bellow when they confess that I never opened a  
Book of child psychology.

- But, sir, at thirty, a woman risks becoming a mother of a  
Malformed!

- You've probably heard about prenatal diagnosis and techniques  
Abortifacients? In addition, if concern for the genetic integrity of the embryo  
Is concerned at this point, why do you not forbid all maternity beyond  
Of thirty years? Shortly before menopause, there are still many women,  
Publicly congratulated, to give birth to an unfortunate, doubtless  
Exposed to become orphan quickly, but in perfect health ...

As for me, I like a malformed and aborted embryo better than a soul  
Parenting that could lead to abortion of the child's destiny!

To forbear any childbirth before having passed his thirties,  
In addition to a higher maturity and a much more consistent life experience,  
The following undeniable advantages: increased reflection time on the  
His "desire for a child" (who, therefore, only to meditate according to their  
The inherent disadvantages of taking care of a child  
Would not waive?); Marital equilibrium, knowing that the hunt for  
Sexual partners is more characteristic of adolescence than adulthood; a  
In general, the appeasement of passions for the benefit of reason; Finally, and  
If one is patient until 36 years for example (as well as Aristotle  
Recommended in its Policy), professional stability and  
Increased material resources.

As many major profits that the child would surely benefit,  
And we are inclined to think that the ideal age for childbearing is rather  
Between 30 and 40 years that between 15 and 25 ...

- But, monsieur, pity, all these measures have something extremely  
Dissuasive!

"That is the least we ask of them." To re-read them, moreover, these  
Sufficiently utopian for us to be able to that they will one day become reality.  
Also boring or binding



Would appear, it is sufficient to note that there is no  
An argument against them so that they eventually eradicate inertia.  
After immersing it against its will in the uncomfortable pot of  
Existence, the spawners have no rights over their offspring, only one  
Infinity of duties! Of which the least turns out to become virtuosos science  
psycho ...

The challenge is extreme because if parents do not become capable of giving  
The best of themselves to the child, the child will never be able to  
In turn give the best of itself to mankind, while the latter  
Will continue miserably its march towards the Absurd on the paths of  
War of all against all "and the universal devouring ...

The humanity of tomorrow is being prepared in families:  
Should we entrust the fate of humanity to the incapable?

Childhood stands as both the last and the first combat  
Of a Humanism which would have ended with the half-measures in which the  
Hypocritical catechism of the well-being and their desire not to  
Not give up their privileges, which all rely on one form or another  
Exploitation of Others. From the instrumentalisation of the child to capitalism,  
No solution of continuity: it is always a matter of drawing pleasure from suffering  
the other. The entrepreneur is only a collective incarnation of the father  
Sadistic father, and the sadistic father himself was most often a child  
That the family nucleus should be the model of every construction  
Clearly explains many of the horrors of which each individual is guilty  
Civilization!

Clearly, Humanism will be renewed in Childhood or  
Well, invaded by its own ethical contradictions, will slowly  
In its lack of revolutionary audacity. If we wish to give ourselves  
A last chance to stop all cycles of reproduction of violence  
(As reification-alienation of man by man), we must  
Urgent refocus reflection on the figure of the Child. What is the use of  
Of Lévinas, to appeal to the perfect respect of the Other if we are not  
Not even able to respect authentically those we  
World? The problem of procreation ultimately results from all the  
It is, to say the least, astounding that there is so little debate about its  
As well as the conditions of its relevance ...

Parent Education is undoubtedly one of the major challenges  
Century: we can not understand why no one seems to  
Pressed to put it in a legally binding framework. The belief in miracles  
Would she have taken refuge in faith in the infused science of procreators?

We have just outlined the protocol aimed at "engendering" parents  
Quality: it rests on a tripod which it seems delicate to remove  
The least member, but, in an agathogenic perspective always,  
Other measures would undoubtedly remain without influence on the dexterity  
Of future spawners.

For example, it would be time to propose systematically,  
High school students, dissertations on the question of the merits of procreation  
And to discuss profusely, as early as secondary school, the theme  
No one, it may be supposed, will dare to call it adventitious or ridiculous.

Similarly, it is not possible to postpone further the opening of a public debate  
On the ethical legitimacy of childbirth, such as there had been, formerly or in the past,  
Concerning the abolition of slavery (outrage and acceptance), suffrage  
Universal (outrage and acceptance), contraception (outrage and acceptance),  
Abortion (uproar and acceptance), euthanasia (tolerance and acceptance),  
Homosexual marriage (outrage and acceptance) or the decriminalization of  
Cannabis (tollé then acceptance) ...

The next bioethics controversy will concern the very legitimacy of  
To give life or to get caught up in its own ridiculousness: the problematic  
Cloning seems insignificant when compared to the foundations  
Axiological processes of procreation.

To summarize this chapter: Whereas it is intolerable that the last of the  
Asses, the supreme of the brutes and the most angular of the sadists have the right  
To generate at will, we grasp the legislator so that he concocted  
As a matter of urgency, a decree prohibiting access to parental  
Not having reported the most perfect aptitudes for such a function! He's going there  
Not only the well-being of children but, in turn, of humanity  
whole.

How parents raise their child

Influences its future enormously.  
- Bruno Bettelheim, To Be Acceptable Parents.  
United States, 20th century.

You will certainly be happy, ladies the ogresses and gentlemen the vampires,  
To have your child. But do you really believe that your child  
Will be happy to have you as parents? This should be the only stone  
Of touch of your desire ...

We have just suggested some ways to alleviate the evil  
Parental: they will certainly irritate, but you yourself, inevitable zo  
Do you propose as a pharmacopoeia to the "Mal-Procreer", to the "Cacogenism"  
The cost of which we make every day, up to and including  
The glaring inadequacy of social structures to the real needs of individuals?

Do not look elsewhere  
The source of anthropic chaos:  
No occupation requires more skills  
That the trade of parents and it is for that one  
The least!

Is maltreatment anything that,  
By action or omission,  
Harm the development of a child.  
- Pierre Lassus, Childhood sacrificed. From Maltreatment  
Children and the few efforts to combat it. France, 20th century

**Posture xi**  
**Métatocie**

Perpetuation by generation is common to beasts;  
But memory, merit, and noble works are peculiar to men:  
And surely a man will find that the noblest works  
And foundations have proceeded from men without children.  
- Lord Francis Bacon, Essays.  
England, 17th century

Agathogenism (literally: childbirth according to  
Goodness, goodness), as a doctrine advocating a procreation resting  
On ethics and wisdom (rather than on caprice, ignorance, imperialism,  
Hormonalism and reproductive immaturity) remains a  
Transition: if the learning of "well-procreating" is the aim  
Practice of our discourse, its least utopian dimension, we can not  
To forget that from a strictly theoretical and philosophical point of view, nothing  
Replaces the absolute agenism, the radical non-procreative, this will  
Redemption which arises as the fruit of a reinvestment of the psyche by  
The spiritual powers to the detriment of the instinctual forces, and  
On the transmutation of the desire for reproduction into a desire for salvation,  
Infallible consequence is none other than the extinction of all human pain,  
According to the emblematic aim of Buddhist thought.

Thus, in line with this soteriological aspiration, the term  
Of agathogenism lends itself to two possible readings: one can certainly  
To understand as the doctrine of the "Good-Engender" but also, and above all,  
As an incentive to the "Generation of Good" instead of a creature  
Blood and algae.

This is the theme of spiritual procreation dear to so many doctrines  
Of which Plato, in his Banquet, already praised the  
Mouth of a woman, prophetess moreover, "the very wise Diotimus," who,  
After having evoked "what is appropriate for the soul to give birth: wisdom and others  
Virtues ", did not shrink from this assertion:

"There is no one who likes to see such children  
according to the flesh, when he considers Homer, Hesiod, and  
Other great poets, whom he envies to have left behind them offspring  
Immortals who assure them a glory and a memory too  
immortal. "

Before finding, sarcastically enough:

"For having produced many brilliant and  
Virtues of all kinds: many temples were consecrated to them  
Of these spiritual children; Nobody got it for children  
From a woman. "

It is indeed this process of procreation according to the Spirit that subsumes the term  
Of Metatology: to the letter, "To give birth beyond" (implying: the impulse  
Primary reproductive health).

The paradigm of this begetting of essence, it may be said, is metaphysical  
We are delivered in the famous myth of Zeus giving "birth"  
To Athena, the latter springing miraculously, adult and already all battleship  
Wisdom, the head of the king of the gods, as to initiate us to the idea that the  
True fertility is that of the soul and not that of the crotch ...

As a proof, Athena will remain obstinately virgin and will have no other  
Descent that the Arts themselves of which it will be constituted as protector  
Archetypal, sharing this function with the Muses, they too  
Traditionally chaste and nulliparous .... Born virginally, Athena, pure  
Incarnation of the Intellect Redeemer, will retain his virginity for the  
Logos: this is not without anticipating certain Christian mythème ...

It is not indifferent to note that the Acropolis (metaphor  
Of Olympus and therefore of the supreme divine presence), this "heavenly city"  
Who watched over all the destinies of the Greek capital, consisted  
The majority of temples devoted to Virginity in the respective species  
Athena and Artemis.

In other words, being born, living and dying under the aegis of the Parthenon (the  
Virginal) where transcendent wisdom stood up, the spiritual ideal for  
An Athenian of the classical era was none other than the Metatocy itself!  
If, at least, the tutelary deity of Athens designated at each  
Citizen an exemplum to imitate, which we can not doubt since  
Identification with the electoral divinity is one of the major motions of the  
Religious sentiment ...

From the outset, Athena's favorite, philosophy was placed under the auspices of the  
Psychically fertile virginity, thus affirming the superiority of sublimation  
Instincts on their conventional and mammiferous acceptance. Word  
Of order which will not remain simply theoretical since many princes  
"Lovers of wisdom" will make it imperative not to fashion any posterity  
Of malodorous meat.

Without courting exhaustiveness, here is a transhistoric sample of  
These heroes of the nulliparity of which can boast the most insecure  
Of the noetic disciplines: Thales, Heraclitus, Democritus, Plato, Diogenes,  
Pyrrhon, Epicurus, Epictetus, Plotinus, Avicenna, Thomas Aquinas, Eckhart,  
Duns Scot, Erasme, Giordano Bruno, Francis Bacon, Pascal, Spinoza, Locke,  
Malebranche, Leibniz, Voltaire, Hume, Kant, Bentham, Count, J. S. Mill,  
Kierkegaard, Spencer, Nietzsche, Teilhard de Chardin, Wittgenstein, Adorno,  
Sartre, Hannah Arendt, Simone de Beauvoir, Simone Weil, Foucault and, well  
Cioran ... None of these indestructible children will have children other than  
spiritual. We can guess, if we had to rely on the philosophers to populate  
The planet, it would soon be rid of the odious predator-oppressor

Human.

As for the thinkers of geniuses who have become generators of mourners,  
Unloading, let us admit that it was not easy, in the Contraceptive trampling in the random, not  
to have Babies...

Thus we should consider Schopenhauer as nulliparous since  
The natural child which is known to him, and which resulted from an unfortunate coitus  
With his maidservant, was in no way desired or recognized by the Emperor  
Of the Unborn. One can not doubt that this kind of macabre accident  
Compelled more than one philosopher to comb his hair in spite of himself with the infamous  
bonnet  
Of reproducer ...

Who will tell us how many great men had the misfortune to  
Become fathers without having only touched upon volition?

Besides, as mitigating circumstances, these  
More fecal, which in ancient times obliged every Greek or Roman citizen to  
If not a new soldier, at least a new corps in the service of  
The collectivity, raising in passing the indignation of a Tertullian:

This burden of children and the laws  
To have, because no sage would ever have spontaneously desired  
children.

- Tertullian, Exhortation to Chastity. Rome, IIIe century.

We can not say better ...

Trained by single or nulliparous thinkers, centered on primacy  
Of Reason, traversed by an infinite mistrust of the phenomena  
Instinctual or organic, haunted by the suspicion that the body is the executioner  
Of the spirit, thirsting for transcendence and lovingly turned towards the sky  
Intelligible realities, in the endless quest for a peaceful solution  
To the problem of Evil, the whole history of philosophy is indeed  
Decoding as a Himalayan exhortation to the sliding of the Child  
Charnel (Sarcopède) towards the Spiritual Child (Psychopédie): each work  
Philosophical, consciously or not, devalues the works of the generation  
Placing both the intellectual performance and the search for truthfulness

In the forefront of the human condition and development  
Authenticity of the individual.

Lover of logical ties, father of theories and conceptions of the world,  
As a creator of words seeking remedy for evils, the philosopher tends to  
Disinterestedness of the marmots and the mediocrity of the soft flesh, considering that  
Which even the most fetid of jackals or cockroaches shows itself capable:  
"Do little", a rather bad framework, in the end, with the idea that  
The entelechy proper to mankind: to do great things!

One of his friends exhorted her to have children. "Be not afraid,  
He said, as progeny, I leave behind victories  
Olympic. "  
- Diogenes the Cynic. Greece, IVth Century. J.-C

Less an allusive, the disciple of Antisthenes could have proclaimed: triumphs  
philosophical ...

A few hundred years later, an athlete of Stoicism will  
Theme:

By God! Those who introduce two or three children in their place  
Unpleasant snoring do they render more services to men  
Than those who [philosophize]?  
Did the Thebans benefit more from so many people who  
Left a posterity than Epaminondas who had no children? And  
Has not Homer contributed more to the social good than Priam with the  
Fifty plagues he has engendered?  
The composition of a work will prohibit a man from marrying  
And to have children, without it being believed for all that that he renounced  
For nothing to paternity.  
- Epictetus, Interviews. Greece, IIe century.

Let the mystics, the sages, the religious masters, the great  
Artists or turbulent ones, although rotten, rejects of Athena that are  
The philosophers, the obsession is revealed everywhere and always identical: transmute



Our desire for earthly children in search of a higher reign of the Spirit. We  
Recognizes without difficulty, in this metatagic tension, the very program of  
Alchemy (no gratuity in the election of this ancient spelling: it aims  
To restore its primary meaning to this "science of all sciences" by too much  
To metamorphose the lead of the chthonian drive into gold  
Apollinian realization.

It therefore seems that a way opens, already well marked, to the future amateurs  
Parenting (that, in any case, the need to stem the  
Overpollupopulation will suffice to frustrate their envy more than ever illegitimate):  
Transform, like the most brilliant souls of humanity, the immanent and  
Futile "will" that their genes have to perpetuate themselves into a real will  
Conscious and personal to leave behind "Olympic victories"  
Rather than this vulgar pittance for maggots that will always remain a  
Sarcopède.

These "Olympic victories", these virtuous psychopedists, do not necessarily  
For names books, works of art or scientific works; each

Among us, even the least gifted for the labors of the intellect or  
Aesthetics, can give birth to the soul rather than to sex.

As soon as the desire of an animal posterity was repressed,  
Philanthropic, ethical, environmentalist, all fight against the  
Squabble of injustice and oppression, any will to remedy the  
Deficiencies of the real, belong to the Metatocy.

As soon as the envy of a trivial posterity was decapitated, every work of the heart in  
Favor of creatures subjected by their very existence to the suffering  
Belongs to the Metatocy.

As soon as the envy of a bestial posterity was calcined, every obstinate step towards  
Wisdom, excellence, self-improvement is a matter of metatology.

As soon as the desire for an intestinal posterity is crushed, all energy invested in  
Revolt and in the reaffirmation of humanist or creative values  
Of Metatology.

In short, as soon as the desire for genital posterity was exterminated, every work, every  
Struggle, all action, whether based on love or intelligence,  
To a "well-being-in-the-world" that is beneficial to all  
Of Metatology.

The metatocious faculty, this "know-to-be-beyond-bestial", is the  
Sine qua non of altruism: we do not become capable of attention  
To the Other than by transcending the hereditary impulses which, in the state of nature,  
We only wish to the satisfaction of our own appetites.

This effort of transmutation animated all the thought of Schopenhauer:  
Work of Art or Compassion rather than a work of breeding, we  
He taught; Mobilize the gigantic instinctual energies (of which  
In every creature prisoner of the will to live is reduced to guaranteeing  
The unnecessary survival of the species ... at the well-known price of the perpetuation of  
Punishment of all its representatives) and convert them into psychic energies  
Which can be used to improve the lot of individuals who are already in distress.  
Not only the forces, but also the colossal time we would spend  
To our vain descent, let us devote them to the already alive!

Such is the true birth of childhood: that which is  
The Spirit (as a conjunction of feeling and thought) to the detriment  
Obedience to the grotesque, and oh so defective, DNA molecule  
Which constitutes us, for our great dam ...

There is therefore no doubt that if humanity  
Of a salvation, a tearing away from its circular destined for torments, it does not  
Will only reach it by a metatagic-type approach,  
Of spiritual creation rather than carnal procreation.

This is the reason why Athena, a true prefigurator of Christ,  
Had for epithet Σωτήρις: the Savior! How better than by such a  
The message that Salvation proceeds directly from the  
Virginity, understood in ultimate analysis less as renunciation  
To the sensuality, to the firmamentelles erotic jublations, that as  
That formal refusal to beget!

The neo-Platonist Proclus will not be mistaken who stung all along  
Hymns and Prayers to invoke sometimes the Muses, sometimes the chaste goddess of

Sapience (in the attributions of which also entered, very significantly, Justice, Health, Victory and Peace) so that they remove it from the Procreation (Γενεσιουργία) and protect it from the perils of Birth (γενεθλη)! Thus Proclus Will push his desire for freedom from the terrestrial world until he celebrates the "Hatchet" of Athena which "by cutting at the root the bestial heads of Hecate slept the Generation of suffering ", thus translating, one can no longer martially, the victory Final of the beneficent Nullipare on the Triple Archaic Mother Goddess ...

If there was any further proof that the Soteriological Virginité Was much more at the heart of Greek spirituality than dared Confess to thinkers especially concerned to project in paganism Their fertility fantasies crumpled by the severe exigencies of Christianity, Let us consider for a moment the figure of Hestia: so few myths This Olympian is perhaps because it embodies the quintessence, In its mysterious indecency and above all in its neanting propensities, The religious effort.

Eternal virgin by her own will, Hestia was enthroned in the center as well Of the human foci and of the residence of the Olympians, and this in the form, So magnificently hostile to all corporality, of the Fire, symbolization which Consecrated a presence in each temple, dedicated at one time to God, sometimes to another, but always inhabited by this axial exhortation to the Virginité-Nulliparity: Hestia.

Sacred flame was declared this goddess, that is, spiritual fervor, that is, le transmutation of its genetic rust in clear devotion to Spheres: in short, a pure prototype of the Platonic Diotima, which Was worthy of God, since it encouraged us, we Seen, to leave the babies soon outdated for the benefit of works unalterable ...

It is known that the Romans will identify this remarkable divine Vesta whose sacredness was not less, which was worth the punishment of death By inhumation (or how to return to earth what sins by the earth) to Priestesses trying to evade their paradigmatic chastity-sterility. How should we interpret this rigid centrality of the goddesses Virgins and nullipares in the system of ancient religious thought? As An apology for hysterical and unbridled maternity? Or as a Index of the unavowable equation Spirituality = Nulliparity? At each of judge. But without doubt Pan was dead before the speech of Christ...

The ethnopsychanalyst Georges Devereux, in his essay entitled *Femme et Myth*, confessed to experiencing certain difficulties in understanding the profound reason Of the universal veneration of Virginity: he was astonished and offended at the Once "the tiny membrane of the hymen has been culturally over-invested And over-elaborated to the point of becoming the nucleus of a collective delirium pure and simple " And could not help but consider the "obsession with virginity" as a "Derailment".

The motive of such a Parthenolatry seems to us translucent and Superior rationality: that it asserts itself in the person of Maat in the Egyptians, in that of Athena or in that of Christ, if Virginia Mystical and salvific virtues, it is because it reveals itself in its Essence, radical disdain of all fertility other than spiritual!

Virginity is Extinction; And Extinction is Salvation ... How Would it not be possible for the collective unconscious to make Virginia Supreme value?

(It goes without saying that, in mythical or philosophical language, "Virgin" does not mean "without sex" but "without Children ". Artemis wants to be "virgin", which does not prevent him from indulging Erotic with the nymphs that accompany it ... The only Soteriologically unsustainable defloration is the one that has The ultimate deprecation of the fetus that is born to the maltreat- Violated his nervousness. Thus any sexual enjoyment between consenting adults Is she spiritually pure, except that of begetting! )

In a very eloquent way, a structural division of the different Mythologies secreted by humanity would easily Universal valuation of the *Light-Intelligence-Wisdom-Chastity* sequence A devaluation of the antithetical sequence *Darkness-Animality-Malévolence-Fecundity*. But this manifesto is not the place of such a demonstration; Will be the subject of one of our later works.

We will not fail to mention various figures of the Virginity-Nulliparity "enigmatically" associated with mental functions Superiors: Maât, Seshat, the pythies, the sibyls, Artemis, Minerva, Diana, Egeria, Cassandra, Daphne (virginity made laurel ...), Britomartis, Astrée,

Diké (Justice wants to be a virgin ...), Helia, Constantina, the Valkyries, the Gallisenae Celtic, the Daëna Virtue of the Zoroastrians, the Houris of paradise Muslims, the virgins of the Sun in the Incas, the Babylonian Nadius (these Immaculate ones devoted to Shamash, also deity of the Sapiential Light), Elijah, Elisha, Jeremiah, Judith, Maryam, Aisha, Nizham, as well as the copy Kuan-yin who will remind us that until the Far East Goodness Transcendent willingly incarnates in a creature refusing fiercely To marry and to dive into the disasters of the world of innocent babies ...

For the time being, let us remember that Metatocie  
Recapitulates itself into a fundamental equation:

Desire for Children  $\neq$  Creativity

Or to state it in a more severe form:

Become geniuses  
Rather than spawners!

Imperative that the Ancients translated  
By the saying which Nietzsche made famous:

Aut Liberi aut Libri:  
Or Children or Books.

Thus it was that Orpheus, the paragon of the poet and the mystic, had no Child but a luxuriance of talents. Let's bet he made the right choice Between docility in the simian and tropism towards the superman ...

As long as Nietzsche, always so readily wielded by the Theorists of the "all-in-drive" even though he considered that "one Philosopher married has its place in the comedy "(as for the thinker pouponnant ...), Leave it to him to close this chapter by listening to him expressing his Own intuition of agathogenism:

"You are young and wish child and marriage.  
But I ask you: are you someone  
Who wants to have a child?  
Are you the victor, the ruler of himself,  
The master of the senses, the lord of your virtues?  
So I ask you.  
Or is that which speaks in your desire the beast or the need?  
Or loneliness? Or self dissatisfaction? "  
- Friedrich Nietzsche, Thus spoke Zarathustra.  
Germany, 19th century

.  
It goes without saying that worthy heir of Orpheus, Diotima and Athena, and  
In spite of the savage Dionysism with which it was desired to deprive him, this master of  
suspicion Metatociac conversion, since, having been  
Refused to engender the slightest sarcopède, humanity owes it all the same  
A thriving line of deliciously bibliomorphic children ...

**Posture x**  
**Salvation by Feminism**

The important thing for women,  
Is to participate as much as possible  
To all useful causes.  
- Marguerite Yourcenar,  
Open eyes. France, 20th century

If we start with the thousand-fold theorem that a woman does not desire  
To fill a gap, (in Greek, the radical υστερ- designates  
Times the matrix and indigence ...), only courting motherhood to compensate  
Frustrations of all origins and fill the emptiness that in it digs the harsh  
Monotony of life, it seems clear that the more opportunities will be  
Women to flourish in all areas of life,  
The women will resort to the subterfuge of childbirth to dress  
His understandable dissatisfactions.

What is certain is that feminism will play a crucial role in the struggle  
Against fertility. All demographers recognize that women are  
Never so fertile as in the most phallogocentric societies (Africa, Islam,  
Judaism ...) where women are often reduced to their reproductive function  
And deprived of any other destiny than that of a surrogate mother, docile nurse  
Of the offspring of the male, of which we have seen that he willingly used his  
(Testis) (small witnesses) of his derisive virility.

Feminists have shrewdly suspected that the child was  
Excellence the tool of oppression of woman by the masculine tyrannical:  
Countless men want to become fathers only in order to  
Masters of the woman they will fertilize, thus ensuring the enjoyment of a  
Sexual object become dependent on their wages and protection. Since  
The dawn of time, it is always because of the child that the woman becomes the  
Possession of man!

The myth of the maternal woman was made by the  
Because every man, in his disarray before the inexhaustible tasks  
That it imposes its being-in-the-world, wants to live forever in the arms of a  
A genitor who is succorable, inclined, if possible, to ancillary devotion;  
On the other hand because every male knows that there is no  
A woman than to give her a large number of offspring, the  
Thus depriving any existential or economic autonomy.

From this point of view, the real stake of the emancipation of the feminine seems  
Less its relation to man than its own relation to the child fantasized.  
The wife is also rid of the child; Abandoned to the  
Procreation, she essentially breastfeeds her servitude ...

Thus it is no more serious error, from a feminist perspective, than  
Continue to claim that women do not fully realize their femininity  
Than in maternity, which in reality only reproduces the discourse  
Machist and serve the best interests of the male oppressor.

Simone de Beauvoir understood that in *The Second Sex*, after  
Scrupulously lacerated the chimera of maternal goodness and underlined  
How few women have the talent to raise or love their  
Children, advanced these considerations:

There is no maternal "instinct": the word does not apply in any  
To the human species. [...] It is not even true that the child is  
For the woman a privileged achievement. [...] Whether the child is  
The supreme end of the woman, this is a statement that has just  
The value of an advertising slogan.

Thus this exemplary feminist, a true Athena of the future (libertine intellectuel-nullipare),  
"She congratulated herself every day for not having  
Had children ".

We can only congratulate him in concert because not only the  
Family is indeed the root of any social structure benefiting the male  
To the detriment of women, but also because it is precisely because of  
Identification with the archetype of fertility that the woman undergoes  
Outrages that we know. Paradox? It deserves development.

At the risk of overriding the theme that governs us, we would be happy  
To bring our stone to the building of the City of the Ladies imagined, and strong  
Judiciously placed under the patronage of a virgin, by Christine de Pisan.  
The latter was already terrified at the endemicity of the execution of the woman  
In most human literary or religious productions. that  
To think in effect of the acerbity of the following sentences, multiplied to infinity,  
In all latitudes?



It is from [Pandora] that the race, the accursed  
Women, a terrible scourge set in the midst of mortal men.  
Zeus, for the great misfortune of mortal men, created women  
Whose nature is prone to evil.

Hesiod, Theogony. Greece, VII Century. AD

Misfortune is not sent from heaven,  
It comes from women.

Che-King (Book of Odes). China, VIth Century. AD

We must beware of women. For one who is wise, it is  
A thousand who are mad or wicked. The woman is fierce and cunning as the  
brigand. She rarely tells the truth.

Buddha, Tripitaka. India, VIth Century. AD

Nature has made us women,  
Without any aptitude for the good,  
But for evil, very learned workers.

Euripides, Medea. Greece, Fifth Century. AD

I find the woman more bitter than death,  
Because it is a trap.

The Ecclesiastes (Qohelet). Israel, Third Century. AD

It is through woman that sin began  
And it is because of her that we all die.

The Ecclesiastic (Ben Sira). Israel, 2nd Century. J.-C

Longing, anger, perversity, evil nature, and  
Misconduct, this is what the Creator gave in sharing  
Women's.  
Laws of Manu. India, circa I century BC. AD

"Mithra hates women! "  
Formula which in antiquity summarized the prohibition  
Made women participate in the Mithraic Mysteries.

There is honey in the language of women;  
In their hearts there is nothing but poison.  
Pancatantra. India, circa 11e century.

You give birth in pain and anguish, woman, [...] And you  
Ignores that Eve, is it you? [...] You're the devil's door.

Tertullian, The Toilet of Women. Rome, 11e century.

The woman compels us to commit even in spite of ourselves a thousand  
sins.

John Chrysostom, Virginité. Greece, 14th century.

As soon as Eve was born, Satan came into the world with her.

Midrash Rabbi on Genesis. Israel, Fifth century.

Hell is mostly populated by women.

Hadith (word attributed to Mohammed). Arabia, 7th century.

The whole woman is an evil.

This is attributed to Imam Ali. Arabia, 7th century.

We must guard each woman as a poisonous snake  
Or the Horned Devil.

Albert the Great, On the Animals. Germany, Thirteenth century.

"The faults I have committed have caused me to be born in a body  
female. "

Shōsan, Ninin-Bikuni. Japan, Seventeenth century

This sickening potpourri is at least sufficient to convince oneself that abhorrence  
Of the feminine impregnates the five great contemporary religions of the humanity:  
From Judaism to Buddhism through Islam, Christianity and  
Hinduism.

Whoever would have the curiosity to measure the stupefying extent of the grievances  
Against the "daughters of Eve" will plunge profitably into  
Books such as Cette Mâle Assurance by Benoîte Groult or the Dictionnaire

Misogyne by Agnès Michaux, illuminating inventories of malaise and hostility  
Experienced by the human psyche in front of a creature endowed with a uterus.

Let us think again of the countless negative female figures, the most  
Often correlated with maternity, emerging in the thundering majority  
Of mythological discourses: Lilith, Eve, Jezebel, Pandora, Echidna, Circe,  
Lamia, Striges, Harpies, Medusa, Coatlicue, Tlazolteotl, Ixchel, Toci,  
Cihuacoatl, Pulowi, Tuonetar, Louhi, Loviatar, Syöjätär, Rati, Sedna, Kharina,  
Nambi, Baba Yaga, Lamashtu, Tiamat, Ereshkigal, Ishtar, Kunapipi, Hin-  
Kahausibware, Mâyâ, Kâî, Sekhmet, Mebd, Morrigan, Mélusine, Hel,  
Si-wang-mou, Izanami, Sophia-Prounikos, and finally the abominable Druj, for  
We will stick to this tiny diachronic and intercontinental sample ...

Finally, let us consider the customary association, in most cultures,  
Between the Mother Goddess and the noxious or despicable animals: snakes, dragons,  
Scorpions, spiders, crocodiles, octopuses, hippos, cows, sows, bears,  
Wolves, lions, wild beasts, fierce beasts or hairy predators, claws, viscous and  
Poisonous of all obedience?

There is no dispute, it seems that femininity-maternity inspires very little  
Sympathy to the symbolic function of the collective unconscious.  
Here the heart muscle of the problem is unraveled: why  
Religions try to convince us that the misfortune has entered the  
The world because of a woman's fault, otherwise because it is  
Woman we make our entrance into the misfortunes of the world?

It is useless to deplore the "misogynic constant" in the writings  
Human beings: it imposes itself as an undeniable fact, as an invariant  
Anthropological, if it is desired to defuse it definitively,  
To elucidate the focal significance without merely ascribing it to stupidity or  
To the wickedness of the male, as little objectivable as the so-called vices  
Women ".

If we ask why femininity did at all times  
The object of such a virulent universal disregard, we find  
Decidedly other answer than this: all born of a woman's body  
And detesting all - subliminally to the very least - having seen the light of day, we  
Can only abominate those who carry within their entrails the matrix of  
All our sorrows!

By a phenomenon of amalgam well known to psychoanalysts  
(Condensation-displacement), misogyny, of which so many women have  
Themselves made guilty, is in fact only a roundabout form and  
Pervert of anti-natalism, or more precisely: all Misogyny is  
Last instance that Metaphobia camouflaged! Metaphobia, that is to say:  
Hatred of the Mother ... We denounce all women for not daring to hate  
Consciously our own parent, for not even daring to confess to us  
Our inner heart that we would have preferred not to have undergone the trauma  
Of birth, according to the decisive expression of Otto Rank! This is the  
The ultimate key to any misogyny: we relate without hesitation our rancor  
To have been born on all those whose uterine organ is capable of  
To have us born ...

It is important to understand that it is only by dissociating  
Radically maternity and femininity that we can hope to finish one  
Day with the scourge of phallocracy, and that it is above all in this energetic  
Work of disconfusion, of semiotic disintrication, that resides the main stake  
Of feminism to come: as long as women make motherhood affirmation  
Of its identity or will claim it as the very essence of its destiny,  
It can only expose itself to the disdain of wounded creatures for having had to live,  
If not to despise itself unconsciously. At the risk of generating some  
Grimaces on the faces of maternalists, we felt it was important to  
This analysis to meditation: even if it were to be rejected, it would  
Succeed in explaining the reasons for misogyny and why its character  
Universal...

To put an end to gynophobia as a  
That repressed expression of our ontophobia, so that the ages dissipate  
Darkness of the procreative femininity and which finally produces the eon of the woman  
Creativity, it is now a question of over-valuing, even of heroism, the  
Children, to give him a nimbus, honors and benefits equal to those  
Which the mother of a large family enjoyed under the dictatorship of the males.

With a view to supporting this strategy, it is necessary not only to  
Absolute freedom of contraception and abortion,  
Contraception and infertility through financial incentives: one could go  
Up to imagine a nest egg whose value would increase over the years  
Passed in the firm refusal to procreate!

In reverse of these political strangers who in this year 2003 come  
To grant to French breeders a "birth grant of 800 euros

"With the stated purpose of stimulating fertility conducive to financing  
Future of pensions (refer to posture II for a denunciation in good standing  
Of this excremental reification-monetization of the Child) as well as in  
The inadmissible purpose of keeping the woman at home, far from the world of  
Thought and action that the masculine obviously continues to want to  
Reserve, it must be said: the woman has better things to do in her best years than  
To raise children whose humanity is already too  
Less need. It must be said: the woman has better to make of her formidable  
Potential to suffocate them under a coulis of diapers. It is necessary  
The woman is wrong to dissolve her talents in the futility of the bottles. he  
The "real" woman is the artist or philanthropist woman and not the  
A populating woman. We must magnify the poetesses and denigrate the lactating women.  
It must be said: women must now enjoy the most favorable conditions  
To the creation of the masterpieces whose societal phallism of the past was aimed at  
banish. It must be said: if a man wants children he can manage for the  
In the home, the woman having given enough by incubating them during  
A novena of the moons and giving birth to them in a heart-wrenching  
agony. It must be said: a woman is all the more beautiful because she has genius,  
And all the more insignificant as it has an abundant nest. You have to say :  
The woman is not responsible for the uterus she carries while bleeding and is  
Not obliged to use it to satisfy the spermatic fantasies  
Of his companion nor to satisfy the societal needs in labor power  
Or war. It must be said: the child is a prison for the female soul, and one  
Sufficiency for its virtualities, the constant care it requires  
More often his genitor of vocations more noble, more exalting, and especially  
More meritorious. It must be said: since time immemorial, it is too much  
Often because of the burden of the child that the woman misses  
Of his higher faculties. We must dare to say: the woman who procreates  
Accomplishes nothing but his animality and does not deserve more praise  
A cat that offers her breasts or a monkey attentive to her cubs.  
We must dare to say: the greatness of woman lies in her heart and in her  
Intellect, in no way in its placenta. We must dare to shout: to the devil  
Shrinking, inethics, unsightly, criminal, superfluous  
Amniotic delusions! One must dare to proclaim that the height of machismo is  
To celebrate the "touching" maternal woman, and that the supreme  
Phallocrate is to praise the "tender instinct" of the young girls  
Whose hymen they perforate and suck the nipples; You must dare to break  
And grind the frimousse to these ithyphallic baboons who claim that  
For "holy vocation" to perpetuate the horde, while  
Their tartuffoid penis to embrace it. We must constantly remind ourselves that the Feast

Of the Mothers was instituted by right-wing and right-wing purulences.  
It must be said and reiterated that nothing ever  
Nazism and Fascism! We must dare to lyrically say: symbolic figures  
Like Athena, Maat, Seshat, Kuan-yin and the Muses are the true future  
Of the woman, define its real magnitude: opulent in Soul, superabundant  
In Knowledge, bold in Logos, munificent in Talents, prodigal in Truths,  
Sensuality complicit, generous in civilizing virtues, ethical and  
Redemption, but haughtily barren of the belly, not wishing in any  
Compromise with the monstrous fecundity of Gaia or with the humiliation  
Delivery, suckling or rump removal ... You have to dare  
That which delights us, which exalts us, which forces our respect,  
They are hardly the breeders, it is the women who engage in  
Art, science, literature, politics, religion,  
Culture, academic life, medicine,  
Humanitarian, in the paths of justice, in ecological militancy,  
In spirituality, in philosophical activity or in the maquis of  
The transmutative revolt, in short, in all the areas where the human being finds  
To transcend itself and to deploy its noetic and chivalrous qualities. he  
Mother's Day as Father's Day and replace them with the  
Feast of Creators and Creators, by the Feast of Heroines and Heroes  
Humanists, through the Festival of Women and Men of High Compassion and  
Aristocratic generosity, for there is no doubt that

It is not to the number of his children  
That we judge the human but only  
To the number of his works and his benefits.

In order to achieve such a Copernican revolution in our  
Women, in order to stop implicitly reducing it to its  
And nursing, exposing it to our underground hatred of  
Creatures born and dissatisfied with being born, it is a question of allowing the woman,  
All over the world, to escape from the role in which the phallophorus  
Endeavors to confine it, that is to say, it is a question of allowing it access,  
Unconditionally and without delay, to the treasures of education, to schooling  
Extended for as long as it considers it necessary to ensure  
Destined to be otherwise enriching than that of trainer of toddlers, and,  
Consequently, give him the opportunity to embark on a career  
Rewarding, comforting and psychologically remunerative!

There are three essential elements here:

1. All studies analyzing the fluctuations in the fertility rate  
Population shows that it is never as low as in  
Individuals with extensive intellectual and cultural training:  
For short, the academics show themselves infinitely less populators  
Than the illiterate! As if there was an immediate and objectifiable relationship  
Between intellectual softness and fertility as well as between superior discernment  
And contempt for childbirth (which for our part we have never  
doubt)...

2. Observers also note that the rich, paradoxically,  
Fashioned less juvenile prey than the indigent: "Nothing  
The beggars ", already deplored Diderot ... And for good reason, the child rises, in  
The very essence of the desire that molds it, as a remedy for all the frustrations,  
Including pecuniary claims. The child consoles everything, and above all poverty.  
It is therefore a question of reducing the need for consolation in the maximum number of  
individuals! And thus to distribute wealth in favor of the human category which  
Is most often private: the woman!

3. Finally, other statistics, no less eloquent, reveal that where  
The woman enjoys maximum freedom as well as a certain ease  
The economic freedom won by this unbounded freedom, it chooses  
To have little or no children, preferring in  
Quality with Quantity (first movement towards Agathogenism), and above all  
Opting for other paths of development than that of banal puerivome.

It is within this framework that we begin this chapter by asserting  
That feminism is called upon to play a crucial role in the fight against  
Fertility: this seems obvious if one accepts that the main horses  
Of the feminist current are precisely the right to the best  
Schooling, a motivating work life and free will  
In any existential sphere.

There can therefore be no doubt that urgent  
Feminist struggles throughout the world and to actively support all  
Initiatives by which women intend to reappropriate their destiny;  
Activism all the more necessary because it would be very wrong to believe  
Triumph of feminism: more than three quarters of

Planet, woman remains the sexual, reproductive and domestic object of the male;  
In most developing countries, the girl child, through a  
Deliberate social aberration, is systematically less educated than  
The boy and has, so to speak, no other future than that imposed on him,  
Know, singer, over and over again, the heartbreaking maternal pattern ...

In the West itself, not only is wage equality between men and women  
Women is still far from being acquired, but the gossips are still  
Who engage on paths which the masculine wishes to reserve for themselves; by  
Moreover, the erotic license of emancipated women continues to be  
Of jealous reproaches, while congratulations persist to rain  
Much more on the docile mother of the family than on the nulliferous amazon! We  
If the battle seems to have been won on the legal and axiological level,  
Is hardly the same in terms of mentality.

As a recap: knowing that a frustrated woman is a woman  
Who will seek in the child a cure for his frustrations, knowing that  
Woman who has intellectual tools and finds to flourish psychically  
Outside the home is a woman who gives birth little or not at all, knowing  
That a woman who can freely choose the number of pregnancies opts for  
More often for a very small number of children to whom it is possible  
To ensure quality training and existence, anti-natalism does not  
Feminists when they struggle with the  
Energetically against any form of domination of the masculine over the feminine  
And militate for the inalienable right to contraception, abortion,  
Saphism, celibacy, libertinism, erotic completeness, free choice  
Of a career, as well as the refusal to give birth to a new being if they  
To a higher destiny than that of an incubator  
To bring out a chick that is quite unsightly!

In the same way, the most feminist feminists will be complemented by repeated ovations  
Progressives who do not deprive themselves of proclaiming that a woman is never  
As a woman as she is to achieve fullness without recourse to  
Trivial faux-fleeing of motherhood!

Feminist heroines had understood this very often  
Proof of the most etiolated fertility; Thus Virginia Woolf drew up  
Already this observation:

"It is significant that, of the four great novelists, - Jane



Austen, Emily Brontë, Charlotte Brontë, George Eliot - none  
Had children, and two remained unmarried. "

Is it an insipid, a tenebrous mother of a belly of infants who  
Veneration or a woman of heliacal  
Superlative human magnitude? Let us rather believe that our fervor is  
On immortal gleams such as Hildegard de Bingen, Joan of Arc,  
Vittoria Colonna, Therese d'Avila, Louise Labé, Gaspara Stampa, Aphra  
Behn, Ann Radcliffe, Jane Austen, Caroline von Günderode, Annette von  
Droste-Hülshoff, Emily Brontë, Florence Nightingale, Louise Michel, Emily  
Dickinson, Christina Rossetti, Selma Lagerlöf, Lou Andreas-Salomé, Edith  
Wharton, Camille Claudel, Gertrude Stein, Renée Vivien, Virginia Woolf,  
Nelly Sachs, Ivy Compton-Burnett, Dora Carrington, Dorothy Parker,  
Anaïs Nin, Marguerite Yourcenar, Hannah Arendt, Frida Kahlo, Simone  
Beauvoir, Simone Weil, Ety Hillesum, Patricia Highsmith, Diane Fossey,  
Kate Millett, Valérie Valère and the dazzling Sarah Kane: all  
Nulliparous but all so marvelously fertile in art, clairvoyance,  
Insurrection, verb, benevolence or cerebral!

There is no doubt that a birth was never a source of glory;  
The obstetric "exploit" remains within the range of the most flabby mole. We guess  
That the woman to come, if offered the full choice, will have more  
A taste for spiritual conquests as well as placental easements ...

Pretending that women perform their femininity only in maternity  
Sounds as stupid as to maintain that man does not apotheosis his manhood  
In intravaginal ejaculation. In these processes, both  
Accomplish nothing but their bestiality and prove but one thing:  
Their lamentable submission to the laws of instinct. The woman does not  
Femininity in childbirth, nor does a man express his masculinity in  
Continuous flow, as in a species of perpetual gravity,  
sperm. In the end, nothing is less feminine, since nothing is more  
Female, than to reduce to the rank of mare by making use of her uterus; of  
That nothing is less masculine, since nothing is more male than  
To the rank of grotesque stallion by using his penis to make  
Of malodorous foals. Only metabiological, spiritual and  
Intellectual, make us humanly remarkable, and those alone  
Confer upon the woman the aura of estimability which she rightly claims.

Let us therefore cease to enclose the most peaceful half of humanity

In the straitjacket of the maternal cliché of which she no longer wants to!

As an illustration of this thesis, we refer the reader to Appendix II, containing some oracles of genuine women Prophetic in their disdain for maternity.

We shall only retain here this scintillating apology for abortion:

In our overcrowded societies, and where, for the majority of Humanity, misery and ignorance prevail, I believe To stop a life in its beginnings than to allow it to develop in Conditions.

- Marguerite Yourcenar, *The Open Eyes*.  
France, 20th century

To take into account such voices that would dare to doubt that depopulation Will pass first and foremost through feminism? The more a woman gains autonomy, The more his dissatisfactions regress; More regressed its dissatisfactions, more Its matrix compensation needs. Salvation lies in The free flowering of the feminine in all the gratifying fields of The existence ... or does not reside anywhere.

Free, defrauded, accomplished, sailing to the superior strata of the human, The woman will no longer see any interest in plunging a new being into the brambles Of becoming.

- But life is not so painful as that," a young girl suddenly protested.  
Sickness of child.

- But then the life of a housewife, under the tutelage of a male, among the Entertaining domestic chores, is also not so painful as that, he replies  
The factitious philalèthe ...

This mischievousness sparkles richer implications than it seems. Indeed, Childhood will be part of the logical continuation of Feminism: the point Ethical support (ie, the full rejection of harm to others)  
Allowed women to begin to free themselves from the despotic desires of the Male is identical to the one that will free the non-existent from the chore to come to World to satisfy the dictatorial whims of his parents!

The Convention on the Rights of the Child is emerging as a gigantic

Not in that direction and few years will pass before the spirits  
The most enlightened admit that the primary right of every child is to  
To be born, knowing that his birth has no other function than  
To satisfy needs that do not concern him!

In short: either we make sure that the liberation of the Child is born  
In the wake of that of the Woman, or we must resign ourselves to this  
That only the arbitrary morality of the strongest (the male, the parents, the moloch  
Social) structures human relations ...

To Feminism will succeed Enfantisme:  
The fall of a bastille  
Calls for the abolition of other bastilles.

**Posture xi**  
**In Praise of Adoption**

And there are people, many people who, in a society incapable of  
To give to all her children the bread and the happiness which she owes them,  
Increase the number of unfortunate  
By increasing the number of human beings.

- Octave Mirbeau, "Depopulation"  
In Le Journal, December 23, 1900. France, Twentieth century.

No better than the famous Thales of Miletus, one of the Seven Sages, often  
Considered to be the very first father of Western philosophy,  
Can introduce us to this chapter; Let's listen to what Diogenes reveals  
Laërce:

He remained a bachelor, but adopted his sister's son. When we  
Asked him why he did not have children, he replied:  
"Precisely for the love of children."

Yes: do not give birth for love of children!

From the dawn of Wisdom, the tone, the bellow rather, is thus given: the  
Cruelty teaches to make creatures; The kindness to adopt! More than one  
Slap: a regular edging.

Do not give birth for love ...

Epictetus, in good boxer, will assimilate this lesson, he who will not take wife  
Towards the end of her life so that she could help him to educate the orphan he had just  
collect. It is understood that the integral philosopher does not generate: he saves.

Once again, Athena offers itself in a paradigm of this attitude  
Soteriological: let us revisit the founding myth.

Hephaestus, in pure symbol of the primary male, impatient of descent,  
Had wanted to violate the goddess of the Sapience, forgetting without doubt how much  
Was already very aware of the techniques of self-defense that would not disavow  
Not a modern feminist, and had failed. The liquor, however, of the butor  
(Obviously suffering from premature ejaculation, such as  
Of fools who promulgate fathers to forget that they are bad lovers)  
Reached the thigh of the protector of the philosophers, whose disgust propelled,  
With a backhand, sperm on the Earth. The hideous Gaia asked for it  
Not so much to give a new proof of its neurotic fertility:  
This attempt of rape was born the unfortunate Erichthonios, son of a residue of  
Stupor and irresponsible clay, as evidenced by its significant  
Tail of reptile. We know the continuation, the heroine with the big heart will take in  
The "sans-parents" and will respect him as if he had been his own son if

Although the latter will become king of Athens, whose population will build him  
A temple adjoining, on the Acropolis, that of his adoptive mother: Athena ...

It may not be too early, after several millennia of digestion, to  
Begin to question the meaning of this myth  
Of Erichthonios by Athena ": the nyctalope Wisdom would enjoin us from  
To first provide our care to the children sown by the blind Instinct rather  
Than to yield to the barbarity of making more and more?

Let's confess the answer to a Russian mystagogue:

Instead of contracting marriage in order to procreate childish lives,  
Would be much simpler to support and save these millions of  
Childish lives that perish around us for want of food.  
A Christian could not contract marriage without the consciousness of a  
Fall and a sin only in the event that he would see and know that life  
Of all existing children is insured.  
- Léon Tolstoï, Postface for "La Sonate  
In Kreutzer ". Russia, Twentieth century.

How can one conceal his approval of such statements?

The blow this time, fiercely, on the proboscide of the populators  
Vaticanophiles: according to Tolstoy, it is not at all Christian to procreate; the  
Christianity consists in alleviating the misery of the already-living,  
To waste the best part of his energy to weigh down the land of living  
supererogatory. In fact, Christ tended more to look after the children  
Sick than to throw a handful of more in the mouth of the Prince of  
World...

Unanimously, it seems, the highest spirituality throws us this word  
Irrefutable:

Therefore,  
You will fulfill your "childish desire"  
And relieve distress  
Instead of engendering a new one!

- But this child will not be the flesh of my flesh, protest a vague umbilicus

Ubumorph!

- So that was it!" Pestilential confession: the child is for you only one way  
To satisfy your philotic whims, that a well of blood  
Demonic, your alienating, sado-narcissism. Foin, Yahoos, of your deplorable  
"I": if you have for genuine design, according to your proclamations also  
Grandiloquent, to procure the "happiness of living" at a  
Young creature, loved for herself, and not to remedy (far from us this  
Suspicion) to your mock frustrations, you will be satisfied with the  
Joys of adoption! Prove to us, gentlemen,  
Gentlemen of the pullulators, that your desire exceeds the circumference of  
Your navel and we will probably begin to contemplate you a little  
Less guillotined.

To believe you "like children", but then what are you waiting for  
To fly to the aid of those who already exist, and who suffer from it? The mass  
Of orphans immediately adopted amounts to millions! The plethora  
Of children in distress amounts to tens of millions! The multitude of children  
In suffering amounts to hundreds of millions! The Children's Galaxy  
Dramatically poor surpasses the billion! And you would have the nerve to  
Not opt for adoption?

Whether you choose to procreate rather than adopt us demonstrates  
Better than any syllogism how much you really love only children  
Which squirt from your miserable crotch. The others are indifferent to you  
Flies, and it's like flies that they die with your Adipose indifference. Foul progenitors, you would  
never know enough about you  
Crush the face in the manure of your guilt! It is absolute: you  
Knowingly multiply suffering instead of contributing to the  
Simple gesture that consoles. Structurally, the SS did nothing  
Worst.

You are all the more inexcusable because there is a substitute  
From the most convenient to physical adoption: Sponsorship. Know that it  
"Happiness of life" can be offered to a child without  
Or even assume the presence at your side ... How? All  
Simply by donating to a child of the Third or Fourth World, by the  
Reliable charities, a certain amount of money,  
For example, that you would have cost your own carpet: that is, between  
250 and 500 euros per month ... Or by the regular payment of an obol  
To organizations that promote the child, its rights, its respect,

Of his blossoming, if not of his recovery when he was ripped off, more or less in time, the claws of his parental executioners ...

We do not doubt that this type of "distance adoption" will satisfy  
Your wonderful need to confer the joy of existing to a juvenile soul ...  
Is not this an infallible test to measure the generosity  
The aspirants to parenthood? Nevertheless, when it is known that millions  
Of orphans are only waiting for the love of which so many procreative  
Listen, overflow, how to explain that the orphanages, too,  
Overflowing? Why then, if parenthood proclaims itself less narcissistic  
Altruistic and ultimately aims only at the sacred good of the Child, so little  
Parents opt for adoption? An altruism which does not renounce to inflict  
Existence hardly deserves the name of altruism.

Prove to us, natalists, your philanthropy, prove us, pupils,  
Your faculties of compassion: in adoption crystallizes the extreme point of  
Benevolence and giving. In fact, by procreating rather than adopting, you  
Lose credibility: the misery of others leaves you with ice, only you  
Import your own enjoyment, only you worry about satiating on the child  
Your fantasies, only moves you, maniacs of the Property, the falling toy  
Of your greedy bowels ...

A sudden astonishment amazes us: the existence, for the candidates  
Adoption, of a very formal "Procedure of Accreditation". Yes, the legislator  
Requires that they submit to a series of interviews, with assistants  
Social workers and psychologists, whose objective is to "ensure that those  
Who want to adopt offer family, educational and psychological abilities  
Necessary for the reception of a child already born "(Florence Lafond, L'Adoption.  
France, 1999)!

Notwithstanding the relevance of such a measure, it reveals, in  
Our societies, an obvious misology, a refusal of reasoning confining  
Pathological: the competences and motivations of individuals,  
Obviously magnanimous, who come to the rescue of a child already  
Plunged into hell to exist but the most malicious cretins holds the  
Absolute right to plunge into this hell as many non-existent as he wishes,  
Without providing any justification either for its competences or for its  
Motivations! Absurd, let us roar, absurd to the point of being obscene.

This Procedure of Accreditation also imposes on the applicants a salutary time

Reflection about the nature of their desire, a distance from  
To their founding envy: is reality not likely to disappoint their dreams?  
Will the adopted child be compatible with his representation? What are  
The stakes involved in caring for a child? Will we have the skills  
Required to undertake such a project?

So many refinements, meditations and demystifications that one  
Never imposes on baby makers! Consequence of this incomprehensible  
Laxity: ribambelles of incompetent parents and the series of children  
To be adopted by adoptive parents.  
Tested the abilities! Cretinissime, let us roar, cretinissime to the point of  
Be vomiting.

It goes without saying that our project of agathogenism claims solemnly  
Such an "Accreditation Procedure" be extended to all adoptive parents  
Or biological! If the "license to adopt" does not shock anyone, how  
Is the mere concept of "procreative license" offensive to all  
World?

Eternal misrepresentation of essentialists: to believe that Instinct will do.  
The case of Auschwitz perhaps, that of the children surely not: the "facts  
Various "prove it day after day, brimade after brimade, tear after  
Tear, in any season.

Other elements related to this theme attract our suspicious  
Attention: for example, the minimum age required to enjoy the right to Adoption.  
In France, this temporal criterion is fixed at 28 years for singles or couples  
Married for less than two years. If it is forbidden to adopt  
Reached the reasonable maturity of the 28 years, it stands in the unbelievable  
Procreation be authorized at any age, from 12 or 13 years if such a  
The whim of amateurs of toys! Dazzling observation: according to the legislation,  
Engender requires much less experience, discernment and  
Sagacity to adopt ... Who do they mock? Why do we impose less  
Of constraints to the criminal than to the beautiful soul? Nausea.

Another indication of how little interest the child has  
That he was opposed to that of his biological family: the protocol in force for  
Adoption obliges a child over thirteen (twelve in Belgium) to  
Give personal consent to the taking of care by adoptive parents; in  
All logic it would be advisable to question each publisher about his desire to



The pact that binds him in spite of himself to his diabolical parents by the blood. Are we quite sure that no child, o fugues, wishes to leave  
As soon as possible, the familiar family shackles where misfortune wanted him to be born? We  
Did not consult him before throwing him on the ground, it would be necessary to consult him as  
soon as possible on his will to remain with his wretched "burghers"!

- Are you happy with your parents?

- No.

- Console yourself: we will remove you from their hold and look for a family  
Adoptive qualified!

The possibility of such a simple exchange of words would divide the  
Psychoneuroses and attempted suicide in adolescents ...

The desire for a child, however strong it may be, does not confer a right to the child.

[...] The only right is that of the child to live in the family  
That will best meet its needs.

- Florence Lafond, L'Adoption. France, 20th century

Diamondback. Let us draw the conclusions! Limits and requirements  
Governing Adoption prefigure those that will govern the procreation tomorrow:  
It is felt at work, in the primacy accorded to the best interests of the Child,  
Agathogenism that our societies will not be able to  
Still refuse to take into account.

Be that as it may, nothing is more noble than  
To adopt a child in distress or nothing more vile than to  
An unhappy one more.

In Posture VII on Surpollupopulation we said:  
Before thinking of increasing their numbers,  
First of all to ensure the happiness of all humans!  
Do you approve of me? So why do you give birth?

Do not ask me why I did not marry.  
Abstained from pity for the children I might have had.  
- Arthur Schopenhauer, Interview with Challemel-Lacour.  
Germany, 19th century

Athena adopting Erichthonios:

Paradigm of only acceptable parenting ...

**Posture xii**  
**Caudal Considerations**

**a. Strike of the Procreation.**

Instead of letting us approach, we would shirk.  
They would soon have concluded peace, believe me!  
- Aristophanes, Lysistrata. Greece, Fifth Century. AD

The perpetuation of a global system based on precariousness  
And injustice. Women, will we be in solidarity?  
We have the power not to repeat what we did  
Generations of Women: Rebuilding  
A world half destroyed to see it destroy again,  
Rebuilding children to be killed or kill.  
- Gisèle Halimi (presented and coordinated by), The Joint Program  
Women. France, 20th century

It is scandalized that the alterglobalists, yet so  
Ethics and so eager to act revolutionarily, do not have  
The idea of a Worldwide Procreation Strike: what is the  
Weapon yet! The most powerful political weapon imaginable,  
More stunning than money or violence, since it would be here  
The very essence of politics, the human person, who would evade  
instrumentalization. How can politicians and financiers be bent if not  
By depriving them of their very substance: voters and exploiters?

This is the ultimate rebellion: to refuse to give children to a  
Which will turn them into fuel for its own benefit! Matrix ... You

Will not manufacture new workers, new workers for a  
Wage of misery; You will no longer give the States new citizens whose  
Taxes will be used to finance missiles and oppressions; You, the heroes of  
Refusal, you will begin by refusing your offspring to endure a world  
Which you yourself no longer tolerate. Where injustice extends its hegemony, there is no  
Has no place for a crib!

Stop procreating. Here is the supreme rebellion: one can no longer  
Peaceful, we can no longer be more effective, we can not be more intransigent, we  
Can be more convincing. But will you have the courage of such a rebellion? Or  
Will you accept to offer new prey to triumphant capitalism?  
But our children will help change the world, you will exculpate.  
Hypocrites! Well, no, this filthy world, it must change before  
Bequeath it to your posterity: for millennia the pretext of  
Tomorrows that chirp, there is no longer time to wait, it is no longer decent  
To place the task of your descendants in the hands of your descendants, and  
You alone! Or you succeed in shaping the planetary society to the image  
Of your hopes of Equity, Fraternity and Solidarity, or you  
Refrain from throwing new alive people into a world that you  
Hideous and unbearable title!

Here comes the time to show you consistently with yourself and  
With your ideals: your children will neither be the tools of your revolt nor the  
Multinationals: they will be born in a free, fair and inclusive world.  
Pacified by your own struggle, or else will not be born!

Discontented from all continents, it is urgent to meditate  
The following suggestion: in order to check the tyranny of the  
Production, boycott reproduction! Trade unionists, do not practice  
More work disruption, further push your subversion: practice  
"At the end" the termination of pregnancy! So launch the watchword of a  
General strike of the Procreation until the multinationals and their  
Governments, are complying with the need for redistribution  
Wealth, and respect for the law, not only of workers, but  
All humans. What is the main obsession of the industrialists? Not  
Have an abundant labor force that they can suck, with  
Work, marrow: threaten them no longer to reproduce you, proletarians,  
Oppressed, insurgent, idealist, ecologist and alterglobalist of all  
Countries, and you will soon see the bosses bend to all your demands  
Wage increase, to all your demands for quality

Life, the environment and human dignity! Enough of logorrhea,  
Slogans, posters, verbal protests, media gatherings  
And demonstrations without tomorrow! Instead, use the absolute power  
You control: the rejection of all fertility until the  
Socio-economic structures are metamorphosed to the extent  
Your aspirations! Now practice blackmail against those who  
Enslave you by making your belly sing ...

The Aristophanes Lysistratus had already opened the way  
The Roman women will radicalize, they who refused to generate  
Children with the intention of asserting one of their rights violated. Evidence of  
The incomparable efficacy of such abstinence, the feast of Car-  
Instituted to commemorate the victory over the prevaricators.  
Let us note that the tutelary goddess of this revolt, Carmenta, is  
The prophetic song lets once again glimpse the true liberation:  
As prolific in the Word as parsimony in Uterus, certain traditions  
Will hold this very oracular deity as a nulliver ...

Yes, Anti-Natalism can become an instrument, incredibly  
Modern, political struggle!

Brand the highest threat: pledge, petitions, appeal  
Launched solemnly to all the crushed of the Earth, not to make any more children  
For a year, as a warning, and if at the end of the  
Deliberate sterility has produced no change, if horror and inequity  
Continue to proliferate, extend your  
All the more so until the multinationals  
Juveniles), and their obsequious accomplices, the governments, finally  
The right decisions and gave all humans the conditions for access to  
Happiness, serenity, safety, health, material well-being, in short,  
Enjoyment of existence they deserve.

Do not say any more: "we can do nothing"; You can do everything: just do not  
More begotten new victims, new slaves! In fact, if the  
Misery has been perpetuated to the present day, it is because it has always  
Cowards to perpetuate themselves in a world that hardly deserves  
Perpetuate!

A Worldwide Procreation Strike ... Imagine the Scope  
Symbolic of such a commitment, imagine its power of media impact,

Imagine the crisis, the scandal, the shock, the global debate that it would provoke,  
finally ! Imagine, above all, the reforms which it could not fail to provoke,  
For the benefit of all! Imagine ...

Dare to say: we do not want such a world for our children and we  
Will not give children to such a world! This is the ultimate Subversion.  
What are you waiting for to walk in the sun of such Subversion?

Contrary to appearances, this idea of blackmailing fertility is not  
Certainly not so ridiculous, so unrealistic, since Finnish students  
In April 2002, decided not to do any more.  
Children as long as a nuclear power plant project in their region is not  
abandoned. 850 people signed a letter to the Finnish Parliament  
Stipulating that "one should not have children in a world that is not safe"  
(Sic) and specifying that this moratorium on procreation would continue during the  
Less 4 years. If these admirable students have the courage to prolong,  
In their extreme implications, their judicious reflection, they will renounce  
No doubt, atomic reactor or not, to any uterine drive, knowing  
That our world, by its very nature, is truly everything, except sure ...

"You should not have children  
In a world that is not safe ".  
Maxime to put on the lintel of any maternity.  
And even more: of every athenaeum!

We reaffirm it: in its present state, the world being more and more  
Unbearable, impossible to assume in the multiplication of the carcans that  
Founded, there is no reason, for the authentic holders of the Ethics,  
To throw creatures there that have no other status than that of machine tool  
At the service of the Western economy ...

Insubordinate, do not sacrifice your children,  
Sacrifice your childish desire!

#### **b. Disadvantages inherent in the Engenderment.**

In my opinion, you should not have children,  
Because I observe in having children  
A lot of considerable risks and a lot of worries,

For a low yield, and without consistency or value.

- Democritus, Fragments. Greece, F  
Century. AD

The children console us with all the sorrows ...

Waiting for the appalling

Which they will not fail to give us.

- Jules Barbey of Aurevilly,  
Thoughts detached. France, 19th century

By an unctuous irony, many, once become parents, having in  
Appearance fulfilled their "dream", constantly complain about the heaviness  
Of the task: they unfortunately come to discover that the Real Child is with the Child  
Imaginary what the toad is to the swan ... An overwhelming disappointment.

It shouts, it shit, it wiggles, it screams again, it eats like a goret,  
It wiggles its villainous head and salivous, it wants to play, it harasses, it  
Questions, creeps, runs everywhere, it spills, it destroys, it stumbles,  
It breaks the face, it cries, it falls ill, it releases, it grows from  
Through, it gets hurt, it fails at school, it does not want to study, it fights, it  
Jealous, that joke-of-bad taste, it rebels in adolescence, that acne,  
It's depressing, it goes out with anyone, it has bad connections, it  
Talks about suicide, it goes to the act, it addiction, it runs away, it is found  
Unemployment, it conforms, it decrochidiotemploi, it overmoulds, it  
Accident-of-car, it gets married, it does not want to see you anymore, it's bothering  
Family meal, it happens again, and then it dies of cancer  
Before you have served as an old-age stick: was it really good  
Business?

"Even with two we do not have time to do everything. And then, take care of  
Of a child can be more tiring than going to work. "

- About Young Populators (reproduced in Victor,  
Weekly supplement to the newspaper Le Soir, 30 May 2003).

Devil ! What a convict's activity! Child: promise less pleasure  
Than vital exhaustion. An elegant philosopher has been able to  
Note that the birth of the children signed the death of the parents ...

It is impossible to list all the hassles, tensions and  
The chores imposed by having to educate a juvenile creature,  
To take charge of the infinite of its intrinsic deficiencies, to transmit to it

The gigantic luggage, the elephantous keychain, which will enable it to  
- perhaps - to confront the atrocities of life without leaving it too quickly  
The best irises of his conscience, but it is sufficient to  
Focus on the child as a clandestine on the path to achieving the most  
To discredit them in the eyes of all those for whom  
Zarathustra, existence is primarily the place of personal heroism  
And a radical surpassing of oneself!

To procreate is not only to alienate the child, it is to alienate one's own  
Virtualities! It is ruining oneself in a flood of subordinate, petty tasks,  
Trivial, exasperating, bestial even, since it's take charge of nothing  
Less than a new body. As for the education of the young soul, what wound  
Than to have to inculcate to him the alphabet of everything, depriving at the same time  
Philosophical care which it deserves, which it claims, tenderly  
Listen to it! When will we find time to "learn to die" if we  
To learn to live (but is it only one's own ability to  
A creature whose intellectual quotient borders on zero,  
Adolescence at least? Drastic issue.

Many who thought they enjoyed their child realized that  
Child is very often the very obstacle that prevents them from enjoying:  
Freedom, careless massacre, temporary ogre, burden that must be everywhere  
Luggage - or putting in consignment - a source of  
One only departs in order to become again the exhausted servant. Dilemma :  
This child who is hindering me, should I neglect him or  
Vampirise the best of my youth? When weaned, I will almost  
Old, harassed anyway; And if by chance he showed himself ungrateful? By  
Aphrodite, may I have understood a little earlier that the child is finally  
Less a voluptuousness than a constraint, a knot of dwindling obligations!

For apocryphal though it is, how not to cite in the cutting edge  
Epistle of Diogenes to Zeno:

We must not marry or raise children, since our species is  
And that the troubles caused by the marriage and the children  
An additional burden of human weakness. That is why  
Those who married and raised children for the assistance they  
Hoped to find it, later realized that it still  
More embarrassment and regret, when it was possible for them,  
From the beginning, to avoid these errors. "

- Pseudo-Diogenes, Letter to Zeno.  
Greece, circa I century BC. AD

It can not be argued that philosophy did not  
Put on alert. Democritus, from the  
Century before our era, nailed the impulse  
Parental to the gibbet of an alternative as depressing as without exit:

"Raising children is difficult: succeeding in this  
Fighting and caring, failure to do so brings grief  
equal. "

The heroin breeders, thugs, delinquents,  
Psychotic, inadequate or anorexic know something ...

Another major disqualification: procreation renders the woman unlawful, not  
Only morally, but above all physically: the gravidity transforms  
The juvenile and radiant fairy in dull and tired housewife. How much  
Women who have become mothers did not grind their teeth under the nostalgia of  
Their bodies, their sex, their thighs, their buttocks, their breasts of pre-fencing  
? The child destroys the girl, without pity, almost without remedy, for  
He always wrinkles it, he ages it, he tames it, under the scourge of his needs and the pestle  
Of its reactivities, prematurely.

Even worse: getting pregnant is not just suicide  
Cosmetic, but also, often, erotic decay. How many  
Of broodstock will they not admit to you they attended, impotent, to the bankruptcy  
Libidinal relationship of their couple as soon as the birth of the first child? How many  
Did not they hate their child clandestinely because they  
Had "torn", destroying in passing, immanent zorro, the vulva which  
Expelled to hell? Besides sadism, is not there a furious masochism  
To become a mother?

Hence this question, which, not without violence, is now posed to women:  
What is the point of freeing oneself from man if one consents to remain a slave to the child,  
"This monster that adults make with their regrets"? The time is not right  
Come from a second, from a definitive, emancipation from woman? Is it  
No time to abandon to the less than human mammals the so-called  
"The joys of mothering", patriarchal myth, if any? Or let the males  
In need of moutards to fend for themselves with the insignificant posterity which



Sometimes results from our miserable sexuality of primates?

Existence, in its truly human dimension, aspires to the Magnitude,  
To the Out-of-the-agreed Spark; That's why we hammer him: all  
Woman deserves a thousand times better than a shrinking mother's destiny!

Incidentally (to the extent that the argument affects only one  
Of the population), parental  
The radical enemy of Dandyism, the antithesis of all aristocratic  
The summits of his being, towards the excellence of the Self: how to remain vertical  
Before a creature whose immense lit-  
Bends and lowers to breastfeed his parasitism? Paradigm of everything  
Degradation, procreation metamorphoses any disability benefit  
More or less definitive. There is nothing in man but a descent  
Will make ...

In a hundred evils as in a thousand,  
The child being a fall that tends to hinder  
Any higher existential fulfillment,  
Do not we, too,  
To let it rest in the Nothingness?  
How much for wanting to give life  
Have missed out on their own lives?

**c. Parents already dragged into Justice.**

States Parties shall take all legislative, administrative and social measures  
And educational measures to protect the child from all forms of violence,  
Physical or mental abuse or neglect,  
Abuse or exploitation, including sexual violence,  
While in the custody of his parents.  
- Convention on the Rights of the Child.

(United Nations, 20 November 1989)

Maltreatment is also in words.

Not providing a child with everything he or she needs  
To grow up, which he will need to have access to one day  
To the condition of a free and responsible man, is a maltreatment.

- Pierre Lassus, Childhood sacrificed.

Child Maltreatment and the  
Efforts to combat it. France, 20th century

We shall not mention here, of course, the condemnations of  
The spawners whose harmfulness leaves no room for doubt in the judgment  
(Rapist parents, rape parents, kidnapper parents, etc.), but  
The accusations of negligent breeders or  
Not very deficient, because there is a truly new fact, the index of a  
A latent revolution in the way we understand homework - now  
More emphasized than the rights - of parents to their children.  
Let's see.

An Italian teenager with severe pulmonary  
Decided to lodge a complaint against his father, an inveterate smoker. It requires,  
In addition to damages, a measure of removal from the  
family house.

- Source: Le Soir, 5 June 2001.

In Honduras, the authorities are considering allowing children  
Bizarre or humiliating names to pursue their  
Parents for making life impossible for them ...

- Source: Le Soir, 9 October 2000.

Bet that these first two examples alone will inspire many  
Teenagers struggling with defective progenitors! An obvious tropism  
Of the law develops in favor of the younger generations. Proof :

In the United Kingdom, according to recent legislation, parents  
The children practice too much school absenteeism,  
Or simply behave poorly in class, are now  
Severe fines and prison sentences! Rationale for the  
Minister of Education: "The idea is that parents

Their responsibilities with regard to the conduct of  
Their children ".

- Source: Le Soir, 2 April 2002 and 15 May 2002.

A British mother, incapable of preventing her two  
Daughters to practice truant, was accordingly condemned  
To two months' imprisonment. Commentary of the defendant  
After his release: "I deserved what I got. Everyone has the right  
Education and I deprived my daughters of their rights through my  
Own stupidity ".

- Source: Le Soir, 30 May 2002.

Interesting testimony: it is therefore possible to tame the fauves  
Parental measures by applying coercive measures.

Prison also for an unmarried English mother who failed  
To hand over his 9-year-old son to the educational paths.

- Source: Le Soir, 2 May 2003.

Penitentiary always, but also works of general interest, for  
A British father whose two children strove hard not to  
to attend classes. As little docile as his descendants, the latter  
Had ignored several warnings from the  
Childhood and education.

- Source: Le Soir, June 18, 2003.

The Hexagon is no exception:

French Justice now sends some parents to delinquents  
In "parental training" to fill their gaps in  
know-educate.

- Source: Le Soir, 9 December 2002.

A 31-year-old American spent a week in prison for  
Neglected to protect her two-year-old daughter and her ten-month-old twins

Of the diurnal sun during an outdoor party,  
Had given them all three a painful sunburn. The Sheriff  
Justifies its decision by stating: "This sanction was not  
Exaggerated: my concern is to protect children".

- Source: Le Soir, 23 August 2002.

It would be wonderful for the judiciary to be  
Intransigent with irresponsible parents: their number would undoubtedly  
At a glance ...

In the absence of an effective system of education or repression against  
Inconsistent parents, it seems that young people are now  
Redressing themselves what is twisted:

A 28-year-old Iranian, a judo virtuoso, went to her mother-in-law's house  
To correct it: "I struck it so much that I broke it  
arms. She deprived me of the best years of my youth  
And should be punished," said the avenger. Explanation: the  
Shrug with the possessive tentacles was in fact opposed for twelve years  
At the wedding of his son with the exemplar judokate ...

- Source: Le Soir, November 5, 2002.

Kafka would have appreciated, Rilke and Baudelaire no less ... More radical:

A 15-year-old German student was sentenced to five years in prison  
For having killed her 69-year-old grandmother with 21 stab wounds.  
He exclaims: "She irritated me with her annoying questions."

- Source: Le Soir, November 12, 2002.

Another infernal grandmother who pushes her grandson to the limit:  
Did not the victim deserve the acquittal? Consolation: five years, this is  
Not pay so dear his quietude. Moreover, to study in the bagno or the school,  
Difference?

In the United States, two brothers, aged 13 and 14,  
Their father with baseball bat (proverbial hygiene: sport  
Forms youth), before burning the fire at the family home.  
Sanction: 7 years imprisonment only; They had to be found  
Extenuating circumstances ...

- Source: Le Soir, November 16, 2002

The reason for this patricide is unfortunately not mentioned in  
The article that I have, but we can put forward the prudent assumption that  
This surpollupopulator had not really succeeded in getting liked by his  
offspring. Was this a tribute to Violette Nozieres? Or one  
Black Humor?

In any event, the anti-parental challenge seems to  
More and more springtime individuals:

Furious at being frustrated by a candy because he had committed  
An error in a school exercise, a six-year-old English boy  
Complained bitterly to his mother, who had the indecency to give  
Reason to the teacher. Instantaneous reaction of the early judiciary: it  
Grabbed the phone and trained the emergency number of the police  
To demand the arrest of his mother and the teacher. "They  
Were wicked. I wanted them to go to prison  
What they give me the caramel ", argued the rebel of which  
The subconscious probably felt, with a good sense, that  
The simple fact of accomplishing the effort to exist deserves at all times a  
Solid compensation!

- Source: Le Soir, 27 February 2000.

We recall our major offuscation, in posture VIII, as to  
Laxity surrounding procreation.

Diplomas and licenses are required for all purposes, except for the  
The most complex and the most valuable: to succeed in giving the child  
Love, Care, Attention, Respect, Education and all  
Good fortune to which he is entitled!

Well, it seems that this criminal paradox is on the way to finding its  
Lysis:

The Parliament of Taiwan has just made mandatory for all  
For marriage a family education course consisting of  
Four hours of information on family management and counseling  
On how to raise children.

- Source: Le Soir, January 13, 2003.

As far as civilization is concerned, it is well known that Asia often enjoys  
A step ahead of the West. Four hours, however, it seems  
Short: we advocate four years. Intensive.

It seems impossible to ignore the need for Agathogenic concerted. Everywhere, the Child  
becomes a major concern and the Tribunals raise the tone:

The Supreme Court of Wisconsin has forbidden a man, already the father of  
Nine children, to procreate more, unless the  
That he could provide for the needs of all his descendants.  
- Source: Le Soir, 29 November 2001.

In the same order of ideas :

An American judge prohibited pregnancy during a period of  
A drug user whose addiction had  
In danger the health of her baby.  
- Source: Le Soir, 21 February 2000.

We are thus witnessing the gradual introduction of  
"Legitimate parenting"! From year to year, legislation is hardening and  
Ties of Maltreatment are tightened; All the works devoted to the  
The following:

What is now perceived as psychological violence in  
A child was lived, five or ten years ago,  
Normal behavior of education.

Verbal assaults, systematic devaluations,  
Humiliations of children, in particular regarding their level  
Their physical appearance, their intellectual abilities,  
In short, anything that calls into question their integrity is part of the violence  
Psychological problems. They can seriously affect the state of  
Health of a child or adolescent.

When the morality or health of a child is threatened, the judge  
Of children can be seized.  
- Pascal Vivet, Child Abuse. France, 20th century

Of course, it lies lying at the bottom of the septic tank the omnipotence of

Head of the family, once invested with the right of life or death on his offspring!  
O as it approaches, the hour of accountability, that of Judgment  
Ultimate...

Yes, because, as we run for the post VI,  
One day to grant to any uncreated the right not to be born, or,  
Fails to allow any person found injured by the existence of  
Justice to those who inflicted it on her.

There is indeed no reason not to consider procreation as  
A crime from the moment when a human person considers himself atrociously  
Prejudiced by the simple fact of having been born on our calamitous planet.

If blind procreation remains legal,  
Then all sadisms are allowed!

Most people believe  
Competent to look after children.  
It is urgent to put an end to this illusion.  
- Pierre Lassus, Childhood sacrificed.  
Child Maltreatment and the  
Efforts to combat it. France, 20th century

## Ejaculation (under condoms)

I am for the extinction of the human race. [...]

This brings us back to this word of literary madness which I find admirable:

"If no one existed, everyone would be happy."

- André Blavier, Interview in Vu d'Ici  
(No. 1, July 2000). Belgium, 20th century.

Conclude: an illusory task so stretched in every human reality the  
Arborescences of the theme of the Procreation, nevertheless the least dissected of  
All, but also, and this borders on the disturbing, the least subject to the blotoir,  
Bumper, criticism ...

One example among others: more probing the conflictual relationship  
Man with his birth would make it possible to account for the  
The almost universal taboo that weighs on nudity,  
The public ostentation of the "genitories." Rationally, it seems absurd  
To conceal the spontaneous fruits of our desire: breast-feeding breasts,  
The penis that ejaculates, the vulva that conceives, even as war and blood,  
Infinitely more obscene, spread out in the media. And yet  
These organs of our enjoyment, our supreme consolation, the vast  
The majority of cultures have condemned them to remain discreet, stifled  
The shadow...

How to justify such a ban? On this point, the theory of  
Sublimation elaborated by psychoanalysis (obliteration of the sexual to the profit  
Of the cultural) seems very insufficient, for it is not at all necessary, in  
To develop efficient and sustainable civilizational structures, to frustrate  
More a creature whose immensity of needs and appetites constrains it,  
Without visible loopholes, to generate transcendent activities, rules of  
Socialization and spiritual patterns. On its own, the enormous pressure  
Biological, coupled in man to an intellectual device adequately  
Developed, is sufficient to produce society, culture, thought and  
Spirituality: obvious adaptive advantages (thus the primitive function of  
Religion was to maintain hope, the strength to live; Task now  
Devoted to art, fiction, mass literature) ...

In other words, even sexually satisfied - assuming that the  
Unveiling of the reproductive organs leads to such satisfaction,



Which is by no means certain - even erotically sated, the human being does not  
Would be led, willingly or by force, to sublimate, to transform  
In culturally usable energies, his libidinal impulses always already  
Unsatisfied since in essence impossible to satiate, the infinity of his desires  
Forever repressed, frustrated, disappointed, by the rules themselves, by the  
Rigorous limitations and insoluble requirements of life ...

One suspects it, the concept of sublimation fails to explain  
Fully understand the reasons for the systematic camouflage of bodily areas  
To Reproduction, and above all to the incredible violence that is unleashed  
Not only against the transgressors of the prohibition on nudity but  
Also against the genital organs themselves, as the  
Genital-traumatic practices such as circumcision, excision,  
Infibulation, etc.

So why such banishment, such fierceness, such refusal  
To offer to the day what, if we swear, makes us enjoy? From a point of  
Anthropological view, it seems irrefragable that this need to conceal,  
Censor, abolish, and visually destroy the genitalia of both sexes  
Betrays nothing less than our panic anxiety, our subterranean hostility,  
The instruments of the crime of which we are all the object  
in the world !

If the "organs of our enjoyment" were not primarily the sources of  
Our suffering, we would have no scruples to reveal them, to show them  
In the face of our fellows, to use them even in public spaces. Risquons  
This hypothesis: any complex, any inhibition, any neurosis, any  
Psychopathology is found to have its roots the most  
Deep in our detestation, as unconscious as vigorous, to have  
In our genethyophobia.

If we call "parts shameful" the organs that generate  
Because indeed there is enough to nourish an ineffaceable shame at the idea of committing  
A mischief as hideous, as total and totalitarian, as that of begetting!

Thus, according to a process analogous to that which leads us to translate  
In misogyny what actually proceeds from an unavowable metrophobia, we  
Stigmatize the Sexual instead of condemning the Genital, we condemn  
Libertinage and sleeping instead of suppressing fertilization and  
Childbirth ... There is no need to look elsewhere for the ultimate cause of

Persecution (how to name it otherwise?) Universal of everything that  
Touches on lust, orgy, debauchery, erotic frenzy, or  
Pornography: all societies have been harassing themselves for millennia  
To domesticate, to bridle, to chain sexuality for lack of conscious power  
Understand that the real object of such a will to control is none other than the  
Procreation itself!

In short, sex does not blame bad thing  
Only because our existences result from it!

In this respect, it is not at all indifferent to note that the  
The sexual liberation coincides exactly with the appearance and the diffusion  
On large-scale contraceptive methods as reliable as the pill or  
The latex condom, and that the emancipation of the woman was herself  
Concomitant with this containment of involuntary fertility ...

This is not without consequences, because it means that the more  
Will admit, in perfect lucidity, that the real psychic stumbling to  
Man is in no case sexuality but rather procreation (the taboo  
Absolute, who is still pregnant demonstrates it to satiety), the more sexual repression  
Erotic activities will become less guilty and will become  
Serenely libertarian, which will contribute little to uncontrolling our  
Presence in the world, quite painful as it is so that one does not deprive oneself of  
No longer to "enjoy without hindrance", according to the injunction of a fabulous  
Spring.

The progress of human well-being will be enormous when  
Will embrace the idea that we must no longer repress the enjoyment, also outrageous  
But the obstetric consequences of enjoyment! Any  
Evidence, a swinger nulliver streaming sperm, cyprin and  
Libertine lubricity is worth a thousand times better than the most chaste father of a family ...

We guess, finally take into account our genethliophobia - our  
Hatred of birth, our subconscious abomination of having to come to  
World - and go so far as to construct it as a heuristic hypothesis,  
Significant change in our view of a number of anthropological.

Thus we have been able to understand, in addition to the real  
Misogyny, that the "enigma", which so intrigued Georges Devereux, of the cult  
Universal of Virginity refers to nothing but a subterranean fantasy

Of generalized Nulliparity.

Compromising implication: the cult of chastity that structures the Most religions has no other teleological ambition than to oppose the natality !

Perpetual or temporary continuance, apology for celibacy or caging Of woman, encratism or symbolic castration, an obsession haunts the Spiritual and this obsession seems to hinder the Reproduction.

They say: God has children. Far be it from him that blasphemy!  
(Sura 2)

They attribute daughters to God, far from his glory this blasphemy! [...]  
Assimilate God to all that is highest. He is the Sage, the powerful. (S.16)

Glory to God who has no children. (S.17)

They say: The Merciful has children. You have just pronounced An impiety. [...] To the Merciful, it is not fitting to have a son.  
(S 19)

Our Lord has neither wife nor child. (S. 72)  
- Muhammad, Koran. Arabia, VIIth century.

From a genethiophobic point of view, these sentences Islam (in that they distinctly distinguish it from Christianity) take Suddenly an altogether decapitating sense: the Supreme Sage has no children, the Paternity does not fit the soul capable of Mercy! Proclamâmes Us Another thing in proportion as the present work was unwound?

To dig even more, one would come to even question the alleged Fertility Muslim:

Children are the ornaments of worldly life; But the good Works that have been given to your Lord a better reward. (S.18)

It is not by your riches or by your children that you

Place closer to God. Only those who believe and who  
Practice good works which shall have the right to do so. (S 34)

Your children will be of no use to you at the day of judgment. God  
Will put a barrier between you. (S 60)

Anyone who has preferred life here will have hell for dwelling.  
(S. 79)

- Muhammad, Koran. Arabia, VIIth century.

Better: it would be possible, and we will do so in its own time, to  
That the "Five Pillars" of Islam are structured around the Chastity-  
Nulliparity ...

As for Mohammedan optimism, it is sometimes found more accents  
That "Cubanic"

If God wanted to punish men for their evil, he would not let  
No living creature on earth. (S.16)

May the man perish! How ungrateful he is! (S 80)

I seek an asylum with God from the dawn of day against the  
Wickedness of the beings he created. (S 113)  
- Muhammad, Koran. Arabia, VIIth century.

Would there be a sub-text not yet dis-masked on the back of what we  
Do we think we know about the religious phenomenon?

This is how we can surprise a reading grid centered on  
Genobiophobia: it provides matter for metanoïa, conversion of  
Interpretation, and it is perhaps not unlawful to consider  
Some other important human phenomena in the light of such bias  
epistemological.

Everyone will admit that there is something frightening and absurd  
In the relentlessness shown by man to destroy Nature, but

Nobody asks what man is really looking for  
To ravage behind Nature, our Mother ... Our Mother? This may be the  
Key: if Nature were not, symbolically, our Mother, we would put  
Much less eagerness to destroy it, drag it to torture,  
Lead back to nothingness!

This being said in the half-pleasing, half-serious mode, the very obsession, confining  
Sick, foreign to all rationality, that we have to seek to exterminate  
Until the last whale, by hunting, sonars or pollution, exhale  
Suspicious: would Captains Ahab be accountable to the  
With their coming into the world? Would they suffer from a denial of metrophobia,  
Or rather a poorly integrated genethliophobia? Almost all on the way  
Extinction, elephants, rhinoceroses, hippos and turtles  
Of sea, these interminable layers, would they exhibit, for their misfortune,  
Forms too maternal? Thueris, in any case, the Egyptian goddess  
Reserved for the parturientes, displayed an unfortunate head of hippopotamus, while  
In China, the tortoise was the mythical foundation of this world where we  
Only to struggle with the ten thousand pains ....

Clearly, in the same way, again, that misogyny, according to the eternal  
The return of the repressed, the massacre of the environment is  
Its marrow as the expression of our latent mettrophobia, an anti-natalism  
Who, failing to understand himself and to admit himself in full lucidity,  
Deceptively misleading.

There is a good chance that if we become aware of what  
Last resort our "ecoclastomania", if we accepted to probe  
Authentically our ever-problematic relationship to maternity,  
We would adopt an entirely different mode of relation to this Nature which does not appear  
Our enemy only because we unknowingly identify it with our mother  
Biological...

In short, true ecology begins where  
The foreclosure of our hatred of having been born.

And perhaps it is the same for Peace.  
Who would dare to affirm that war in its tedious endemicity, in its  
A crying irrationality, in its morbid compulsiveness, does not betray, too,  
A metrophobia that refuses to decline its name? This flesh against which  
The warriors will fight, carnage after carnage, cruelty after cruelty,

Shot after amputation, amputation after amputation (castration  
After castration), eradication after eradication, perforation after perforation,  
Is she not a legally murderous substitute for the Flesh-Mother? Which is  
That tacit voluptuousness which seizes the man of war at the height of his fury if not  
To realize on his legally exterminable enemy his infantile fantasies of  
Devouring-tearing-destruction of the original flesh? No  
Sadistic, in the most intimate of his impulses, does not ignore it: all Sarcophobia is  
A Metrorophobia, every splinter is vengeance against the matrix, against  
The very source of our gall. Like the ascetic, like the anorexic, the  
Military attacks the carnal only to free oneself from the maternal.

But it is also the genethliophobia that is emerging in our passion for  
Armed conflicts and their scandalous butcheries: all the battles  
Whole populations squabble our occult  
Fantasies of annihilation; That so many men, on the slightest pretext,  
To rivet and tear each other in an iron Ragnarok  
Blood sums up our hopes for collective suicide, our desire to  
To deny ourselves without carrying the cross to kill ourselves: in its  
War is a pact of despair in which each belligerent promises his  
Similar to his providential enemy, to trick him in exchange for having  
Luck, too, to lose his life ...

In short, one never fights for the native soil,  
We are actually fighting against the birth rate itself!

(Is it necessary to specify, for the use of the hymns of perpetual peace, that  
A matter of absolute pacifism, perfect conscientious objection, anti-natalism  
Does not defend badly at all? No peace deeper than that of nothingness.)

In the end, the concept of "death instinct" means nothing if one does not  
Postulates as a principle and foundation a genethliophobia common to  
All sentient creatures, which amounts to saying: suffering ... Wanting  
To die is above all to wish never to be born. Thus, the will-to-die  
Is it never a project, but always a nostalgia.

Some will prefer to see in these cogitations only a picturesque  
Intellectual entertainment: they will be wrong, because to neglect to undertake  
Psychoanalysis of our social behaviors (and we know if Freud  
And Jung, by themselves, gave us all the means), it is to be feared  
That we continue to shift our resentment toward victims who

But ... We believe it is relevant to say that the re-examination  
Of our relationship to childbirth, there is no salvation for the human species:  
They will continue to multiply the problems it suffers for lack of daring  
Face, and worked to solve, the only problem that makes  
Suffering rather than nothing ...

Humanity is doing everything it can (and more) to bring  
As soon as possible, its self-destruction.

- Hubert Reeves, *The Hour to get drunk*. Quebec, Twentieth century.

Perhaps she thinks herself badly born? Perhaps it does not have the  
Choice between peaceful extinction, freely consented, and extermination  
By thermonuclear bombs interposed, if not by legions of robots  
Triumphant ... Unless you start procreating according to Wisdom?

It did not seem to us entirely superfluous to open up such  
Reflection before taking leave of our reader. Impossible for the hour  
To venture into other auscultations, but at the end of this tickling  
Rosary of philosophers, we nevertheless maintain the hope of having  
Established the validity of the following points:

1. The fundamental identity between existence and suffering.
2. The ethical illegitimacy of the procreative will.
3. Absolute absence of virtues behind the mask of childish desire, all baby  
Born only to fill the existential gaps of its parents.
4. The impossibility of philosophically or metaphysically justifying this  
desire.
5. The facticity of the affection we bear to our parents, only the interest and  
The need attaching us to them.
6. The Importance of Allowing a Human Being Unhappy  
To claim compensation, at least symbolic, from those who propelled it  
In the brambles of our world.
7. The duty to work without delay to improve the candidates for parenthood

If we wish to put an end to it once and for all with the alienation of the Child.

8. The need to promote adoption at the expense of Reproduction,  
Financial incentives.

9. No denaturing is conceivable without an absolute victory of feminism;  
So the future will see rising generations of women much more creative  
Than procreative.

10. True fecundity is spiritual and not carnal;  
Only by the psychic fertility that man is distinguished from the animal.

11. Brand the threat of a "Worldwide Procreation Strike" is the  
More alterglobalists available. To make use of it would  
Like a thunderbolt without equal in human history!

12. Last but not least, the unstoppable necessity of muzzling the Surpollupopulation  
By proactive, pro-natalist policies, if not coercive. If humanity tomorrow dies out, it will be  
rather for having engendered In an uncontrolled way that for having listened to the voice of the  
anti-natalists ... None The protection of the environment can no longer be envisaged without An  
intensive fight against fertility! Let us recall it bluntly: on our  
The overpopulated population, procreation is now a crime  
Against humanity!

The exceptional polythelism of the refusal to engender is welcomed in passing:  
Not a problem to which, in spite of all means, it does not  
Solution as consoling as definitive. Panacea? Philosophical Stone ?  
Gaal? Yes: anti-natalism contains the mischievous trinity.

Certainly, many will hold the improcreationism for a philosophy  
Of idealists, having no chance of ever making a strain in the psyche  
Collectively or significantly alter human behavior; In short, one  
A stillborn thought, reserved for an aristocracy of disillusioned or lunatic,  
In perpetuity devoid of any concrete application. And however...

Let us not forget that Slavery was officially abolished in France,  
The country par excellence of Human Rights (!), That in 1848 and before that  
Abolition, made thinkable by the assaults of the philosophers of the Enlightenment, a  
Such barbarity imposed itself on all as a Economic "or as a" law of nature "or as a



"Expression of the divine will". There is reason to hope that a Day, perhaps more distant, the Procreation, which rests exactly, Let us remark, on the same arguments (Profit, Nature or God), Will provoke a disgust equal to that caused in our time by slavery And will suffer the same fate as the latter: a ranking at the level of attitudes Excremental, and prohibited ... Unbelievers should remember that Today's utopia often becomes the rule of tomorrow.

If it were now a matter of putting into perspective the Manifest, we would argue that beyond its exaggerations, necessary as Electroshock in severe cases, its practical objective remains Only to arouse a salutary polemic as to the ethical legitimacy Inflicting all the cruelties of existence on innocent people, but even more so To achieve a radical awareness-empowerment of candidates To procreation: it is now important to demystify the parental function If it is desired to render it compatible with the performance of all its duties Towards the Child; Nothing is more criminal than to divinize the Incompetence does not expect so much to act without the slightest notion of Guilt, or the slightest desire to remedy its own shortcomings, Assassines failures ...

Hence the double question that this book promotes:

1. Do we have the right to bring children into the world?
2. And if so, under what conditions?

The answers here promulgated may not be the most appropriate, nor More complaisant, free therefore to each one to suggest better, knowing That Childhood was sufficiently patient and urgently required Whose verbosity will finally prove inferior to efficiency!

We are convinced that this would be a masterful The welfare of mankind if parents would only be endowed in the future with Skills and maturity to offer all the keys to Life as well as the best assets to protect it from Which he will inevitably encounter. It is a long way from that, Each year, 14 million girls aged 15 to 19 become Mothers, thus passing, almost without transition, from the age of the toy to Marmouset ... Making training in Agathogenesis mandatory, would it be

So expensive to pay the comfort of future generations?

If there was any proof that our century is ripe for a severe  
Question of procreation, the following confession, published by one of the most famous  
Contemporary ethologists, would deliver it to us:

We heard: "Poor child ... he smiles ... he does not know  
What awaits him: I should never have brought him into the world. »30 to  
40% of young mothers give this anxious interpretation.  
- Boris Cyrulnik, Under the Sign of the Link. France, 20th century

Without respite, in fact, unanimously, we hear them complaining that  
"Our world is horrible" and "life is hard," but then why  
Do they present them to creatures who will complain of them no less than they?

It is urged: when the respect of the Child has reached its point  
Culminating, we will simply cease giving it birth! In  
Expectation, any other attitude towards him, any deplorable  
Reach it, is only worth what is tear of gavia.

Many beautiful plumages regret that "our age lacks  
Strong, committed, corrosive, revolutionary texts ": we shall see  
Singers of writing to the flamethrower will reserve this modest attempt ...  
But perhaps it will be too revolutionary. Or too sulphurous? Or  
Perhaps she will die of prematurity? Who else will have the strength  
To meditate on it? No doubt we feel the Hollywood need of works  
More reassuring, so true it is that life is anything but reassuring ...

To be convinced of this, let us end with a modest assortment of  
It is possible to read, almost every day, in the  
Any major newspaper:

With the passive complicity of his wife, condemned for nonassistance  
To a person in danger, a father of a family practiced,  
For more than ten years, serial rape on his four children.  
One of the girls explained that he forced her to offer him blowjobs  
While the mother was absent for shopping. His brother  
Was placed in foster care after having also been the subject of  
Sexual perversions of its genitor. In addition to these repeated rapes,  
Children had to endure deprivation of food as well as stays  
Punitive in the cellar.

- Source: Le Soir, 31 May 2003.

A mother has transformed cribs into cages using  
Barbed wire: she enclosed her four shoots, aged from one to  
Seven, when she had to leave home. Children suffered  
Malnutrition.

- Source: Le Soir, 30 August 2003.

An 18-month-old baby was deliberately smothered by her mother: she  
Knew that the child was suffering from swallowing problems and forced him,  
In order to kill him, to ingest two slices of dry bread. The  
On the other hand suffered a calvary during the months preceding  
His assassination: he was struck several times, as in  
Fractures in the head and ankle, as well as  
Hematoma covering his face. The parent explained that she  
Wanted this second child, although she is pregnant with a  
third.

- Source: Le Soir, 19 September 2003.

For a frivolous reason, a torturer father began by striking  
His five-year-old son, then took him to a wood where,  
Having stripped it, he flogged it with nettles. Back at home,  
He forced the child to drink boiling water before he  
Pour on the back. The father closed the session of torture by beating  
His child, up to the blood, with a cable. The young victim will remain  
Traumatized for life.

- Source: Le Soir, 7 October 2003.

What do you do (except standing still)?

On reflection, the title of this book wandered a little: we can  
To dispense with the guillotining of procreators, the electric chair will also  
seal the deal, or tsunami drowning if electricity is cut off.

## REDUCTION

The abusive families say: "We sacrifice ourselves  
To raise our children so that they become free  
"We use our

Meet our material, emotional, sexual needs".

- Pierre Lassus, Childhood sacrificed.

Child Maltreatment and Little Effort

To fight it. France, 20th century

I sometimes wonder if it would not have been better

The evolution stops at the level of the butterflies ...

- Hubert Reeves, The Hour to get drunk.

Quebec, Twentieth century.

From the Buddhist point of view, all traditions and their teachings

Agree on the same goal to be achieved: alleviating the misery of life

Human life and to interrupt the cycle of existences. In this they are

All worthy of interest, even if their methods differ.

- Tenzin Gyatso, 14th and current Dalai Lama

(Quoted by Gilles van Grasdorff in Words of the Dalai Lamas).

Tibet, 20th Century

## Appendix i

In order to indicate how the refusal to exist constitutes a real invariant Anthropological, we offer to lovers of despair this brief anthology of the mal-Being and improcreationism, not without nourishing the utopia that the amateurs of babies there Will breastfeed with long features.

### Succincts Analects of Pessimism

Of all that on earth has breath and movement, no being is no longer Miserable than man.

Such is the fate which the gods have wrought for the unfortunate mortals: to live in Pain.

Homer, Iliad. Greece, 8th Century. AD

A thousand different plagues traverse the dwelling of mortals; The land is full Of ills.

Hesiod, Works and Days. Greece, VII Century. AD

It is better for man to be dead than alive.

Solon (one of the Seven Sages). Greece, VIth Century. AD

Honestly, I would like to be dead.

I derive no pleasure from my life on earth; I want to die.

Sappho, Fragments. Greece, VIth Century. AD

The most desirable of all goods  
For men, it is not to be born,

Theognis, Elegies. Greece, VIth Century. J.-C

The goods sought are difficult to obtain; But misfortunes  
Even without seeking them.

We must realize that human life is fragile, ephemeral and mingled  
Many worries and troubles.

Democritus, Fragments. Greece, Fifth Century. AD

Better a hundred times not be born;  
But if we must see the light,

The least harm is still to return  
Where you come from, and the sooner the better!

Sophocles, Oedipus at Colonus. Greece, Fifth Century. AD

We should be lamenting during our family celebrations, occasionally  
From the birth of a child, to the thought of all the evils of existence,  
And when death has put an end to our heavy hardships, we  
Joyfully accompany by all our friends.

Euripides, Cresphonte. Greece, Fifth Century. AD

Human life is nothing but suffering, and there is no truce to its sorrows.

Euripides, Hippolytus. Greece, Fifth Century. AD

If death is the extinction of all feeling and resembles one of these  
Sleep in which nothing is seen, even in a dream, it is a marvelous gain  
Than to die.

Socrates, [Last words]. Greece, IVth Century. AD

Those who wish to perpetuate their lives and prevent their death are mistaken  
In the understanding of things.

Lie-tseu, The Real Classic of the Perfect Vide. China, IVth Century.

Many events take place, capable of making us give up life:  
For example, diseases, pains of birth, storms.  
Thus, it is obvious that if we had been given the choice, these reasons  
Would have, from the beginning, made choose not to be born. Let us add life  
That we are leading in our childhood: no sensible man would accept  
To return. Moreover, many circumstances do not offer us pleasure  
Nor pain, others, a pleasure, certainly, but without beauty - circumstances  
Capable of making us prefer the nothingness to life.

Aristotle, Ethics in Eudemus. Greece, IVth Century.

The life of a man is accompanied as soon as the birth of worries of any  
Species. Life is nothing but dust and filth.

Tchouang-Tseu, Work. China, IVth Century. AD

Only, indeed, pain exists; This is why we do not get the happiness.

Mahabharata. India, circa IIIe Century. AD

I weep to be born at the wrong time,

And to have fallen in this horrible and insane period.  
I am plunged into the darkest melancholy,  
Strangled with sadness.

Song Yu, The nine Admonitions. China, Third Century. AD

For who really knows the world, everything is suffering.

Patanjali, Yoga-Sutra. India, 2nd Century. AD

As thou hast fallen asleep in death, such thou shalt remain eternally,  
Free from all pain.[The newborn] fills the space with its plaintive wailings, as it is  
Natural to the being which still has so many evils to cross.

Lucretia, Of Nature. Rome, Italy Century. AD

Death, to tell the truth, detaches us from evils and not from goods.  
In reality, death puts an end to a life of misery.

Cicero, Tusculanes. Rome, 1st century BC. AD

Is it then so great a misfortune to die?

Virgil, Aeneid. Rome, 1st century BC. AD

The whole world lies in the power of the evil one.

Saint John, First Epistle. Israel, 1st century BC. AD

There is nothing so misleading, as perfidious as human life; no one,  
Great gods! Would not want it, if we did not receive it without our knowledge. If, therefore,  
The supreme felicity is not to be born, the one nearest to it is, I imagine, disappear as soon as  
possible and quickly return to nothingness original.

Seneca, Consolation in Marcia. Rome 1st century.

Many people stood up to say that it would have been better not to  
Or disappear as quickly as possible.

Pliny the Elder, Natural History. Rome, 1st century.

Aging and death, grief,  
Lamentation, pain,  
Sadness and torment  
Are born from birth.

Nâgârjuna, Treaty of the Middle. India, 2nd century.

That the pain presents ceases, that no other is born: this is the goal of  
Our exit from the world. Absolute Extinction: this is our last end.

The Questions of Milinda. India, 2nd century.

Evil is Matter.

The body is the cause of evil.

Plotinus, Enneads. Greece, 3rd century.

Who is not sick in this life? Who drags on a life of languor?

To be born in a mortal body is to start an illness.

Saint Augustine, Discourse on the Psalms. Rome, 5th century.

May I see the most precious light that allows us to flee the misery of the world

Of birth as dark as the sea.

Proclus, Hymn to Hecate and Janus. Greece, 5th century.

The power of good is always weak, while the power of evil is vast and terrible.

Something that causes suffering also causes fear, but the nothingness

Dispels suffering! Hence why does he cause fear?

Santideva, Introduction to the Path of Awakening. India, 8th century.

In this world none living has succeeded

To harvest better than torture and trouble.

Abu al-'Atahiyah, Divan. Arabia, 8th century.

Better to learn not to be born,

Not to be born is also not to disappear.

Po Kiu-yi. China, ninth century.

The abolition of my being is the noblest gift to be made to me, and my survival as

That I am the worst of wrongs. My life has disgusted my soul, among these ruins

Crush me, kill me, and burn me.

Husayn Mansur Hallaj, Kill me, my faithful comrades.

Persia, tenth century.

The living being, when he is alive, plunged and immersed in suffering; and

The situation in which there is no suffering is more salutary than the

Situation. Death is therefore more salutary to man

Than life.

Razi (Rhazes), The Spiritual Medicine. Arabia, tenth century.

Since his birth, man has been suffering unceasingly.

Such is the world of man. We must renounce with disgust this world



wretched.

Urabe Genshin, *Ojôyôshû*. Japan, tenth century.

The idea of the inconstancy of the world despairs me.  
To escape the decadent spectacle of the ephemeral, is it not more  
Rush to the bottom of a river?

Murasaki Shikibu, *The History of Genji*. Japan, eleventh century.

If the wise man had not come into this world,  
He would not know cold and hot here below;  
But he was born; He lives hard, and disappointed;  
He must deplore this miserable life.

Firdousi, *Book of Kings*. Persia, XIth century.

To the gods absolute happiness, to men uninterrupted misfortune.

Somadeva, *Ocean of the Rivers of Tales*. India, eleventh century.

Since my coming into the world was not my choice [...] I want with  
Wine destroy the sadness of the universe!  
All is ruin, despair.  
This land is not the place  
The celestial sphere: a belt  
For our life of torment!  
O Heaven, our ruin comes from your hatred;  
Injustice is your sovereign habit.

Omar Khayam, *Rubayat*. Persia, twelfth century.

My only desire:  
Find a place to lie down and die.  
Sad, really, is my destiny.

Saigyô, *Evanescence*. Japan, twelfth century.

All the way through the earth, you will not find  
A single happy heart or a satisfied mind.  
God of Justice, destroy me on the spot;  
I would gladly give up my soul to death;  
I no longer have any will to live.

Farîd al-Dîn 'Attâr, *The Language of the Birds*. Persia, twelfth century.

The soul desires dwelling, and dwelling is death.  
I rejoice so much in annihilation.  
We are ready to leave existence for nothingness.

Djalâl-od-Dîn Rûmî, Rubâi'yât. Persia, thirteenth century.

I call Death,  
My tender and gentle rest,  
I said to him, "Come to me", of such love  
Let those who die die envy me.

Dante Alighieri, Vita Nuova. Italy, 13th century.

Staying in the earthly prison causes me, alas, an infinity of evils!  
To live with me is so painful and so long that I invoke death.

François Pétrarque, Canzoniere. Italy, 14th century.

The great pain I bear  
Is so harsh and so very strong  
That [...] I would like to be dead.

Christine of Pisan, Virelai. France, XIVth century.

There is not a moment when this world afflicts me,  
Always this world afflicts me!  
Ah why is this wretched body  
Is he alive for my spite?

Zeami, Tenko. Japan, fifteenth century.

How can one love a life filled with so much bitterness, prone to  
So many evils and calamities? How can we even call life what  
Engenders so many pains and so many dead?

Thomas A Kempis, The Imitation of Jesus Christ. Netherlands, 15th century.

One thing is certain,  
We are all born in pain,  
We are all in anxiety  
And sadness, here among men.  
On earth the torments are our lot.

Nezahualcoyotl, Song of the Flight. Mexico, fifteenth century.

I complain about the world because he created me.

Fernando de Rojas, *The Celestine*. Spain, fifteenth century.

Among the living I have not found any happy,  
I have never seen anything but afflicted!  
In vain we have obtained a human birth:  
Many are entitled to this body!  
The father and mother say, "He is our child,"  
It was for their own benefit that they fed it.

Kabir. India, fifteenth century.

Happy the creature  
Who made his burial  
In the maternal womb!  
Happy is the one whose life  
Getting out was delighted  
By an eternal sleep!  
He did not feel on his head  
The inevitable storm  
We are agitated.

Joachim Du Bellay, *The Complaint of the Desperate*. France, 16th century

Ha! Death, common port, men's comfort,  
Come bury my sorrows, I pray you with hands joined!  
Happy who never was.

Pierre of Ronsard, *Sonnets*. France, 16th century.

My cradle.  
What did I not find there, at once, my grave?

Torquato Tasso, *Metz Canzone*. Italy, 16th century.

Death is the remedy for all evils. It is a very secure port,  
Never to be feared, and often to seek.

Michel de Montaigne, *Essays*. France, 16th century.

Sleep after work, the haven after the storm,  
Rest after the war, death after life,  
It is a great pleasure.  
Death is the end of misfortunes.

Edmund Spenser, *The Queen of the Fairies*. England, sixteenth century.

Well-being is in heaven; But we are on earth,  
Where all is but annoyance, worry, and grief.

William Shakespeare, *Richard II*. England, sixteenth century.

When we are born, we cry for coming on this vast scene of  
crazy.

William Shakespeare, *King Lear*. England, seventeenth century.

Who will improve my fate? The death.  
Let us recommend it to God, who knows the things that must  
To arrive in this valley of tears, in this wicked world where we live,  
And where scarcely can anything be seen which is not mingled with malice, treason, and  
roguery.

Miguel de Cervantes, *Don Quixote*. Spain, seventeenth century.

What death worse than death is this life that so many good men  
Would so often exchange for death!

John Donne, *Death's Duel*. England, seventeenth century.

I do not know by what adventure my mother gave me the day,  
In this, however, Nature did play a nasty trick.

Francisco de Quevedo, *Malchance*. Spain, seventeenth century.

In this world, all beings are deprived of happiness and do not know  
Than pain.

Shôsan, *Ninin-Bikuni*. Japan, seventeenth century.

The major offense of man,  
It is to be born.

Calderon de la Barca, *Life is a Dream*. Spain, seventeenth century.

How many sufferings during the cycle of my life!  
What have I gained to be born? I still wonder.

Tukârâm, Psalms of the Pilgrim. India, seventeenth century.

Let him who does not know thee esteem thee, O life! But the undeceived man would prefer  
To have passed from the cradle to the coffin, from the natal bed to the tomb. A Common Omen  
Of the miseries which await him, is that man weeps when he is born.  
What can be a life that begins between the cries of the mother who gives it,  
And the tears of the child who receives it?

Baltasar Gracian, The Undeceived Man. Spain, seventeenth century.

Condition of man: inconstancy, boredom, anxiety.  
We seek happiness, and find only misery and death.  
One must not have a very high soul to understand that there is no here  
Of true and solid satisfaction, that all our pleasures are vanity,  
That our evils are infinite.

Blaise Pascal, Thoughts. France, 17th century.

O wretched humanity, to what a lowered degradation,  
What a lamentable condition!  
Better to end here, unborn.  
If we knew what we were getting,  
Who would accept the life offered,  
Or would soon beg to be dismissed  
Happy to be thus dismissed in peace?

John Milton, The Lost Paradise. England, 17th century

Men must weep at their birth, and not at their death.

Montesquieu, Persian Letters. France, 18th century.

Elements, animals, humans, everything is at war.  
It must be confessed, evil is on earth.  
Death is a good that ends our miseries.

No one would want to be reborn.

Voltaire, Poem on the Lisbon Disaster. France, 18th century.

I wish to be dead is a frequent wish that proves, at least  
Sometimes, that there are things more precious than life.

Denis Diderot, Interview on the Natural Son. France, 18th century.

Dissatisfied with life, fearing death. This, I say, is the secret  
Retains us. We are driven by terror, not by seduction,  
To continue our existence.  
Neither man nor any other animal is happy.

David Hume, Dialogues on Natural Religion. Scotland, 18th century.

My birth was the first of my misfortunes.

J.-J. Rousseau, The Confessions. France, 18th century.

To be born, yes, to be born, I reprehend an unfortunate misfortune,  
What is not to die, since from so much anguish  
I feel free.

Vittorio Alfieri, Sonnet. Italy, 18th century.

Sweet is your sleep, calm will be your rest in the sepulcher. There, grief  
Also expires with joy; There cease the torments of man.

Friedrich von Schiller, Elegy on the Death of a Young Man. Germany, 18th century.

Living is a disease whose sleep relieves us every sixteen  
hours. It is a palliative. Death is the remedy.

Chamfort, Maximes and Thoughts. France, 18th century.

"We have suffered, we have hoped; But we are annihilated! - O  
All powerful ! Stop creating! "

Jean-Paul Richter, The Annihilation. Germany, 18th century.

A tomb remains the best fortification against the storms of the  
destiny.

Georg Christoph Lichtenberg, Aphorisms. Germany, 18th century.

To sleep for a long time and much [...], it is certainly to spare  
The annoyances which life in general infallibly brings to the waking state.

Emmanuel Kant, *The Conflict of the Faculties*. Germany, 18th century.

The certain end of all pain and all predisposition to pain,  
it's death ; And of all the accidents which the ordinary man has in common  
To call misfortunes, death is to me the least.

J. G. Fichte, *The Destiny of Man*. Germany, 19th century.

Life is a burden to me, I desire death and I abhor existence.  
Oh ! That I am never born!

J. W. von Goethe, *Faust*. Germany, 19th century.

The Days harass and disconcert us;  
And yet we live,  
Abominating our lives, and terrifying us to die.

G. G. Lord Byron, *Manfred*. England, 19th century.

I was not born: I did not seek to be born, and does not like the situation  
In which this birth has thrown me. [...] I wish I had never  
Lived!  
Giving birth to those who can only suffer for many  
Years, then to die, it seems to me that it is simply propagating death, and  
Multiply the murder.

G. G. Lord Byron, *Cain*. England, 19th century.

What crime have we done to deserve to be born?  
Did the insensible nothing ask you to be,  
Or did he accept it?  
Are we, by chance, the work of your caprices?  
Or rather, God cruel, was our tortures  
For your felicity?

Alphonse de Lamartine, *The Despair*. France, 19th century

What was your life? Exile, boredom, suffering.

Alphonse de Lamartine, Hymn of Death. France, 19th century.

Life, a sterile and fortuitous gift, [...]  
Who, in a hostile design,  
Has pulled me out of nothingness,  
Bonding to my fiery soul  
A mind gnawed with doubts?

Alexander Pushkin, [Untitled]. Russia, nineteenth century.

In all conditions, in the cradle as in the den, the day of  
Birth is for him who is born a fatal day.

Giacomo Leopardi, Nocturnal Song of a Wandering Shepherd from Asia. Italy, 19th century.

Here below nothing is complete than misfortune.

Honore de Balzac, The Skin of Sorrow. France, 19th century.

How terrible it is to be born!  
Ah! What a burden life has!  
It is death that I pursue.

Angel de Saavedra, Duke of Rivas, Don Alvaro or the Force of Fate. Spain, 19th century.

Our life is to be murdered by work.  
A fault was committed the day we were created.  
Life is not worth the fatigue that is given to preserve it.

Georg Büchner, The Death of Danton. Germany, 19th century.

What is misfortune? Life.  
Oh God be cursed by his creatures!

Gustave Flaubert, Agonies. France, 19th century.

Oh ! Yes ! Yes, alas! Despair reigns and suffering and complaint  
Emanate from all the pores of creation.

George Sand, Lelia. France, 19th century.

The whole world is sadness and despair.

Nicolas Lenau, Solitude. Austria, nineteenth century.



A certain detestable evening I had the misfortune to be born.

Lermontov, A Hero of our Time. Russia, nineteenth century.

Why was man created? He torments, he kills, he devours; he suffers,  
Dies, is devoured - you have there its whole history.

Emily Brontë, The Butterfly. England, 19th century.

By nature, life does not admit true felicity, it is fundamentally a  
Suffering to the various aspects, a state of radical misfortune.  
Our state is so unhappy that an absolute non-being would be much preferable.

Arthur Schopenhauer, The World as Will and as Representation. Germany, 19th century.

The only happiness is not to be born.

The end of the world is salvation; To prepare this end is the work of the sage.

Arthur Schopenhauer, Interview with Challemel-Lacour. Germany, 19th century.

After the misfortune of being born, I know of no greater than that of  
Give birth to a man.

Chateaubriand, Memoires d'Outre-Tombe. France, 19th century.

O men! A race really worthy of contempt and pity!  
I played my little comedy to the end. The curtain falls down. I stop being  
Too much by returning to nothingness.

Ivan Turgenev, The Journal of a Man of Too. Russia, nineteenth century.

Sleep is good, death is better, but it would be better  
Yet never be born.

Heinrich Heine, The Book of Lazarus. Germany, 19th century.

My misfortune is to be born.

Victor Hugo, To the veiled one. France, 19th century.

That this world is a shit, deep down is what everyone knows  
Little experience. That this existence is a great good, and that a suicide is consequently to be  
blamed Because gesture of ingratitude: it is naturally lies and stupidity.

Søren Kierkegaard, Journal. Denmark, nineteenth century.

He regarded reproduction as a vice of love, pregnancy  
As a spider disease. He wrote somewhere: Angels are  
Hermaphroditic and sterile.

Charles Baudelaire, La Fanfarlo. France, 19th century.

The world, monotonous and small, today,  
Yesterday, tomorrow, always, makes us see our image:  
An oasis of horror in a desert of boredom.

Charles Baudelaire, The Voyage. France, 19th century.

Life is not good. One day it will be good to die.

Christina Rossetti, Life and Death. England, 19th century.

I received life as an injury, and I forbade suicide to heal  
the scar. I want the Creator to contemplate, every hour of his  
Eternity, the gaping crevice. This is the punishment I inflict on him.

Lautreamont, The Songs of Maldoror. France, 19th century.

It is clear that suicide, once the idea of immortality has been lost,  
An absolute and even inevitable necessity for every man who has so little  
Whether intellectually elevated above the beast.

Fyodor Dostoevsky, Journal of a Writer. Russia, nineteenth century.

It would be better, indeed, not to be born.  
To flee life is the first point, [...] to wish for death and to seek it is  
the second.

Leopold von Sacher-Masoch, The Wanderer. Austria, nineteenth century.

Though I hate life, I do not have enough strength in my body to  
To take death and to put an end to it.

R. L. Stevenson, The Suicide Club. Scotland, 19th century.

I said I existed, I asked why,  
I screamed that the heavens made me accountable!  
O Law, serene Law, I wanted you to subdue  
The will, to return to the old void left!

Jules Laforgue, Am I ?. France, 19th century.

I am afraid of life, not of death.

Lord Alfred Tennyson, Despair. England, 19th century

Delighted with this knot of strangulation called life,  
Sweet, peaceful, welcome: Death.

Walt Whitman, The Valley of Death. United States, 19th century.

What a mass of misery there is in the world!  
We all struggle to seize happiness, and as soon as we get one  
Little on one side, misfortune happens on the other side.

Swami Vivekananda, Jnana-Yoga. India, nineteenth century.

I went through all the possible modes of suffering. Better than  
Wordsworth himself I know what Wordsworth meant when he said:  
The suffering is permanent, obscure, and dark.  
Nature of Infinity.

Oscar Wilde, De Profundis. Ireland, 19th century.

Sad to die, lugubrious is life.  
Hell exists, but here, on earth.  
Let us suffer, then, brothers, without hoping for life a single solid joy,  
Because we are in hell.

Auguste Strindberg, Inferno. Sweden, nineteenth century.

Life is an evil.

Auguste Strindberg, Coram Populo. Sweden, 19th century.

It would be better if I had not come into the world.

Albert Einstein, Letter to his Sister (1898). Germany, 19th century.

In a thousand years, the man will sigh as now: "Ah! That life  
is hard ! And as now he will be afraid of death and will not  
Not die.  
We are not happy and happiness does not exist, we can not  
Than desire it.  
Oh ! If we could not exist!

Anton Chekhov, The Three Sisters. Russia, nineteenth century.

The dead seem to me the only human being really well off.

Mark Twain, Of Religion. United States, 20th century

Everything moves towards death, for it is the law, and if it does not serve much  
To live, the wisest is perhaps to die as soon as possible.  
The fate of man is reduced to suicide.  
Peace is obtained in death. We must die to enter the Peace.

Natsume Sôseki, *I'm a Cat*. Japan, 20th century.

By listening to the moaning of the unfortunate land  
I feel too much towards the evening, the horror of being born.

Renee Vivien, *Dura Lex, sed Lex*. France, 20th century.

Life is tragedy and perpetual struggle without victory and without hope of  
victory.

Miguel de Unamuno, *The Tragic Sentiment of Life*. Spain, 20th century.

I'll end up killing myself, it's obvious.  
It would be better for me to be dead, really.  
There is no limit to human suffering.

Katherine Mansfield, *Journal*. New Zealand, 20th century.

The universe is only a defect  
In the purity of Non-being!

Paul Valery, *Draft of a Serpent*. France, 20th century.

I suffer terribly from life.  
I feel the appetite of not being.

Antonin Artaud, *Is Suicide a Solution?* France, 20th century.

The dream, the only dream is not to be born.

André Breton, *The Immaculate Conception*. France, 20th century.

It is my sad, sad life that continues. Those who gave birth to me, they  
Will pay for it.

Henri Michaux, *Cursed*. Belgium, 20th century

Life as it is imposed on us is too hard for us, it  
Brings too many pains, disappointments, insoluble tasks.  
Our possibilities of happiness are already limited by our constitution. There is

Much less difficulty in experiencing unhappiness.

Sigmund Freud, *Malaise in the Civilization*. Austria, 20th century.

Mother I blame

Of which the crime in love

Façonna my form

In his matrix

Who gave me life and then the grave

Mother I blame

Dylan Thomas, *Written for an Epitaph*. Wales, 20th century.

If I were God, at the sight of the physical sufferings

The universe and especially among animals and men, I would be

Of such horror that I would instantly annihilate all my creation and

Myself with her.

Maurice Maeterlinck, *Before God*. Belgium, 20th century.

I have had enough of humanity, beyond all measure. Delete it.

This stupid, greedy, stinking, murderous, murderous, obsequious,

Piaillant, and undernourished! Get rid of the earth.

H. G. Wells, *Children of the Stars*. England, twentieth century.

They marry, they have the extreme folly of making children.

Jean-Paul Sartre, *The Nausea*. France, 20th century.

Not to be so hateful that he deserves to be pitied for his enlistment

Forced into existence

The highest of minds may not be qualified to understand the universe;

But the last of the suffering hearts has the right to incriminate him.

Jean Rostand, *Thoughts of a Biologist*. France, 20th century.

How black and tall is the crowd of misfortunes!

To this demented world I oppose my refusal!

Marina Zvetaeva, [Untitled]. Russia, 20th century.

One can not contemplate without terror the extent of the evil that man can

Do and suffer.

Death is that which has been given most precious to man.  
Relation of evil with strength, with being, and good with weakness,  
nothingness.

Simone Weil, Gravity and Grace. France, 20th century.

Will it ever occur to one of these innocent sluts to drag themselves  
Barefooted in the ages for the forgiveness of this crime: to have borne us?

Michel Leiris, The Mother. France, 20th century.

Mirrors and copulation are abominable, because they multiply the  
Number of men.

Jorge Luis Borges, Fictions. Argentina, twentieth century.

Engendering is infamous.

Life is hell.

The human adventure is nine hundred billion times more appalling than  
Imagined him the most sorrowful mind.

Louis Scutenaire, My Listings. Belgium, 20th century.

Because he hates gratuitousness and death, man has a horror  
Of having been begotten.

Simone de Beauvoir, The Second Sex. France, 20th century.

In front of us stands the terrible question of evil and we do not  
Not even mention the answer that it would  
To oppose it.

The world into which we are entering at birth is brutal and cruel.

Carl Gustav Jung, My Life. Switzerland, 20th century.

Life is evil, suffering, despair.

Do not be better than being, supreme curse.

Julien Teppe, Manuel of Despair. France, 20th century.

I'm looking for my mother, to kill her, you had to think about it earlier, before  
born.

Samuel Beckett, The Unnamable. Ireland, 20th century.

Life is infernal, inadmissible.

At no time could I feel at ease in this world of misfortunes

And death.

Eugene Ionesco, Journal in Miettes. France, 20th century.

The human species, the most abject of all creation.  
The Terran's daily life is only a hell and work an easement  
At all times.

Jacques Sternberg, Open Letter to the Terrans. Belgium, 20th century.

No death for children. They were brought into the world without asking them  
Their opinion ... Do not tell them too early about death, they risk experiencing  
A filial love less pure towards the authors of their days.

Roland Topor, in Topor, the Elegant Man. France, 20th century.

To attain wisdom is no longer to aspire to being the zero figure that is erased  
Of the blackboard.  
What is more obscene than a woman wearing proudly in her belly  
A future corpse?

Roland Jaccard, Topology of Pessimism. France, 20th century.

To bring forth to Being what, outside of a will, would come from it otherwise  
Never must assume reasons, and more specifically good reasons.  
Which ones? I do not see any. Thinking hard, I see even more reason  
Not to procreate that the reverse.

Michel Onfray, The Virtues of Lightning. France, 20th century.

Every minute, 100 people die, 240 are born. The reverse would have been  
Better. Not being and not being born, there is tranquility.

André Stas, Artificial Radishes. Belgium, 21st century.

**Appendix ii**  
**Florilège of Anti-Natalism in the feminine**

Who, then, in meditating the Scriptures or the problems of philosophy,  
Would bear the wails of a newborn child, [...] uncleanliness  
Childhood?

Heloise (cited by Abelard in *Histoire de mes Malheurs*). France, 12th century.

Parental love is, perhaps, the most blind modification of love for self  
perverse.  
Parental love is, in many minds, only a pretext for  
Tyrannise where this can be done with impunity.

Mary Wollstonecraft, *Defense of Women's Rights*. England, 18th century.

Ah! What immense joy after so much suffering!  
Through the debris, over the mass graves,  
To be able finally to throw this cry of deliverance:  
"More men under the sky, we are the last! "

Louise Ackermann, Pascal. Last word. France, 19th century.

We hate the rut that defiles desire.  
We throw the anathema to the foul sigh  
Hence the pains of the beings to come.

Renee Vivien, *Litany of Hate*. France, 20th century.

My little child mine, who never existed [...]  
If he is cruel to me, he is happy for you  
That never cradle received under my roof  
Your sensitive and lively form!  
My little child mine, stone and thistle,  
The desert and the thirst, the night and the abandonment:  
Here is the life and its domain ...  
And should not I have asked you for forgiveness  
Seeing you fall under this terrible gift  
What is a human destiny?  
I frustrate the future, sterile without remorse,  
Of all the pains and all the dead  
What I have not brought forth in thee!

Amélie Murat, *For the Child that does not exist*. France, 20th century.



Abortion. [...] I told my child that he should rejoice not to  
Be released in this world where even the greatest joys are tainted with  
Suffering, where we are the slaves of material forces. [...] My  
Child, my half-created child that I am going to return to nothingness. return  
Darkness, unconsciousness, and the paradise of non-being. [...] All of us,  
Forever condemned to seek this warmth and darkness, this life  
Without suffering, this life without anguish, without fear and without solitude. [...] He  
It would be better for you to die, my unborn child. [...] It would  
Better than you die in my belly, very slowly, in the heat and  
in darkness. [...] Renunciation of a form of maternity for love  
Of another, higher creation. [...] Nature became my accomplice,  
So that I may remain Bilitis, that I may remain the Virgin. [...] I did not  
Offered to the land, I refused to take care of a child's life.

Anaïs Nin, Journal. United States, 20th century.

I consider life as a long way of the cross and men as  
Be miserable, and feel unable to take on the responsibility of increasing  
The humanity of an unfortunate creature more.  
To save the life of a being by barring the path of this life at all times! I  
Want him to avoid entering this valley of tears. [...] I will have the feeling  
To have done a good deed, to be responsible.

Etty Hillesum, A Shattered Life. Netherlands, 20th century.

Every little child who played on the sand, I was  
Woman [...] Women with their soft bodies always ready to bud  
New little ones, men watching all that pulp of women  
And children to them, with a satisfied air.

Colette Audry, We play loser. The Child. France, 20th century.

Alongside frankly sadistic mothers, there are many who are above all  
Capricious; What enchants them is to dominate; Very small, the baby is  
A toy: if it is a boy they amuse themselves without scruple of his sex; if  
It is a girl they make a doll; Later, they want a small  
Slave blindly obey them: vain, they show the child as  
A learned animal; Jealous and exclusive, they isolate it from the rest of the world.

Simone de Beauvoir, The Second Sex. France, 20th century.

Boulot, Omo, Marmots: Y'EN A MARRE / Contraception for all and  
All / Abortion free and free.

Placard worn by MLF activists in the 1970s (Reproduced in *Naître and après? From Baby to Child*  
By Drina Candilis-Huisman). France, 20th century.

Supporting the sacralization of maternal function in such  
Circumstances [overcrowding of the planet] becomes the most  
Hideous of jokes: it is hardly found any more elsewhere than in  
The speeches of the Vatican or the Pussycats, the last ramparts of the  
Patriarchal sexism.

Françoise d'Eaubonne, *History and Actuality of Feminism*. La France, 20th century.

Women dare to declare their disgust with the maternal condition. They  
Do not ask for it, they denounce it, they refuse it,  
In word and deed. Marriage and Maternity, far from accomplishing the  
Femininity, only adds to what will soon be called "femininity"  
And break the rise of a personality.

Yvonne Knibielher and Catherine Fouquet, *History of Mothers from the Middle Ages to our Days*.  
France, 20th century.

I would leave, leave everything, no longer exist. I want nothing.  
I did not ask for life, I do not want any more. Now I have the right to  
to choose. I can not help it if my mother did not use contraceptives.  
I do not understand how one can decide to "give birth"  
a child ".  
You who did it you will traumatize it, raise it badly, your way  
Will make him unhappy later ... Besides what do you expect?  
That he will succeed in being happy in a world where you could not be?  
But look, look! He has no chance of passing the test!  
No, it's not pessimism. Look at the misfortune of others,  
Will relieve you. Do them anyway, but at least have decency  
To kill them once born. You will have had your dream, ridiculous, unhealthy,  
dishonest.  
The parents should not exist.  
"Family", this word flayed my lips like a razor blade.  
I prefer the backstage of the theaters to the mothers of the mothers ... First  
"Mother", it should not exist.

Valérie Valère, *The Pavilion of the Crazy Children*. France, 20th century

Being a woman is not, or not necessarily, a mother. Being a  
Mother can even prevent a woman from being oneself.  
Men recover as badly as women have had a mother.  
But they have the advantage of being able to bring their problem to  
women. How do we free ourselves from mothers?  
Being a mother does not offset the power of the father or society  
But reinforce it.

Françoise Collin (founder and director of the Cahiers du Grif), in *The Children of Women*.  
Belgium, 20th century.

A child in the stomach. Then in the arms. Where to put it? He's there. I  
Would not want to be there. After blistering, swelling, invasion  
Now he took my body. He devours my time.  
Yogurt flavor when I kiss her. Softness of the stool. Liquids one  
Little white. Good to breathe. A disgust of enjoyment. Good caca  
Warm, well-flowing smooth. Pleasure of sweet fetidity. I found  
My body, but I lost my life. More night, more day. I'm at  
Service of. Laundries, feedings, baby bottles, shopping very quickly, so that nothing happens to  
him. I does not exist anymore. I do. I torch. I wash. I torch. I'm talking.

Jacqueline Aubenas, in *The Children of Women*. Belgium, 20th century.

Sometimes the woman is not satisfied with these defects that weigh on her existence:  
Husband and son.

Elfriede Jelinek, *Lust*. Austria, 20th century.

The tidal wave of famine overpopulation threatens our planet  
burst. Children, for what?

Gisèle Halimi (quoted by Xaviere Gauthier In *Birth of a Freedom*). France, 20th century.

In countries where there is no pension, children  
Pension Insurance. Parents rely on them to provide for their  
When they can no longer work. And even when they are  
Young people, children are seen less as mouths to feed than  
Like arms to work.  
Most of the time, laws are made by men. It is necessary  
Of the children so that there will be no decline in the birth rate, they say.  
They need children to make an army, they get upset. It takes children  
To ensure the old days of the parents, they rave. It takes children  
To please God, who blesses them, preach. And women, what is  
What do they need?  
The natalists who have so demanded future soldiers and future  
Taxpayers are (or should be) obliged now to rest  
Global problem and to realize the serious problem  
Of overpopulation which leads to famines.

Xaviere Gauthier, Birth of a Freedom. France, 21st century.